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```
0
00:00:00.810 --> 00:00:04.980
Well, good afternoon everybody. It's now half past two. Um,
1
00:00:07.240 --> 00:00:09.700
and this issue, specific hearing issue,
2
00:00:09.940 --> 00:00:14.340
specific hearing one in relation to the application made by
associated British
3
00:00:14.550 --> 00:00:19.140
ports for the proposed Ingham East RO terminal is now open.
4
00:00:20.120 --> 00:00:23.900
Uh, Ms. Robbins, can you confirm that live stream of the event is
running?
5
00:00:24.720 --> 00:00:25.553
Yes. Yep.
6
00:00:27.050 --> 00:00:29.750
Um, can everybody in the room hear me?
7
00:00:31.410 --> 00:00:32.243
Yep.
8
00:00:34.080 --> 00:00:35.500
Uh, my name is Graham Gould.
9
00:00:35.560 --> 00:00:38.820
I'm a charter down planner and I'm an examine inspector with the
planning
1 0
00:00:38.820 --> 00:00:39.653
inspector.
11
00:00:40.010 --> 00:00:44.100
I've been appointed by the Secretary of State to be the lead member
of the panel
```

```
12
00:00:44.110 --> 00:00:48.140
comprising the examining authority that will be examining the,
13
00:00:48.500 --> 00:00:53.330
examining this application. Now,
14
00:00:53.330 --> 00:00:56.050
I'll ask my colleagues to introduce themselves, Mr. Bradley.
15
00:00:58.070 --> 00:01:02.090
My name is Steven Bradley. I'm an examining inspector and a member
of this, uh,
16
00:01:02.690 --> 00:01:03.523
examining authority
17
00:01:07.620 --> 00:01:10.410
sound team. I'm not quite sure what this, uh, buzzing is.
18
00:01:21.380 --> 00:01:23.130
Soundcheck? No, it's not the camera.
19
00:01:34.310 --> 00:01:37.930
Uh, whilst we're waiting for the technology, um, uh, good afternoon.
20
00:01:38.190 --> 00:01:39.330
My name is Mark Harrison.
2 1
00:01:39.990 --> 00:01:43.210
I'm a chartered town planner and an examining inspector.
22
00:01:43.880 --> 00:01:46.930
I've also been appointed as a panel member of the xa.
23
00:01:54.790 --> 00:01:57.980
Thank you. At this point, I'd also like to introduce, um,
24
00:01:58.000 --> 00:02:01.740
the members of the planning specialist case team who are supporting
us today.
```

```
25
00:02:02.440 --> 00:02:07.180
You may have already spoken with, uh, Ms. Lily Robbins, who's the
case manager,
26
00:02:08.360 --> 00:02:12.300
uh, and Spencer Barman, who's a case officer here this afternoon. In
addition,
27
00:02:12.560 --> 00:02:16.900
um, there are, uh, two sound technicians, stroke visual, um,
28
00:02:18.010 --> 00:02:19.900
tech, audio visual technicians here.
29
00:02:20.410 --> 00:02:24.340
They are assisting with the broadcast of the live stream,
30
00:02:25.280 --> 00:02:27.140
um, and also, um,
31
00:02:27.400 --> 00:02:32.340
the management of anybody that's participating online. Um,
32
00:02:32.760 --> 00:02:35.860
if at any stage you see us talking to either those gentlemen,
33
00:02:35.910 --> 00:02:40.180
it'll purely be in relation to technical matters. Uh, and that is
all.
34
00:03:13.170 --> 00:03:14.480
Sound check. Sound check.
35
00:03:18.920 --> 00:03:19.970
Okay. Sound check now.
36
00:03:20.630 --> 00:03:21.463
Yes.
37
00:03:41.810 --> 00:03:44.080
Sound check again. Yes. Thank you very much.
```

```
38
00:03:50.840 --> 00:03:55.060
Uh, before we move on to discuss the agenda items, um,
39
00:03:55.070 --> 00:03:58.580
there are a few housekeeping matters. Um,
4 0
00:03:58.880 --> 00:04:01.100
I'm afraid this is gonna get a little bit repetitive.
4 1
00:04:01.420 --> 00:04:04.340
I went through most of these this morning, but of course, we,
4 2
00:04:04.620 --> 00:04:08.380
I think we do have, um, the Environment Agency online this
afternoon.
4 3
00:04:09.320 --> 00:04:12.580
I'm not sure whether there's an anybody sitting at tables who are
different,
4 4
00:04:12.580 --> 00:04:16.420
but anyway, I'll try and keep it as brief as possible if you would
bear with me,
4 5
00:04:16.560 --> 00:04:19.180
um, if you've kind of heard some of this before,
4 6
00:04:22.800 --> 00:04:27.300
uh, toilets are to our right or my right through the door. Um,
4 7
00:04:27.400 --> 00:04:29.940
if the fire alarm should sound, uh,
4 8
00:04:30.040 --> 00:04:34.380
we will have to evacuate because there are no tests planned for
today. Uh,
4 9
00:04:34.440 --> 00:04:37.820
we should gather out in the car part and then await for instructions
from the
```

```
5 0
00:04:37.820 --> 00:04:41.380
hotel staff as to when it's safe to reenter the building.
5 1
00:04:46.800 --> 00:04:51.180
Um, the hearing this afternoon is being undertaken both in person
and with some
5 2
00:04:51.180 --> 00:04:55.140
pointed parties joining us online. Uh,
5 3
00:04:55.190 --> 00:04:58.580
we'll make sure that anybody that is attending online, um,
5 4
00:04:58.640 --> 00:05:03.140
has a fair opportunity to participate. Uh, the hearing is,
5 5
00:05:03.160 --> 00:05:05.060
is being live streamed and recorded.
56
00:05:09.830 --> 00:05:13.570
Um, for those participating online,
5 7
00:05:13.700 --> 00:05:18.130
could you please ensure, um, that you have devices and,
5
00:05:18.190 --> 00:05:22.770
and extraneous noise, um, controlled so that that doesn't, um,
5 9
00:05:22.880 --> 00:05:24.850
come through, uh, when you are speaking.
6 0
00:05:30.480 --> 00:05:33.460
Um, in terms of online participation,
6 1
00:05:33.880 --> 00:05:36.020
if and when you wish to speak to any item,
6 2
00:05:36.680 --> 00:05:41.620
can you just use the raise hand function in teams and either
```

00:05:41.820 --> 00:05:46.180
I or one of my colleagues or the case team? Uh, we'll make sure
that, uh,
6 4
00:05:46.760 --> 00:05:49.060
the examining authority picks up that request and,
6 5
00:05:49.160 --> 00:05:52.020
and we'll bring you in at an appropriate time in the discussion.
6 6
00:05:57.420 --> 00:05:59.680
Um, I would remind everybody that there is,
6 7
00:05:59.960 --> 00:06:04.880
although there is a chat function in teams that has been disabled
for the
6 8
00:06:04.880 --> 00:06:06.040
purposes of this hearing,
6 9
00:06:09.800 --> 00:06:11.440
a recording of today's hearing, uh,
70
00:06:11.440 --> 00:06:16.200
will be available on the website for this project hosted by the
planning
71
00:06:16.350 --> 00:06:17.920
spectra. Um,
72
00:06:17.940 --> 00:06:21.880
and that recording will be posted as soon as practical following the
hearing's.
7 3
00:06:21.880 --> 00:06:26.280
Conclusion, uh, with that in mind,
7 4
00:06:26.280 --> 00:06:30.640
would everybody please ensure that you speak clearly into a
microphone stating
7 5
00:06:30.670 --> 00:06:35.560

```
your name, who you are representing each time, uh, you speak.
76
00:06:38.360 --> 00:06:40.540
Um, I don't think this is gonna apply,
77
00:06:40.640 --> 00:06:44.420
but if there is anybody that will be speaking later on this afternoon that is

78
00:06:44.420 --> 00:06:46.620
not sitting at the table, um,
79
00:06:46.620 --> 00:06:49.860
there is a roving mic that can be made available so that you can be heard by

80
00:06:49.860 --> 00:06:51.060
everybody. And
81
00:06:56.750 --> 00:06:59.890
If anyone wishes to use social media report,
82
00:06:59.960 --> 00:07:04.690
film or record today's hearing or any subsequent hearing that, that is fine.

83
00:07:05.230 --> 00:07:08.130
But please do that in a responsible manner, um,
84
00:07:08.310 --> 00:07:11.210
so that it does not become disruptive, uh, to the running the hearing.

85
00:07:13.470 --> 00:07:16.530
We aim to keep the hearing focused that it can be conducted efficiently.

86
00:07:18.670 --> 00:07:21.650
It should be noted that the early discussion of the draft, uh,
87
00:07:21.650 --> 00:07:26.170
development consent order should not be taken and as an indication
```

8
00:07:26.400 --> 00:07:30.770
that the examining authority has already reached a view about what
its
89
00:07:30.770 --> 00:07:32.730
recommendation to the Secretary State will be.
90
00:07:37.310 --> 00:07:40.490
All information concerning the application, um,
91
00:07:41.030 --> 00:07:45.650
is available or will be available on the INSPECTORATES webpage, uh,
92
00:07:45.650 --> 00:07:46.483
for this project.
93
00:07:47.550 --> 00:07:51.730
And we strongly encourage everybody to familiarize yourself, um,
94
00:07:52.080 --> 00:07:54.450
with the information that's available on that website.
95
00:07:56.550 --> 00:08:01.530
I'm gonna quickly, um, now talk about, uh, G D P R, although, um,
96
00:08:02.110 --> 00:08:04.130
as I said this morning at the preliminary meeting,
97
00:08:04.570 --> 00:08:08.650
I think given the nature of the participation certainly in the room,
98
00:08:08.950 --> 00:08:13.850
and I would think also as far as the Environment Agency is
concerned,
99
00:08:13.990 --> 00:08:16.970
uh, through their online participation, um,
100
00:08:17.410 --> 00:08:19.410
G D P R should not be too much of an issue,

```

101
00:08:19.510 --> 00:08:23.930
but just be wary of saying anything of a vague personal nature that you would

102
00:08:23.930 --> 00:08:25.330
not wish, uh, to be
103
00:08:26.920 --> 00:08:31.370
Said in a public arena that then is recorded and published thereafter.

104
00:08:38.940 --> 00:08:39.160
Uh,
105
00:08:39.160 --> 00:08:43.040
please bear in mind that the only official recording of today's hearing will be

106
00:08:43.040 --> 00:08:46.280
that, that the inspector posts on the website for this project.
107
00:08:52.330 --> 00:08:52.790
And again,
108
00:08:52.790 --> 00:08:56.320
I would remind everybody that at each occasion that you speak during the course

109
00:08:56.340 --> 00:08:59.920
of the hearing, you give your name, the party you are representing.
110
00:09:06.270 --> 00:09:10.340
Right. Gonna hand over to Mr. Bradley for a few other introductory remarks.

111
00:09:11.900 --> 00:09:13.380
I think just for the, uh, the,
112
00:09:13.380 --> 00:09:16.700
the form and indeed because we have different participation, uh,

113
00:09:16.700 --> 00:09:18.980
could we just quickly go around the room, uh,
114
00:09:19.280 --> 00:09:22.620
for those who will be speaking this afternoon? Can we start with Mr. Greenwood?

115
00:09:23.950 --> 00:09:27.260
Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood for Associated British Reports.
116
00:09:28.670 --> 00:09:31.020
Thank you. And Mr.
117
00:09:34.110 --> 00:09:37.060
Thank you, sir. Uh, Robbie Owen, partner of the firm,

\section*{118}

00:09:37.440 --> 00:09:41.260
Pinton Masons for C L D N Ports Killing Home Limited
119
00:09:43.440 --> 00:09:44.273
And round the room.
120
00:09:46.050 --> 00:09:47.260
Good afternoon. Um,
121
00:09:47.390 --> 00:09:51.940
Angus Walker from B D b Pitman's Solicitors representing D F D S,
122
00:09:51.980 --> 00:09:55.220
Seaway's, P L C or D F D S for short.
123
00:09:55.590 --> 00:09:56.423
Thank you.
124
00:09:57.530 --> 00:10:01.540
Good afternoon, sir. Victoria Hutton of council. That's uz, uh,
125
00:10:01.940 --> 00:10:03.820
representing the Harbor Master for the Humber.

00:10:05.910 --> 00:10:10.500
Thank you. Is there anybody else that whos voice we should recognize?

127
00:10:11.080 \(->\) 00:10:15.300
Is there anybody at the back of the room he may wish to speak later on?

128
00:10:16.200 - -> 00:10:17.380
No. Okay.
129
00:10:19.270 --> 00:10:20.290
Uh, Ms. Robbins,

130
00:10:20.350 --> 00:10:24.530
is there any further update as to whether or not I I O OT are going
to be
131
00:10:24.530 - -> 00:10:28.290
present? Uh, they certainly indicated they were going to be present.
132
00:10:30.480 - -> 00:10:31.313
Okay.

133
00:10:35.800 --> 00:10:39.650
Good. I'll pass back to Mr. Gould. Now we can move on. I think,
134
00:10:46.370 --> 00:10:49.470
Um, I'm aware that we have a,
135
00:10:49.570 - 00:10:52.190
an officer from the Environment Agency online.
136
00:10:55.880 - 00:10:57.390
Could we just ask, uh,
137
00:10:57.580 \(->\) 00:11:02.510
that environment officer to introduce yourself and how
138
00:11:02.510 - -> 00:11:03.470
you would like to be addressed?
```

139
00:11:06.420 --> 00:11:08.910
Good afternoon, sir. My name is Annette Hewitson,
140
00:11:10.830 --> 00:11:15.590
representing the Environment Agency. Um, and I'm a mo Thank you.
141
00:11:16.600 --> 00:11:17.470
Thank you. And welcome.
142
00:11:24.240 --> 00:11:29.060
Uh, Ms. Robbins. Are there any other online attendees? No.
143
00:11:42.740 --> 00:11:46.610
Right. Turning to agenda item two, which is the agenda and the
purpose meeting,
144
00:11:48.510 --> 00:11:49.890
or hearing, I should say. Sorry,
145
00:11:52.520 --> 00:11:57.040
the hearing will follow the agenda as, um, issued by the, um,
146
00:11:57.040 --> 00:12:01.560
inspector on the 14th of July. Um,
147
00:12:01.660 --> 00:12:06.280
it will be helpful if you have a copy of that agenda in front of
you. Um,
148
00:12:06.300 --> 00:12:08.480
and can I ask for it to be displayed on screen please?
149
00:12:21.580 --> 00:12:22.413
Thank you.
150
00:12:33.060 --> 00:12:36.480
The examination of the application is primarily, uh,
151
00:12:37.800 --> 00:12:40.280
a written process, um,

```

152
00:12:42.260 --> 00:12:45.880
as we detailed this morning in the preliminary meeting. However,
153
00:12:46.020 --> 00:12:49.680
the purpose of of this hearing, uh,
154
00:12:50.020 --> 00:12:53.480
it is to enable the examining authority to raise questions specifically about

155
00:12:53.480 --> 00:12:58.280
some drafting points and the justification of articles requirements and

156
00:12:58.280 --> 00:13:02.400
other provisions included in the applicant's draft, uh, development,
157
00:13:02.400 --> 00:13:06.720
consent order, um, and also to seek any clarifying information,
158
00:13:08.340 --> 00:13:12.920
um, that we, we think can be better conveyed orally than, um,
159
00:13:12.940 --> 00:13:14.080
rather than in writing.
160
00:13:19.010 --> 00:13:22.600
There may also be some action points arising from the discussion this afternoon,

161
00:13:23.460 --> 00:13:27.520
uh, which again, uh, we, we feel will be better dealt with. Um,
162
00:13:27.520 --> 00:13:28.480
having heard the,
163
00:13:28.480 --> 00:13:32.600
the cases of the various parties about elements of the order or the draft order.

164
00:13:33.500 --> 00:13:37.600

Um, rather than everything being done in writing at this, this stage,

165
00:13:39.980 --> 00:13:44.800
Our questions will be directed either at the applicant or other ipss with the

166
00:13:45.040 --> 00:13:45.590
opposing parties,
167
00:13:45.590 --> 00:13:49.120
then being given the opportunity to comment on the answers given by the other

168
00:13:49.190 --> 00:13:53.720
side. For that reason,
169
00:13:53.940 --> 00:13:57.840
we may wish to inquire in greater or lesser detail, uh,
170
00:13:57.940 --> 00:14:02.440
in respect of agenda items depending on the, the answers that we receive.

171
00:14:04.700 --> 00:14:09.560
Um, it's also important to note that if for any reason there are matters that we

172
00:14:09.560 --> 00:14:14.440
decide not to discuss on the agenda this afternoon, um,
173
00:14:15.510 --> 00:14:18.400
that does not mean that those, those matters are not important.
174
00:14:18.460 --> 00:14:21.640
It just may well be that we, we've taken the view, um,
175
00:14:21.640 --> 00:14:25.720
that we don't need to pursue any oral questioning in regard to those matters.

176
00:14:44.460 --> 00:14:47.710
```

When the applicant or other ips are answering, um,
177
00:14:47.850 --> 00:14:49.350
the examinee author's questions,
178
00:14:50.050 --> 00:14:54.200
we would ask that you ensure you provide succinct answers, uh,
1 7 9
00:14:54.250 --> 00:14:57.840
where a question is deserving of a yes no type answer.
1 8 0
00:14:58.610 --> 00:15:03.360
Would you then, uh, respond accordingly and then provide any,
1 8 1
00:15:03.580 --> 00:15:05.480
um, amplification as necessary?
182
00:15:08.940 --> 00:15:13.160
Um, failing to answer a question is likely to result in us asking it
again.
1 8 3
00:15:14.060 --> 00:15:17.520
Um, so trying to evade the question probably won't get very far.
184
00:15:21.820 --> 00:15:24.800
Um, I would also ask that you try and avoid
185
00:15:26.760 --> 00:15:29.600
anticipating what the next question might be.
186
00:15:29.710 --> 00:15:33.960
It's always best to answer the question that's actually being put.
Um,
187
00:15:34.200 --> 00:15:36.800
'cause sometimes anticipating the next question can,
188
00:15:36.940 --> 00:15:40.680
can lead to more difficulty, Um,
1 8 9
00:15:40.950 --> 00:15:43.080

```
```

depending on how things are progressing. Um,
190
00:15:43.780 --> 00:15:48.000
we will probably take a short mid-afternoon break at a convenient
point.
1 9 1
00:15:53.790 --> 00:15:57.690
Um, before we head into agenda item three,
192
00:15:58.740 --> 00:16:01.370
which is the discussion of, of the draft order,
193
00:16:01.950 --> 00:16:05.570
are there any sort of procedural housekeeping matters that anybody
wishes to
194
00:16:05.650 --> 00:16:07.250
raise at this point for the applicant?
195
00:16:08.870 --> 00:16:09.703
No, sir. No.
196
00:16:11.150 --> 00:16:11.983
Mr. Owen?
197
00:16:12.590 --> 00:16:13.040
No.
198
00:16:13.040 --> 00:16:18.010
Nothing for Mr. Walker. Nothing. Okay. Thank you. Um, Ms. Hewitson,
1 9 9
00:16:18.070 --> 00:16:20.250
are you content with the procedural side of things?
200
00:16:23.790 --> 00:16:26.880
Annette Hewitson for the Environment Agency? Yes. Thank you, sir.
201
00:16:37.640 --> 00:16:42.140
For agenda item three, I'm primarily going to be leading with the
questions.

```
00:16:42.640 --> 00:16:42.960
Uh,
203
00:16:42.960 --> 00:16:47.740
it would be helpful if everybody has got a copy of the draft order
in front,
204
00:16:48.720 --> 00:16:50.420
um, the explanatory memorandum.
205
00:16:51.240 --> 00:16:54.340
We may need to look at the application drawings,
206
00:16:54.340 --> 00:16:58.140
but I suspect we're going to be mainly focusing on the draft order
and the
207
00:16:58.140 --> 00:17:01.540
explanatory memorandum. But amongst, um,
208
00:17:02.410 --> 00:17:04.980
everybody that's going to be actively participating,
209
00:17:05.200 --> 00:17:09.380
are there any other documents that you feel we should have to hand
Mr.
210
00:17:09.380 --> 00:17:10.080
Greenwood,
211
00:17:10.080 --> 00:17:14.900
Uh, Brian Greenwood for, uh, a b p, sir? Uh, if it would assist,
212
00:17:15.200 --> 00:17:16.033
uh,
213
00:17:16.080 --> 00:17:20.340
you've asked a number of questions I was going to suggest I can
offer,
214
00:17:20.520 --> 00:17:23.140
and I'm obviously in the X a's hands,
```

215
00:17:23.600 --> 00:17:27.420
we could put the clean copy of the D C 0 on screen.
216
00:17:28.240 --> 00:17:33.060
And I suspect you like this exercise to be very much a signposting exercise

217
00:17:33.160 --> 00:17:38.140
as well as answering your specific questions. I could take you through, uh,

218
00:17:38.360 --> 00:17:39.740
not in detail of drafting,
219
00:17:39.800 --> 00:17:43.660
but take you through those changes we are proposing to make and which you will

220
00:17:43.660 --> 00:17:48.460
see next week. Just so you are forewarned, if that would assist in so doing,

221
00:17:48.520 --> 00:17:48.740
we
222
00:17:48.740 --> 00:17:50.640
Would pick up all of your questions, of course,
223
00:17:53.790 --> 00:17:57.760
That that may be of assistance because there might be certain questions that

224
00:17:57.760 --> 00:18:02.440
I've got on my list that you will in effect preempt. Um,
225
00:18:02.780 --> 00:18:05.560
and therefore we avoid the need, um,
226
00:18:05.740 --> 00:18:10.520
to actually look at those questions that I had. Um, so yeah,

227
00:18:10.540 --> 00:18:13.720
if we can ask then for the draft order,
228
00:18:14.020 --> 00:18:17.880
that's a p p 013 to be put up on screen,
229
00:18:18.780 --> 00:18:21.120
but generally those particularly sitting around the table,
230
00:18:21.520 --> 00:18:26.200
I guess you've got copies of the order, um, to hand.
231
00:18:27.220 --> 00:18:29.760
And, um, Ms. Huson,
232
00:18:29.800 --> 00:18:33.000
I presume you've got a copy of the order fairly readily to hand as well?

233
00:18:36.990 --> 00:18:38.200
That is correct, sir. Yes.
234
00:18:38.310 --> 00:18:39.143
Yeah, good.
235
00:18:45.790 --> 00:18:48.080
What, what we had intended to do, uh, Mr.
236
00:18:48.080 --> 00:18:51.480
Greenwood is ask you in effect to give a, an explanation of,
237
00:18:51.620 --> 00:18:56.280
of your approach to the drafting of the order and the explanatory memorandum.

238
00:18:56.940 --> 00:19:01.920
Um, so if you'd like to lead off in effect, in a kindness summary, um,

239
00:19:02.390 --> 00:19:06.040
then if you want to take us through the drafting changes that,

```
240
00:19:07.460 --> 00:19:11.720
um, have been made or are in the process of being made, and then
we'll,
241
00:19:11.730 --> 00:19:16.000
we'll come to my questions. Yep. If everybody's content with that.
Mr. Owen,
242
00:19:16.090 --> 00:19:16.923
sorry, just before you,
243
00:19:17.060 --> 00:19:21.000
Robbie Owen for C L D N. Um, so if I could just, uh,
244
00:19:21.430 --> 00:19:26.360
make this point that before we come on to discuss particular
245
00:19:26.600 --> 00:19:30.440
articles in the draft, uh, D C 0, uh,
246
00:19:30.670 --> 00:19:35.240
just as you've asked for the applicant to explain its approach to
the drafting
247
00:19:35.240 --> 00:19:38.720
the D C O and the expansion memorandum, uh,
248
00:19:38.720 --> 00:19:41.800
certainly for C L D N, uh, I,
249
00:19:41.920 --> 00:19:46.280
I would appreciate the opportunity to give some general, uh,
250
00:19:46.280 --> 00:19:48.000
to give a general response, um,
251
00:19:48.100 --> 00:19:52.960
in terms of how we see the approach to the drafting the D C 0, um,
because,
```

00:19:53.220 --> 00:19:53.800
uh, I,
253
00:19:53.800 $\rightarrow$ 00:19:58.440
I think that may assist and that'll be a general statement with a few

254
00:19:58.840 - 00:19:59.460
examples.
255
00:19:59.460 --> 00:20:04.200
It won't be specific going through articles because that obviously comes later.

256
00:20:04.420 $->$ 00:20:04.840
But, uh,
257
00:20:04.840 $->$ 00:20:08.760
if there's an opportunity for us to respond in general terms to the overall

258
00:20:09.000 --> 00:20:12.490
approach to the drafting D C 0, I'd appreciate that. Thank

259
00:20:12.490 --> 00:20:15.610
You. That sounds very easy. Mr. Walker, would you like the same opportunity?

260
00:20:16.630 $\rightarrow$ 00:20:19.090
Uh, thank you sir. Angus Walker for D F T S?
261
00:20:19.210 $\rightarrow$ 00:20:23.010
I don't think we have any general points. I I would just ask that $I$,
262
00:20:23.170 - -> 00:20:26.650
I note you have on the agenda some particular articles are
mentioned.
263
00:20:27.230 --> 00:20:29.210
We have some points on other articles.
264
$00: 20: 29.210 \rightarrow 00: 20: 32.490$

```
Shall we just indicate in between the,
265
00:20:32.490 --> 00:20:34.490
your points that we want to raise them?
266
00:20:35.390 --> 00:20:39.690
Uh, yeah, I think we'll see how we're going. I I should have said in
opening,
267
00:20:40.190 --> 00:20:41.023
um,
268
00:20:44.920 --> 00:20:49.440
What we've identified may look a bit random, a lot of, uh,
2 6 9
00:20:49.440 --> 00:20:52.840
the reason why, particularly in a hearing, um, well,
2 7 0
00:20:52.840 --> 00:20:57.800
certainly my approach is I'm always looking to fill in the gaps in
terms of what
271
00:20:57.880 --> 00:21:02.760
I do or, or really don't understand from what I've seen in writing.
Um,
272
00:21:03.060 --> 00:21:07.400
so that's why cer certain things might look, um,
2 7 3
00:21:08.140 --> 00:21:11.200
as I say, a little bit random. Um, but yeah, I'm,
274
00:21:11.340 --> 00:21:14.800
I'm content and I think my colleagues will be content that, um,
275
00:21:15.740 --> 00:21:18.000
if we'd start with the summary from the applicant,
276
00:21:18.390 --> 00:21:22.360
then we'll we'll go around the table in terms of if there are any ob
obvious
```

277
00:21:22.360 --> 00:21:27.200
observations either, um, that Mr. Ion wishes to make or you Mr.
278
00:21:27.200 --> 00:21:31.920
Walker wish to make depending on what you hear. Um, but then yeah, if, if,

279
00:21:31.980 --> 00:21:35.000
if there's something obvious in an article, uh, and,
280
00:21:35.060 --> 00:21:38.160
and if I've gone say 10 to 20 , but you wanted to say something about 15,

281
00:21:38.160 --> 00:21:40.800
then by all means thank you, chip in. Thank
282
00:21:40.800 --> 00:21:43.640
You, sir. And can I just double check through you that the,
283
00:21:43.740 --> 00:21:48.400
the version we're looking at is the application version rather than a subsequent

284
00:21:49.150 --> 00:21:50.560
amendment to it. Thank you.
285
00:21:50.830 --> 00:21:54.240
Yeah, certainly. I'm only working to an applicant 'cause that's all I've seen.

286
00:21:56.100 --> 00:22:00.680
And, um, similarly for the, the Harbor Authority, are you content to,

287
00:22:00.680 --> 00:22:01.840
to work on that sort of basis?
288
00:22:02.340 --> 00:22:04.800
Yes, uh, uh, Victoria Hartson Harbor Authority? Yes.

```
00:22:05.300 --> 00:22:06.800
And, uh, Ms. Heon,
290
00:22:07.180 --> 00:22:11.440
are there any particular aspects of the order from the Environment
Agency's
291
00:22:11.440 --> 00:22:14.080
perspective that you'd like to focus on
292
00:22:16.720 --> 00:22:20.940
And hewitson for the Environment Agency? No, sir. There are no
specific items,
293
00:22:21.050 --> 00:22:24.180
sort of over and above those we've made in our relevant
representations.
294
00:22:24.330 --> 00:22:28.100
I'll just sort of join in if you require any clarification of,
295
00:22:28.120 --> 00:22:30.460
of the points that we've made. Thank you.
296
00:22:31.440 --> 00:22:34.060
Please, uh, feel free to chip in, um,
297
00:22:34.320 --> 00:22:39.140
at a point if it's of a concern or interest to the agency,
298
00:22:39.840 --> 00:22:40.673
um,
299
00:22:42.840 --> 00:22:46.660
you are suppose slightly at a disadvantage because you are the only
participant
300
00:22:46.660 --> 00:22:51.060
online and it's easy for us to forget that you are there. Um,
301
00:22:51.160 --> 00:22:54.700
so make you do, make sure that, uh, you indicate and,
```

302
00:22:54.760 --> 00:22:57.020
and we will try and bring you in at an appropriate time.
303
00:22:58.260 --> 00:22:59.140
I will, sir. Thank you.
304
00:23:01.850 --> 00:23:03.350
Mr. Greenwood. If you'd like to,
305
00:23:03.670 --> 00:23:08.110
I think the best way is then if you summarize the approach to drafting,

306
00:23:08.970 --> 00:23:12.990
um, and then I think we'll, we'll come to Mr. Owen and any points that he's got.

307
00:23:13.850 --> 00:23:18.550
And then if you pick up, uh, where changes are, are likely, uh, to be made,

308
00:23:18.940 --> 00:23:21.110
does that seem a, a good way forward?
309
00:23:21.600 --> 00:23:25.830
Thank you, sir. I, I wonder whether it might be more benefit if Mr. Owen,

310
00:23:26.570 --> 00:23:29.830
uh, heard what I was going to say, uh, and when he,
311
00:23:29.940 --> 00:23:34.310
when I've concluded going through the D C 0, um, I may have, uh,
312
00:23:34.980 --> 00:23:37.390
covered some of the points you wanted to make, but I, I'm,
313
00:23:37.450 --> 00:23:39.390
I'm in your hands in Mr. Owen's hands,

00:23:41.410 --> 00:23:44.830
Uh, Robbie Owens, c r d n. Uh, I, I think the,
315
00:23:44.830 $\rightarrow$ 00:23:47.920
The points $I$ wanted to make are some general observations that sort of

316
00:23:47.920 --> 00:23:51.840
cross-cutting points, uh, that pervade through the D C 0 .
317
00:23:51.860 --> 00:23:56.200
So I think it would be helpful for the hearing to, um,
318
00:23:56.430 --> 00:24:00.560
receive those points, uh, uh, at the stage that we discussed five minutes ago,

319
00:24:00.560 $\rightarrow$ 00:24:03.680
rather than right at the end, as I think Mr. Greenwood might be suggesting.

320
00:24:06.630 --> 00:24:07.463
Okay. I think
321
00:24:08.940 --> 00:24:10.360
I'm, I'm perfectly happy. Yeah,
322
00:24:10.420 $->$ 00:24:10.650
Let,
323
00:24:10.650 - 0) 00:24:15.120
let's go through a summary of what was the general approach before you started

324
00:24:15.120 --> 00:24:19.840
looking at amendment. Once you've done that summary, uh, we'll ask Mr.

325
00:24:19.870 - 00:24:24.480
Owen, uh, for any observations he's got, then we'll turn to, uh,
326
$00: 24: 25.220 \rightarrow 00: 24: 29.320$

```
the evolution or, uh, what is likely to be the evolution of the
order,
327
00:24:31.460 --> 00:24:32.293
if you'd like to.
328
00:24:32.730 --> 00:24:36.040
Thank you sir. Brian Greenwood for a b p, sir,
329
00:24:36.100 --> 00:24:39.880
to begin on a positive and collaborative note, uh, Mr.
330
00:24:39.880 --> 00:24:41.920
Walker in particular, and also Mr.
3 3 1
00:24:42.030 --> 00:24:46.440
Owen have offered some very helpful comments on the drafting of the
D C 0, uh,
332
00:24:46.620 --> 00:24:48.200
one, two quite detailed notes.
3 3 3
00:24:48.500 --> 00:24:51.240
And I'm happy to say that nearly all of them we have in fact,
334
00:24:51.240 --> 00:24:55.800
incorporated and accepted. So, uh, I'm very happy with that. Uh,
3 3 5
00:24:55.860 --> 00:25:00.440
so in terms of drafting, uh, the draft D C o,
336
00:25:01.330 --> 00:25:04.680
we've been conscious of two elements really.
337
00:25:05.140 --> 00:25:09.880
The first is there are not many port dcos. So again,
338
00:25:10.060 --> 00:25:12.840
um, with a certain amount of thanks. Um,
3 3 9
00:25:13.260 --> 00:25:18.240
```

the two principle dcos that we have looked at are first one drafted by Mr.

## 340

00:25:18.240 --> 00:25:23.160
Walker a few years back for the, uh, Abel Marine Energy Park,
341
00:25:23.440 --> 00:25:27.400
D C 0 , and then most recently, one drafted by Mr. Owen, uh,
342
00:25:27.540 --> 00:25:28.920
for the Tilbury two project.
343
00:25:29.220 --> 00:25:33.200
And a lot of the provisions in those two doos we have in fact

## 344

00:25:33.520 --> 00:25:38.280
incorporated the difficulty we have had in
345
00:25:38.950 --> 00:25:41.080
framing this D C o, sir,
346
00:25:41.420 --> 00:25:45.920
is a question of timing and delivery because, uh,
347
00:25:46.660 --> 00:25:51.400
whilst it may appear at the outset, a very simple, straightforward project,

348
00:25:52.210 --> 00:25:57.120
three new births in the marine environment associated development or within

349
00:25:57.180 --> 00:26:02.040
the port, the marine environment development, um, is,
350
00:26:02.100 --> 00:26:06.800
is a, a difficult area to satisfy the necessary regulators.
351
00:26:07.180 --> 00:26:11.240
So, for example, if you've looked, uh, in detail at the draft, uh,

00:26:11.980 --> 00:26:14.000
marine license, um,
353
00:26:14.820 --> 00:26:19.080
we are in fact having to accept a number of restrictions.
354
00:26:19.620 --> 00:26:21.920
Uh, I will come to it in more detail when we,
355
00:26:21.920 --> 00:26:26.040
when we go through or act in appropriate time later. But for example,

356
00:26:26.180 --> 00:26:28.560
we have agreed, uh, that, uh,
357
00:26:28.560 --> 00:26:32.600
there will be a restriction between the 1st of October and the 31st of March

358
00:26:32.670 --> 00:26:36.800
inclusive that there will be no marine construction activity
359
00:26:37.190 --> 00:26:41.200
located within 200 meters of the intertidal mudflat.
360
00:26:41.500 --> 00:26:45.000
And this is so that the, that the birds on the mud flat are not disturbed.

361
00:26:45.380 --> 00:26:48.320
So that is still part of a pending negotiation,
362
00:26:48.780 --> 00:26:53.200
but what we have had to do in framing the D C 0 is ensure
363
00:26:54.030 --> 00:26:57.880
that we can receive the necessary authorization,
364
00:26:58.060 --> 00:27:02.840
the necessary powers to construct the development while

365
00:27:02.970 --> 00:27:07.480
still delivering it within an appropriate timeframe. And, uh,
366
00:27:08.460 --> 00:27:12.760
in full compliance with the restrictions that are being proposed, suggested,

367
00:27:13.140 --> 00:27:14.800
and currently being negotiated,
368
00:27:15.540 --> 00:27:20.160
in particular with Natural England and the Marine Management organization.

369
00:27:21.910 --> 00:27:26.690
And sir, you will see that there are various parts of the draft order,

370
00:27:27.230 --> 00:27:28.063
uh,
371
00:27:28.320 --> 00:27:33.010
that to go to means whereby we can speed the
372
00:27:33.210 --> 00:27:37.410
delivery process whilst at the same time, uh,
373
00:27:37.640 --> 00:27:40.730
keeping in compliance with the wishes of the regulators.
374
00:27:41.870 --> 00:27:45.450
So I think that's as far as I need go at this stage,
375
00:27:45.550 --> 00:27:48.610
unless there are any specific questions. And if you could hear from
Mr.
376
00:27:48.640 --> 00:27:52.250
Owen and then I'll take you through as a signposting exercise.
377
00:27:54.500 --> 00:27:56.290

Thank you, Mr. Greenman. Mr. Owen, 378
00:27:58.150 --> 00:28:00.130
Uh, thank you sir. Rob Owen for C L D M
379
00:28:03.070 --> 00:28:03.630
Ss,
380
00:28:03.630 --> 00:28:08.610
Sir, in summary, uh, in terms of our overall observations on the, uh,

381
00:28:08.610 --> 00:28:09.890
draft development consent order,
382
00:28:09.990 --> 00:28:14.650
we do have serious concerns that it has not been drafted with
383
00:28:14.800 --> 00:28:19.730
appropriate, uh, rigor or precision, uh, uh, or with
384
00:28:22.570 --> 00:28:24.610
adequate regard to current advice and guidance.
385
00:28:28.110 --> 00:28:28.550
Uh,
386
00:28:28.550 --> 00:28:32.850
Mr. Greenwood referred to The two previous, uh,
387
00:28:32.960 --> 00:28:34.490
port Dcos. Uh,
388
00:28:34.610 --> 00:28:38.090
I believe actually there was also another one for the Yorkshire Potash scheme,

389
00:28:38.150 --> 00:28:39.730
but be that as it may, uh,
390
00:28:39.730 --> 00:28:44.290
and I was indeed responsible for the most recent of those dcos for the expansion

391
00:28:44.290 --> 00:28:47.890
of the Port of Tilbury for the Tilbury two scheme. But the, the,
392
00:28:47.890 --> 00:28:52.530
the points I'm about to make are more general good practice points relating to

393
00:28:53.150 --> 00:28:55.090
the drafting of development consent orders and,
394
00:28:55.090 --> 00:28:59.170
and they're not harbor specific d c o points. So I,
395
00:28:59.250 $\rightarrow$ 00:29:01.090
I don't think there's anything really much in what Mr .
396
00:29:01.090 --> 00:29:03.810
Green was said in that respect about there being, uh,
397
00:29:03.920 --> 00:29:06.130
very few harbor dcos.
398
00:29:08.700 --> 00:29:11.370
We've got a number of concerns, and I'll just give some examples in a moment.

399
00:29:11.910 - -> 00:29:13.970
Um, but, but in summary, it,
400
00:29:13.970 - 00:29:17.450
it word development consent to be granted in the form applied for.
401
00:29:19.220 --> 00:29:23.970
There is no certainty that the development would adhere to the parameters that

402
00:29:23.970 - -> 00:29:26.210
have been assessed. Uh,

```
4 0 3
00:29:26.210 --> 00:29:30.730
and there is no certainty that the mitigation assessors being
required would be
404
00:29:30.730 --> 00:29:31.563
delivered.
4 0 5
00:29:33.320 --> 00:29:37.850
This manifests itself most obviously in relation to the requirements
406
00:29:38.190 --> 00:29:42.150
set out in schedule two to the draft D C 0, but,
407
00:29:42.150 --> 00:29:45.590
but it's actually a common issue running throughout the whole
document.
4 0 8
00:29:47.210 --> 00:29:50.670
And in relation to this lack of precision in the drafting, uh,
4 0 9
00:29:50.770 --> 00:29:53.150
I'd like to give just five examples.
4 1 0
00:29:56.530 --> 00:30:00.910
The first is there is lack of clarity in relation to vertical limits
of
4 1 1
00:30:00.910 --> 00:30:02.270
deviation. In Article seven,
4 1 2
00:30:04.050 --> 00:30:08.910
the reference point from which the power to deviate may be exercised
is
4 1 3
00:30:08.910 --> 00:30:12.910
said to be the levels shown on the engineering sections, drawings
and plans.
4 1 4
00:30:15.370 --> 00:30:17.910
But those levels are marked as indicative
```

```
00:30:19.570 --> 00:30:23.750
and there's no clear labeling telling the reader the maximum height
of the
416
00:30:23.870 --> 00:30:24.703
structures
4 1 7
00:30:26.230 --> 00:30:30.970
or clarifying whether the levels are to be scaled from existing or
418
00:30:31.210 --> 00:30:35.480
proposed ground levels. Put simply,
4 1 9
00:30:35.480 --> 00:30:39.960
there is no firm point of reference to determine the starting point
4 2 0
00:30:40.740 --> 00:30:44.960
of the proposed two meters upwards limit of deviation.
4 2 1
00:30:51.770 --> 00:30:56.030
The second example is that there is a lack of precision or care
taken with the
4 2 2
00:30:56.030 --> 00:31:00.430
drafting of the requirements themselves in schedule two to pick,
4 2 3
00:31:00.570 --> 00:31:05.270
to pick one example of many requirement four replies working
4 2 4
00:31:05.280 --> 00:31:08.430
hours to associated development,
4 2 5
00:31:09.770 --> 00:31:14.750
but nowhere is it spelt out. What development is the associated
development.
426
00:31:15.740 --> 00:31:17.430
It's not defined in the requirements.
4 2 7
00:31:18.370 --> 00:31:22.990
And schedule one to the D C O does not seek to differentiate between
the
```

```
4 2 8
00:31:22.990 --> 00:31:27.270
nationally significant infrastructure project and the associated
development.
4 2 9
00:31:29.120 --> 00:31:32.530
There's a similar issue with requirement five that seeks to impose
construction
4 3 0
00:31:32.530 --> 00:31:36.810
hours on quote Marine works, but again,
4 3 1
00:31:36.810 --> 00:31:38.050
that term is not defined.
4 3 2
00:31:41.080 --> 00:31:41.913
The third example
4 3 3
00:31:43.400 --> 00:31:46.700
is there is no clear definition of operational use
4 3 4
00:31:48.320 --> 00:31:50.220
to trigger operational mitigation.
4 3 5
00:31:51.440 --> 00:31:54.020
And the drafting currently employed
4 3 6
00:31:56.220 --> 00:31:59.700
conflicts with the definition of commence given in Article two one
4 3 7
00:32:01.120 --> 00:32:04.500
and the definition of commence given in paragraph one of schedule
two.
4 3 8
00:32:07.980 --> 00:32:12.670
Clearly the term commence need only be defined once and in the
4 3 9
00:32:12.670 --> 00:32:14.990
appropriate place in the D in the D C 0.
4 4 0
00:32:23.390 --> 00:32:27.210
```

```
The fourth example is that the delivery of important mitigation is
left to the
4 4 1
00:32:27.210 --> 00:32:31.450
applicant with no requirement for local planning authority or any
other relevant
4 4 2
00:32:31.590 --> 00:32:35.690
organizational approval. C for example, requirement date,
4 4 3
00:32:36.540 --> 00:32:40.080
which only requires accordance with the construction environmental
management
444
00:32:40.190 --> 00:32:41.023
plan.
4 4 5
00:32:43.340 --> 00:32:47.760
As we'll be expressing in detailed written representations that
document has
446
00:32:47.760 --> 00:32:52.600
submitted is a high level summary falling far short of the
4 4 7
00:32:52.600 --> 00:32:57.240
detail necessary to have confidence or certainty that
4 4 8
00:32:57.420 --> 00:33:01.080
the right mitigation will be delivered at the right time.
4 4 9
00:33:08.290 --> 00:33:12.910
The fifth and for now final example of our serious
4 5 0
00:33:12.910 --> 00:33:17.390
concern with the draft in the order is the number of what are often
called
4 5 1
00:33:17.580 --> 00:33:19.390
tailpiece provisions
4 5 2
00:33:21.560 --> 00:33:22.830
Given without reasoning.
```

```
4 5 3
00:33:22.890 --> 00:33:26.910
And these provisions would allow the applicant to circumvent the
statutory
4 5 4
00:33:26.910 --> 00:33:29.750
processes for changing a development consent order,
4 5 5
00:33:29.960 --> 00:33:32.870
which is set out in the planning Act of 2008,
456
00:33:35.390 --> 00:33:38.450
for example, when there are lots of these. Article 21,
4 5 7
00:33:38.880 --> 00:33:43.690
paragraph two would allow the number of passengers permitted to
depart
4 5 8
00:33:43.800 --> 00:33:46.690
from the harbor facilities to be increased
4 5 9
00:33:48.270 --> 00:33:50.370
if agreed with the relevant planning authority.
4 6 0
00:33:53.880 --> 00:33:58.460
Yet we are told in the expansion memorandum that throughout that
throughput
4 6 1
00:33:58.460 --> 00:33:59.040
rather,
4 6 2
00:33:59.040 --> 00:34:04.020
is a key parameter that has been fixed to set the assessment of the
4 6 3
00:34:04.180 --> 00:34:06.220
envelope for the environmental impact assessment.
464
00:34:10.380 --> 00:34:12.950
This widespread use of tail pieces
4 6 5
00:34:14.500 --> 00:34:18.910
```

```
clearly departs from the very clear guidance given in this respect
4 6 6
00:34:19.650 --> 00:34:23.830
in section 17 of the planning Inspectorates advice note 15,
4 6 7
00:34:23.830 --> 00:34:27.110
which deals with the drafting of development consent orders.
4 6 8
00:34:30.050 --> 00:34:34.670
So I hope that gives the panel a flavor for where we
4 6 9
00:34:35.090 --> 00:34:39.550
are coming from in terms of our serious concerns with the
4 7 0
00:34:40.190 --> 00:34:45.070
drafting of the D C 0, which, um, we, we, we find throughout the
document.
4 7 1
00:34:45.770 --> 00:34:48.910
Um, and those are just a few examples. Thank you,
4 7 2
00:34:51.370 --> 00:34:54.790
Mr. Mr. Walker,
4 7 3
00:34:55.250 --> 00:35:00.030
did you want to say anything in that connection or do you wanna rest
with what
4 7 4
00:35:00.030 --> 00:35:01.590
Mr. Owen has had to say?
4 7 5
00:35:02.280 --> 00:35:06.870
Angus Walker for DF d s um, um, I endorse much of what Mr.
4 7 6
00:35:06.870 --> 00:35:09.910
Owen has said and we have many examples,
4 7 7
00:35:10.050 --> 00:35:14.790
but on specific points rather than to address generally of a similar
nature.

00:35:15.770 --> 00:35:19.110
And we have conveyed them in our relevant representation in on the whole.

479
00:35:22.040 --> 00:35:24.590
Thank you. Mr. Walker, anything from the Harbor Authority?
480
00:35:26.790 --> 00:35:31.230
Victoria Hutton for the Harbor Master? No, sir. No comment. Mr. Greenwood,

481
00:35:31.570 --> 00:35:32.750
do you want to respond?
482
00:35:34.280 --> 00:35:35.230
Brian Greenwood for
483
00:35:35.370 --> 00:35:37.240
Ab p I mean, just before you do, I mean there,
484
00:35:37.240 --> 00:35:41.520
there are a number of drafting type points that we've picked up.
485
00:35:42.420 --> 00:35:43.253
Um,
486
00:35:44.420 --> 00:35:49.360
and it's likely that in our first written questions you might be hit with,

487
00:35:50.580 --> 00:35:53.800
um, questions relating to drafting.
488
00:35:53.870 --> 00:35:58.200
Some of what I'm gonna touch on this afternoon in my questions also will touch

489
00:35:58.200 --> 00:36:02.880
on that, but it, depending on your response, um,
490
00:36:03.980 --> 00:36:08.800
as to whether or not you're gonna go away and do a quite a hefty
```

review of,
4 9 1
00:36:09.580 --> 00:36:11.960
if I first principle type drafting type cons,
4 9 2
00:36:12.440 --> 00:36:15.320
considerations might determine, sorry,
4 9 3
00:36:15.320 --> 00:36:19.440
determine whether or not certainly examining authority needs to
produce a raft
4 9 4
00:36:19.440 --> 00:36:23.760
of questions or a question with an appendix,
4 9 5
00:36:23.870 --> 00:36:27.960
with a lots of picky little drafting type points. Uh,
4 9 6
00:36:27.980 --> 00:36:31.000
so given that background, uh, we'd, we'd like to respond to Mr.
4 9 7
00:36:32.030 --> 00:36:36.210
Okay, excuse me, Mr. Gold, if I may just chip in, um,
498
00:36:36.490 --> 00:36:38.250
a rider on that in that, uh,
4 9 9
00:36:38.510 --> 00:36:43.170
in drafting the questions such that they can be issued as soon as
it's
500
00:36:43.770 --> 00:36:48.050
sensibly convenient. Um, ideally with the I R eight, uh, uh,
501
00:36:48.410 --> 00:36:49.770
roulette eight letter next week,
502
00:36:51.110 --> 00:36:55.290
we may be putting in questions which you have actually already
503
00:36:55.440 --> 00:36:58.210

```
anticipated and have addressed,
504
00:36:58.630 --> 00:37:02.250
in which case we'll be generally, um, uh,
505
00:37:02.520 --> 00:37:07.210
like covering our questions with, if not already addressed in response.

506
00:37:07.670 --> 00:37:11.330
So just as a, as a warning shot, we, we,
507
00:37:11.350 --> 00:37:13.210
we fear there may be some duplication there,
508
00:37:13.210 --> 00:37:16.810
but I think it's in the general interest of moving this examination forward very

509
00:37:16.810 --> 00:37:17.643
quickly.
510
00:37:20.380 --> 00:37:24.930
Thank you, sir. Uh, uh, Brian Greenwood for a b p,
511
00:37:25.190 --> 00:37:29.050
sir, I don't really think it would be helpful, uh,
512
00:37:29.150 --> 00:37:32.410
if I were to respond at this stage to Mr. Owens, uh, comments,
513
00:37:32.590 --> 00:37:35.850
not all of which I agree, but overall, uh,
514
00:37:36.370 --> 00:37:40.530
I take any comments received, uh, as very helpful. Thank you very much.

515
00:37:40.670 --> 00:37:44.850
And we look forward to seeing the written representations from both, uh,
```

516
00:37:45.450 --> 00:37:49.690
C L D N and D F D S, uh, to incorporate as appropriate.
517
00:37:50.430 --> 00:37:51.263
Uh,
518
00:37:51.740 --> 00:37:56.330
would it be best now if I start moving through the draft D C 0,
5 1 9
00:37:56.590 --> 00:38:00.170
uh, and in so doing, I have marked all of the specific points, sir,
5 2 0
00:38:00.170 --> 00:38:04.490
that you have identified that you wish to discuss. And, uh, I will
pause at,
521
00:38:04.550 --> 00:38:05.690
at that stage
522
00:38:08.560 --> 00:38:09.810
That would seem appropriate. Yep.
523
00:38:10.300 --> 00:38:12.970
Thank you sir. Well, sir,
524
00:38:13.300 --> 00:38:16.210
right at the beginning in the definitions,
525
00:38:16.550 --> 00:38:19.690
and this actually relates also to requirement 18,
526
00:38:20.350 --> 00:38:25.050
we are including a definition for the
527
00:38:25.470 --> 00:38:27.690
harbor master, uh,
528
00:38:27.980 --> 00:38:32.810
which does need a degree of clarification. And sir,

```
00:38:33.230 --> 00:38:38.040
uh, I was going to offer, if it would be helpful a note, um,
5 3 0
00:38:38.620 --> 00:38:39.453
by, uh,
5 3 1
00:38:39.680 --> 00:38:43.720
deadline one explaining the difference of powers,
532
00:38:43.780 --> 00:38:46.000
the jurisdiction and the, uh,
53
00:38:46.290 --> 00:38:49.080
separation between the Harbor Master and the Dock Master.
5 3 4
00:38:50.380 --> 00:38:55.040
Uh, you have kind of preempted what we were likely to be asking for
later in the
535
00:38:55.040 --> 00:38:59.600
afternoon. If, if I just, um, take that point up.
536
00:39:00.380 --> 00:39:04.760
We certainly have been struggling to work out who does what,
5 3 7
00:39:05.250 --> 00:39:10.200
where the jurisdictions for who does what begins ends. Um,
538
00:39:10.540 --> 00:39:11.373
so yeah,
539
00:39:12.170 --> 00:39:16.960
definition of the role of Dock Master and what he or she
540
00:39:17.110 --> 00:39:21.880
does in terms of the operation of the port definition of
5 4 1
00:39:22.220 --> 00:39:25.280
Harbor Master for the Port of Immingham.
542
00:39:25.820 --> 00:39:27.320
```

```
If that is different to
543
00:39:29.020 --> 00:39:32.680
the Harbor Master for the Humber, um,
544
00:39:33.300 --> 00:39:35.960
it would also be helpful for us,
545
00:39:35.980 --> 00:39:40.040
but we may well touch on this anyway on Thursday.
546
00:39:41.420 --> 00:39:42.253
Um,
547
00:39:42.300 --> 00:39:47.280
as to what the relationship between the statutory Harbor master is
548
00:39:47.980 --> 00:39:49.720
and the parent company,
549
00:39:50.700 --> 00:39:55.280
we are particularly interested to know how much arm's length,
5 5 0
00:39:55.780 --> 00:39:59.360
um, involvement there is. Um,
5 5 1
00:39:59.620 --> 00:40:03.480
but certainly in dealing with those jurisdictional type things in
terms of the
552
00:40:03.480 --> 00:40:07.920
various masters and what they do,
55
00:40:08.620 --> 00:40:11.640
uh, we'd also like to see that by reference to a plan.
554
00:40:11.820 --> 00:40:16.200
So it's clear that we understand what the jurisdictions are.
5 5 5
00:40:16.940 --> 00:40:21.440
Um, 'cause thinking forward, we may in our recommendation report,
```

```
556
00:40:21.580 --> 00:40:25.960
having need to convey some of this to the Secretary of State and
557
00:40:26.580 --> 00:40:29.040
the, the simpler we can make that process.
558
00:40:29.260 --> 00:40:34.040
And often that will be a combination of words and plans that just
559
00:40:34.040 --> 00:40:36.200
makes it a lot easier. Um,
560
00:40:36.500 --> 00:40:40.560
so it might be what we're really asking for is some sort of
technical guide
561
00:40:40.710 --> 00:40:44.600
note, um, that's got all of that information in one place.
562
00:40:44.960 --> 00:40:49.680
'cause it's often much easier certainly in reporting if we've got an
aid
563
00:40:49.820 --> 00:40:53.920
memoir where we just keep on referring the reader to.
564
00:40:56.550 --> 00:40:57.383
Yeah.
565
00:40:58.410 --> 00:41:01.760
Again, Mr. Greenwood, if, uh, I may add a writer, uh,
566
00:41:01.830 --> 00:41:06.720
this is I think a useful opportunity to get a step ahead of the game
from
567
00:41:07.080 --> 00:41:12.000
Thursday and, uh, that note that you so kindly offer, which we,
568
00:41:12.060 --> 00:41:14.040
```

```
we accept gratefully, uh,
569
00:41:15.520 --> 00:41:18.880
I think we'd very much appreciate if you could cover the safety
governance,
570
00:41:18.900 --> 00:41:23.600
if we may embrace it as that, uh, as a,
571
00:41:23.980 --> 00:41:28.080
an explanation of where the interfaces are
572
00:41:28.630 --> 00:41:33.560
between the different, um, uh, uh, partly the different ssha,
573
00:41:33.700 --> 00:41:38.680
but actually trying to understand what the communications lines are.
574
00:41:39.260 --> 00:41:43.680
An OrganiGram might be a very helpful tool there. Um,
575
00:41:44.140 --> 00:41:45.120
and, uh,
576
00:41:45.400 --> 00:41:50.400
I think it would be very useful if you could also be considering in
this the
577
00:41:51.400 --> 00:41:55.960
relationship of a project specific N R A
578
00:41:57.140 --> 00:42:00.680
and the N R A for the Port of England, uh,
579
00:42:01.100 --> 00:42:05.800
as extant and one assumes, uh, an N R A,
580
00:42:05.800 --> 00:42:10.640
which is updated at a period which you would perhaps like
51
00:42:10.640 --> 00:42:14.280
```

```
to indicate for our guidance. Um,
58
00:42:17.350 --> 00:42:19.330
and I think if that, um,
583
00:42:20.270 --> 00:42:24.810
is another opportunity to just ask ahead of, ahead of Thursday,
584
00:42:25.270 --> 00:42:27.410
we would be asking otherwise, um,
585
00:42:27.630 --> 00:42:32.210
if that port n r a could be submitted into the examination,
586
00:42:32.210 --> 00:42:35.850
if you could consider that and, uh, let us know if that would be
acceptable.
587
00:42:37.140 --> 00:42:40.970
Brian Greenwood for a b p I will certainly ask my client, sir,
588
00:42:41.060 --> 00:42:42.610
there is a difficulty,
589
00:42:42.610 --> 00:42:45.850
which you would've seen in some of the correspondence as to
confidentiality,
590
00:42:46.350 --> 00:42:49.090
but I will certainly try and assist as, as far as we can
591
00:42:49.350 --> 00:42:52.370
If you think it would help the examination. That is, yes, sir. Thank
you.
592
00:42:53.360 --> 00:42:56.370
Also, on the point of governance, uh,
593
00:42:56.430 --> 00:43:00.490
it would assist us to understand what the relationship is between
594
```

```
00:43:01.790 --> 00:43:06.250
the harbor of th master and any role that the health and safety
5 9 5
00:43:06.360 --> 00:43:07.530
executive has got,
596
00:43:07.530 --> 00:43:11.970
particularly in connection with the operation of the I o T
597
00:43:12.480 --> 00:43:15.010
side of things. Um, 'cause again,
598
00:43:15.150 --> 00:43:19.850
we have been struggling a little to understand where the
jurisdictional overlaps
599
00:43:20.510 --> 00:43:25.450
are, particularly as the Health and Safety executive. Um,
6 0 0
00:43:25.800 --> 00:43:30.530
I'll paraphrase seem reasonably content from what we've seen
6 0 1
00:43:31.070 --> 00:43:34.330
to this point. But again, we need to understand,
602
00:43:34.850 --> 00:43:39.650
particularly as iot have raised some concerns about their
6 0 3
00:43:39.800 --> 00:43:42.850
operation, what they have to do under the coma regulations.
604
00:43:44.270 --> 00:43:44.950
And again,
6 0 5
00:43:44.950 --> 00:43:49.850
we need to understand potentially what they are having to do versus
606
00:43:50.080 --> 00:43:53.170
what, uh, the Harbor Authority is having to do.
6 0 7
00:43:53.990 --> 00:43:57.010
```

```
And as, as, as Mr. Bradley indicated,
```

608
00:43:57.010 --> 00:44:01.370
where there may be overlaps trying to demystify all of that.
609
00:44:04.380 --> 00:44:06.890
Brian Greenwood for a b p. Uh, thank you sir.
610
00:44:07.070 --> 00:44:10.490
I'm really looking forward to drafting this simple, straightforward
note. Um,
611
00:44:10.870 --> 00:44:13.410
but we have taken note of everything and sir, um,
612
00:44:13.650 --> 00:44:18.170
I hope deadline one's acceptable for, for this. And if I could just
draw in, uh,
613
00:44:18.320 --> 00:44:20.530
council for the Harbor Master.
614
00:44:21.030 --> 00:44:25.330
We have been discussing the definition and the way forward and we
have wondered
615
00:44:25.330 --> 00:44:28.210
whether it would also be helpful, and you haven't asked for this,
616
00:44:28.310 --> 00:44:31.050
but if we put together a statement of common ground between the,
617
00:44:31.140 --> 00:44:33.560
the two parties, uh, identifying the points.
618
00:44:33.720 --> 00:44:36.760
I dunno if Council for the Harbormaster wishes to add anything.
619
00:44:39.570 --> 00:44:42.370
Victoria Hutton for the Harbor Master of the Humber. Um,

00:44:42.910 --> 00:44:47.290
so we're ready and willing to do whatever would assist you best. Uh,
621
00:44:47.290 --> 00:44:51.330
we haven't obviously put in any representations thus far. Um,
622
00:44:51.590 - 00:44:55.170
we were preparing to give you our 10 minute summary on Thursday of our position.

623
00:44:55.910 --> 00:44:58.610
If it would assist you to agree a statement of common ground, then we,

624
00:44:58.880 - 0> 00:45:01.090
we'd be more than happy to do so and,
625
00:45:01.190 - -> 00:45:05.490
and equally to collaborate on any document to do with powers, et cetera. But I,

626
00:45:05.570 --> 00:45:09.690
I look forward to seeing the simple, simple note from Mr. Greenwood.
627
00:45:11.090 --> 00:45:12.810
I I think at this stage,
628
00:45:12.860 --> 00:45:16.050
we'll leave the issue of whether or not there needs to be a
statement of common
629
00:45:16.050 $\rightarrow$ 00:45:20.530
ground, maybe until we've heard the submissions on Thursday.

630
00:45:21.390 --> 00:45:23.770
Um, because of course one of the things that will flow,
631
00:45:23.770 --> 00:45:26.090
particularly from Thursday, 'cause we've asked for it,
632
00:45:26.670 - 00:45:29.970
is we are gonna need in terms of the summaries that are given by the

```
various
6 3 3
00:45:29.970 --> 00:45:34.050
parties, um, written versions of those summaries,
634
00:45:34.050 --> 00:45:39.010
which will come in as examination documents that may cover a
635
00:45:39.010 --> 00:45:41.730
lot of the territory that might otherwise, uh,
636
00:45:41.790 --> 00:45:46.130
be included in a statement of common ground. Now, if for any reason
6 3 7
00:45:47.850 --> 00:45:52.530
disagreement develops between the Harbor Master and the
638
00:45:52.530 --> 00:45:56.930
applicant in particular during the debate or discussion on Thursday,
639
00:45:57.320 --> 00:46:00.290
then there may be the need for a statement of common ground.
640
00:46:00.490 --> 00:46:04.450
'cause that might then obvi be the obvious route to try and at least
get the two
6 4 1
00:46:04.450 --> 00:46:05.370
sides, um,
642
00:46:07.960 --> 00:46:11.010
into dialogue and explaining their positions. Um,
643
00:46:11.210 --> 00:46:16.100
I think it's important that we should say at this point that, um,
644
00:46:16.760 --> 00:46:19.180
as far as the Harbor Authority is concerned,
645
00:46:20.320 --> 00:46:24.500
we feel they should not feel constrained
```

```
646
00:46:25.920 --> 00:46:30.540
in terms of saying whatever they feel they need to say in the
interest of
647
00:46:30.860 --> 00:46:34.820
whatever statutory, uh, requirements they are filling,
648
00:46:35.370 --> 00:46:39.540
even if that may ultimately result in some sort of conflict,
6 4 9
00:46:40.400 --> 00:46:43.580
um, with, with the applicant, um,
6 5 0
00:46:43.580 --> 00:46:46.180
because they're obviously fulfilling a statutory role,
6 5 1
00:46:46.280 --> 00:46:48.820
we need to understand what that statutory role is.
652
00:46:51.800 --> 00:46:55.380
Uh, Victoria Hutton for the Hubber Master. So thank you. I mean,
6 5 3
00:46:55.380 --> 00:46:58.420
the hob master is here independently represented, uh,
654
00:46:58.440 --> 00:47:01.620
and takes his statutory duties very seriously indeed.
655
00:47:01.680 --> 00:47:06.180
So I can assure you that our representations, uh, will be made in,
656
00:47:06.180 --> 00:47:07.013
in that light.
657
00:47:09.870 --> 00:47:12.620
Thank you Mr. Greenwood, if you,
6 5 8
00:47:14.120 --> 00:47:14.953
Sir
```

```
659
00:47:17.520 --> 00:47:18.740
Mr. Ryan? Sorry.
6 6 0
00:47:19.040 --> 00:47:21.500
So just, just, uh, Robbie Owen for C R D N, just, uh,
6 6 1
00:47:21.520 --> 00:47:24.900
if I may just come back on some of that discussion. Um,
62
00:47:25.160 --> 00:47:28.900
it may be that the note Mr. Greenwood's kindly offered to provide a
deadline.
6 6 3
00:47:28.900 --> 00:47:31.160
One, he's, we'll answer this, but
6 6 4
00:47:31.350 --> 00:47:34.160
He's sort of been hood winged rather than kindly offering, but
6 6 5
00:47:34.350 --> 00:47:36.840
Yeah. But, um, I,
6 6 6
00:47:36.920 --> 00:47:39.480
I would find it helpful if it could particularly deal with a,
6 6 7
00:47:39.560 --> 00:47:44.400
a couple of matters. The first, if, if, um, is it Mr.
6 6 8
00:47:44.640 --> 00:47:45.520
Cockrell could just, uh,
6 6 9
00:47:45.860 --> 00:47:50.760
scroll down to the definition of Humber Conservancy Commissioners,
uh,
6 7 0
00:47:50.760 --> 00:47:55.200
which is referred to in the definition of the Harbor Master. Um, I,
6 7 1
00:47:55.300 --> 00:47:59.960
I'm not entirely clear on that definition 'cause it seems to be
conflating,
```

```
6 7 2
00:48:00.580 --> 00:48:01.413
uh,
6 7 3
00:48:01.500 --> 00:48:06.320
the Conservancy Commissioners with the Port
6 7 4
00:48:06.440 --> 00:48:10.200
of Ingham statutory Harbor Authority. Um, uh, uh,
6 7 5
00:48:10.380 --> 00:48:13.600
and the answer may be because they are one and the same,
676
00:48:13.620 --> 00:48:17.720
but I think it'd be helpful if the note could confirm that. And,
and, and also,
6 7 7
00:48:19.140 --> 00:48:21.560
uh, uh, as I think you touched on, but I wanted to be clear,
678
00:48:21.950 --> 00:48:26.720
what is the relationship between, uh, um, these bodies? Um,
679
00:48:26.980 --> 00:48:28.800
so the Humber Conservancy commissioners,
680
00:48:28.800 --> 00:48:32.440
the Port of Ingham statutory Harbor authority on the one hand,
681
00:48:32.740 --> 00:48:37.720
and the company as defined further up that same page on the other,
um,
682
00:48:38.020 --> 00:48:41.080
yet, yet, what is the relationship? Is there an arms length
relationship or not?
683
00:48:41.080 --> 00:48:45.840
Because, uh, it, it, it is not readily apparent to most of us,
684
00:48:45.960 --> 00:48:48.160
```

I suspect. And therefore, if Mr.
685
00:48:48.160 --> 00:48:52.000
Greenwood's note could provide some clarity in that respect, uh, I,
686
00:48:52.000 --> 00:48:53.160
for one will be very grateful.
687
00:48:55.340 --> 00:48:56.160
Mr. Greenman, Brian
688
00:48:56.160 --> 00:49:01.080
Greenwood for, uh, the a b p, uh, uh, just to put Mr. Owen's mind at rest,

689
00:49:01.330 --> 00:49:05.520
which is always my avowed intent, uh, the Humber,
690
00:49:05.580 --> 00:49:09.320
the term Humber Harbor Commissioners will disappear in the next draft. Sir, uh,

691
00:49:09.320 --> 00:49:12.160
that is one of the discussions we are having with the Harbor Master.
692
00:49:12.700 --> 00:49:16.600
It is actually, uh, an inaccurate out of date, uh,
693
00:49:16.630 --> 00:49:21.520
name and we will correct the record for the next draft and the other points that

694
00:49:21.520 --> 00:49:25.680
Mr. Owen has noted. Thank you. That they'll be taken on board in my short note.

695
00:49:26.460 --> 00:49:27.293
And
696
00:49:29.410 --> 00:49:33.040
where does HU S three services come? Is that in effect,

697
00:49:33.540 --> 00:49:38.120
in effect the new version of the commission or 'cause that was also something we

698
00:49:38.120 --> 00:49:39.480
were scratching our heads about,
699
00:49:41.490 --> 00:49:44.680
Brian Greenwood for a v p. Um, yes, you,
700
00:49:44.740 --> 00:49:47.400
you did warn us earlier not to sidestep questions.
701
00:49:47.410 --> 00:49:51.360
Could I possibly sidestep that one and include it in the note? Yeah, it, it is,

702
00:49:51.380 --> 00:49:55.880
uh, um, an exactly, uh, pertinent question.
703
00:49:56.300 --> 00:49:58.600
Uh, Humber Esri services will be dealt with,
704
00:49:58.620 --> 00:50:03.120
but they are also not a name that will appear in the D C 0 when it's finalized.

705
00:50:04.720 --> 00:50:06.920
I, I think you are probably getting to do,
706
00:50:06.980 --> 00:50:11.280
all we want is as much clarity about who does what and where.
707
00:50:12.220 --> 00:50:15.800
Um, and it, we were certainly, uh,
708
00:50:15.840 --> 00:50:19.600
finding that quite difficult to get to grips with. Um,
709
00:50:19.940 --> 00:50:23.920
and that was part of the reason why for issue specific hearing two

710
00:50:25.440 --> 00:50:27.480
Thursday, we had asked for the,
711
00:50:28.020 --> 00:50:32.960
the various bits of the applicant and or the statutory bits
712
00:50:32.960 --> 00:50:36.960
of the applicant to be present so that we could tease out, um,
713
00:50:38.280 --> 00:50:40.820
who, as I say, who does what, where, and when sort of thing.

714
00:50:41.840 --> 00:50:44.340
But I think we're getting towards, um,
715
00:50:44.760 --> 00:50:48.620
or we will be getting towards a better understanding of all that. But I,

716
00:50:48.700 --> 00:50:51.660
I have to say from the application documentation, it was not clear
717
00:50:53.790 --> 00:50:58.060
Brian Greenwood for a b p, and I can only apologize for the lack of clarity. Uh,

718
00:50:58.160 $\rightarrow$ 00:51:00.140
it is extremely complicated,
719
00:51:00.200 --> 00:51:03.180
and as you'll discover when you see my simple notes, sir,
720
00:51:03.230 --> 00:51:07.980
there is a degree of overlap as well, just to complicate matters.
But
721
00:51:08.520 --> 00:51:13.220
We suspected that might be the case. And again, that may, you know,

00:51:13.220 --> 00:51:14.860
that may be no bad thing.
723
00:51:14.860 --> 00:51:19.340
That might just be where the legislation is because some of it is so old.

724
00:51:20.360 --> 00:51:24.500
Um, and of course it has been amended over time. Um,
725
00:51:24.880 --> 00:51:28.540
and it does then leave you, um, in a slightly difficult situation where yeah,

726
00:51:28.560 --> 00:51:31.500
who is actually ultimately responsible for what.
727
00:51:32.440 --> 00:51:36.820
And we are going to come to a question about Article four, um,
728
00:51:36.820 --> 00:51:41.180
which is the 1847 clauses, um, which, um,
729
00:51:41.280 --> 00:51:42.860
I'm gonna ask you about, but we'll,
730
00:51:42.860 --> 00:51:45.860
we'll get there later because that was again, causing some concern.
731
00:51:48.250 --> 00:51:49.950
Yes, sir? Brian? Oh, sorry,
732
00:51:50.570 --> 00:51:51.403
Mr. Walker
733
00:51:52.080 --> 00:51:53.430
Angus Walker for D F D S,
734
00:51:53.430 --> 00:51:55.910
I just had a brief point I was gonna raise under Article two,

00:51:55.910 --> 00:51:58.230
but it would seem to be convenient to raise it.
736
00:51:58.230 --> 00:52:03.230
Now is the definition of the port of I Immingham in Article two talks about

737
00:52:03.230 --> 00:52:07.790
the Port of Ingham statutory Harbor authority area. I think it, that,

738
00:52:07.900 --> 00:52:12.230
that is not defined further in the D C O and maybe it is defined in another

739
00:52:13.130 --> 00:52:14.550
Harbor Vision order or something,
740
00:52:14.550 --> 00:52:19.150
but it'd be useful to have a plan of that in your this now famous
741
00:52:19.440 --> 00:52:21.030
brief note, please. Thank you,
742
00:52:21.080 --> 00:52:23.310
Which is now run to three or four volumes.
743
00:52:26.780 --> 00:52:30.950
It's Brian Green was for a v p, and thank you Mr. Walker, that is noted as well.

744
00:52:31.890 --> 00:52:36.550
Um, yes, sir. Uh, I'll, I'll move on. Uh, I'm,
745
00:52:36.650 --> 00:52:40.230
I'm glad that you haven't actually mentioned the term Competent Harbor

746
00:52:40.230 --> 00:52:43.590
Authority. Uh, that will come in the note as well,
747
00:52:43.690 --> 00:52:47.430

```
but we'll leave that one for another time. So, um,
748
00:52:48.010 --> 00:52:51.190
the very next point actually I have to raise is a point you've
raised regarding
7 4 9
00:52:51.250 --> 00:52:55.790
the company. Uh, so if I could just explain our position,
7 5 0
00:52:56.610 --> 00:52:58.230
uh, we,
7 5 1
00:52:58.330 --> 00:53:02.990
we did question whether it we should be using the term company
7 5 2
00:53:03.170 --> 00:53:06.590
or the term undertaker. And indeed we did discuss this with,
7 5 3
00:53:06.660 --> 00:53:10.350
with pins as well when we submitted the draft D C 0, uh,
7 5 4
00:53:10.370 --> 00:53:15.150
for their overview oversight. Um, again, uh,
755
00:53:16.470 --> 00:53:21.350
I, I have, uh, looked at the Tilbury two order and the able order,
756
00:53:21.650 --> 00:53:24.190
and Mr. Walker will no doubt, correct me if I'm wrong,
7 5 7
00:53:24.330 --> 00:53:29.280
but I think that Abel promoted that D C O and they were called the
758
00:53:29.280 --> 00:53:30.113
company,
7 5 9
00:53:30.300 --> 00:53:34.640
but it was for the benefit of the operator who would build out,
7 6 0
00:53:34.640 --> 00:53:38.800
and that was therefore the undertaker. Whereas for the Port of
```

Tilbury,
761
00:53:39.360 --> 00:53:43.160
I believe the Port of Tilbury was named the company. And,
762
00:53:43.420 --> 00:53:46.800
and using that in my defense, sir,
763
00:53:46.990 --> 00:53:50.280
because a B P is a statutory undertaker,
764
00:53:50.540 --> 00:53:55.400
it just struck us at the end of the day that for simplification, um,
765
00:53:55.460 --> 00:53:59.520
it would be better if we were described as the company, obviously, sir. Uh,

766
00:53:59.600 --> 00:54:03.080
I mean the X a's hands, and if you think it should be undertaker,
767
00:54:03.080 --> 00:54:06.040
then it will be undertaker. But, uh,
768
00:54:06.350 --> 00:54:08.800
that is our rationale for using that term.
769
00:54:13.290 --> 00:54:18.230
My sense is that the majority of made dcos are
770
00:54:18.260 --> 00:54:21.270
referring to undertaker. That's just an observation.
771
00:54:21.510 --> 00:54:25.310
I think it would be useful to have comment from the other councilor.
772
00:54:27.850 --> 00:54:32.350
Uh, thank you. So Robbie Owen, for C R D M, um, I, I don't think, uh,

773
00:54:33.190 --> 00:54:37.830
anything really turns on the use of the word the company instead of the more
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00:54:37.830 --> 00:54:42.590
conventional, the undertaker, uh, per se, I mean, don't consent to orders.

775
00:54:42.650 --> 00:54:47.150
And indeed, uh, other similar statue instruments, uh,
776
00:54:47.280 --> 00:54:49.070
adopt different approaches. Um,
777
00:54:49.410 --> 00:54:54.150
and to a large extent it's down to personal drafting preference. Um, 778
00:54:55.850 --> 00:55:00.150
uh, I, I think, um, I mean there are a few,
779
00:55:00.810 --> 00:55:04.590
uh, issues we note, for example, that, um, the, uh,
780
00:55:04.590 --> 00:55:08.590
expansion memorandum does refer certainly in one place to the undertaker when,

781
00:55:08.590 --> 00:55:12.150
when I think it means the company. But I, I think what I would say is that, um,

782
00:55:12.690 --> 00:55:16.950
uh, It is a principle of good statute instrument drafting.
783
00:55:16.950 --> 00:55:21.790
The definitions should be descriptive, um, uh, and, and, and a clarity,

784
00:55:21.850 --> 00:55:24.030
but it shouldn't include operative, operative provisions.
785
00:55:24.130 --> 00:55:27.150
And certainly the references, uh,

786
00:55:27.570 --> 00:55:30.470
in the definition of the company to articles eight and nine, uh,
787
00:55:30.530 --> 00:55:34.590
are unnecessary, uh, I would say, uh, uh,
788
00:55:34.810 --> 00:55:39.070
and could be removed. Um, but I think whether it's a company or undertaker,

789
00:55:39.070 --> 00:55:41.030
we don't have a view on that. Um, it's a,
790
00:55:41.030 --> 00:55:45.830
it's a matter for a b P to take a view and, um, it, it,
791
00:55:45.890 --> 00:55:48.910
it, as I say, nothing, nothing else really turns on on it as such.
792
00:55:52.480 --> 00:55:57.350
Thank you opportunity for Mr. Walker. I I don't disagree with that.
793
00:55:57.440 --> 00:55:59.510
Angus Walker for D F T S Hudson,
794
00:56:01.510 --> 00:56:04.350
Victoria Hut for the Harbor Master, we have no comment. Thank you.
795
00:56:05.750 --> 00:56:06.450
I think the,
796
00:56:06.450 --> 00:56:11.190
the key thing in that is undertaker is the norm.
797
00:56:12.650 --> 00:56:16.990
If the applicant wishes to use company instead,
798
00:56:17.780 --> 00:56:22.630
then the explanatory memorandum needs to give a clear explanation as to

799
00:56:22.650 --> 00:56:25.600
why the approach has been taken. Um,
800
00:56:25.940 --> 00:56:27.760
it doesn't do that at the moment.
801
00:56:39.130 --> 00:56:42.080
Brian Greenwood for a b p. Thank you, sir. That is noted,
802
00:56:42.100 --> 00:56:44.720
and I should have said at the beginning and when, uh,
803
00:56:44.750 --> 00:56:48.280
this morning I was talking about circulating a draft, uh,
804
00:56:48.810 --> 00:56:51.440
track changed version of the D C 0 , there will also,
805
00:56:51.460 --> 00:56:54.800
of course be produced a track changed version of the explanatory memorandum.

806
00:56:58.780 --> 00:57:01.520
Sir, the next point you have raised, um,
807
00:57:02.340 --> 00:57:05.960
is the definition of relevant planning authority. Uh,
808
00:57:06.620 --> 00:57:10.120
so this was quite, oh, I see Mr. Richard Limmer isn't with us this afternoon.

809
00:57:10.620 --> 00:57:13.600
So the, in very simple terms, uh,
810
00:57:13.940 --> 00:57:16.040
the proposed development site,
811
00:57:16.890 --> 00:57:20.520
apart from that area that falls within the area of the crown of
state, uh,

812
00:57:20.700 --> 00:57:24.680
is all within the area of Northeast Lincolnshire Council. And indeed,

813
00:57:24.680 $\rightarrow$ 00:57:29.080
the proposals we have to improvements of Eastgate will be with Northeast Re

814
00:57:29.080 --> 00:57:33.960
Council in their capacity as the Highway Authority North
Lincolnshire Council.

815
00:57:34.580 - -> 00:57:38.480
Um, the Port falls in their boundary,
816
00:57:38.540 --> 00:57:40.520
but outside, in fact,
817
00:57:40.520 - -> 00:57:43.600
the boundary of the two local authorities bisex the Port.
818
00:57:43.790 --> 00:57:45.360
It's not quite as accurate as that.
819
00:57:45.980 --> 00:57:48.800
So I use relevant planning authority,
820
00:57:48.900 --> 00:57:51.400
but then defined it as Northeast Linkage to council.
821
00:57:51.500 $\rightarrow$ 00:57:53.960
But you have questioned the drafting.
822
00:57:55.270 --> 00:58:00.120
Well, only in part because the drafting also refers to the Highway Authority.

823
00:58:00.940 $->$ 00:58:05.080
I'm just wondering whether it might be easier, um,

```
824
00:58:05.180 --> 00:58:09.560
to refer to the council having defined the council,
825
00:58:09.570 --> 00:58:12.040
which potentially is Northeast Lincolnshire Council.
826
00:58:12.980 --> 00:58:15.320
But is there any scope,
827
00:58:16.000 --> 00:58:19.960
particularly in respect of Highway Works? Um,
828
00:58:20.140 --> 00:58:25.040
and it might be signage or something that is required
829
00:58:25.220 --> 00:58:29.720
in North Re Council's area, because if, if that were necessary,
830
00:58:30.790 --> 00:58:34.680
then there would then need to be a definition that dealt with the
neighboring
831
00:58:34.680 --> 00:58:35.513
local authority,
832
00:58:38.330 --> 00:58:42.960
Brian Greenwood, for a b p. So, uh, I can't really speak of course,
833
00:58:42.980 --> 00:58:47.640
for North Link re in their absence, but I, I can say that we have
discussed,
834
00:58:47.820 --> 00:58:52.200
uh, this issue, uh, with North Linker Council and, uh,
835
00:58:53.030 --> 00:58:57.000
subject to, uh, the council wanting to correct anything I say. Now,
836
00:58:57.140 --> 00:59:01.120
my understanding is they are not concerned, uh, about, uh,
```

```
837
00:59:01.940 --> 00:59:05.080
the use of roads in their area. In fact,
838
00:59:05.100 --> 00:59:09.640
the main access way to the port was subject to A D C O a few years
ago,
839
00:59:09.700 --> 00:59:13.840
and it's dual carriageway, um, a, a very good road. Uh,
840
00:59:13.940 --> 00:59:16.640
so they're not worried about any additional transport.
841
00:59:16.900 --> 00:59:21.080
And when you get onto transport on Thursday, you'll, you'll, you'll
see that,
842
00:59:21.140 --> 00:59:25.040
that the majority of the traffic will go into Northeast Lincolnshire
Council's
843
00:59:25.040 --> 00:59:25.873
area.
844
00:59:28.650 --> 00:59:30.880
Thank you, Mr. Green. I think it, it's just a,
845
00:59:31.360 --> 00:59:35.720
a point we just asked you just to go away and review whether or not,
um,
846
00:59:36.110 --> 00:59:39.480
council might be a better, uh, if, you know, we,
847
00:59:39.700 --> 00:59:43.720
you end up in the position that there is just Northeast Lincolnshire
Council,
848
00:59:43.780 --> 00:59:47.760
but whether it would be better to refer to council having defined
it,
```

```
849
00:59:47.810 --> 00:59:51.080
which then picks up both branches, the Planning Authority,
850
00:59:51.180 --> 00:59:53.920
and the Highway Authority. Uh, 'cause sometimes of course,
851
00:59:54.070 --> 00:59:58.520
they never shall twain, um, in terms of, than working together,
852
00:59:58.860 --> 01:00:00.080
um, it, it,
853
01:00:00.100 --> 01:00:04.560
it just seemed a little bit odd that the Highway Authority was being
tagged onto
854
01:00:04.560 --> 01:00:06.440
the planning authority 'cause they are separate entities.
855
01:00:08.570 --> 01:00:12.160
Brian Greenwood for a b p, and thank you, sir. Yes, we'll take note
of that and,
856
01:00:12.260 --> 01:00:16.280
uh, improve the drafting, sir,
857
01:00:16.580 --> 01:00:20.680
on the, uh, moving on, uh oh, yes, the 1846, uh,
858
01:00:20.680 --> 01:00:24.080
seven ACT is your, uh, your point. And sir, I'm,
859
01:00:24.180 --> 01:00:26.200
I'm grateful here to Mr.
860
01:00:26.200 --> 01:00:29.920
Walker who's looked at this particular provision. Um,
861
01:00:30.540 --> 01:00:35.120
the incorporation of this particular act is because it gives certain
```

862
01:00:35.300 --> 01:00:38.080
powers to ports the, uh,
863
01:00:39.580 --> 01:00:40.920
by incorporating the act,
864
01:00:40.940 --> 01:00:44.560
we don't need to repeat the powers that are in that act. Uh,
865
01:00:45.410 --> 01:00:50.000
there are various other a b P orders that have incorporated the act and

866
01:00:50.020 --> 01:00:53.640
indeed the, uh, April Marine Energy Park, uh,
867
01:00:54.160 --> 01:00:58.480
D C 0 also incorporated the act where it gets complicated, sir,
868
01:00:58.540 --> 01:01:02.400
is some of the sections in that ACT have been repealed, and, uh,
869
01:01:02.540 --> 01:01:07.200
I'm not actually sure that we have correctly identified all of the relevant

870
01:01:07.200 --> 01:01:11.360
sections as well. Uh, and Mr. Mr. Walker has hinted at that,
871
01:01:12.060 --> 01:01:14.960
um, certainly, uh, sir,
872
01:01:14.960 --> 01:01:19.760
section 71 of the 1847 Act, uh, is an offense,
873
01:01:19.860 --> 01:01:22.000
and that should have been included. There could be, we,
874
01:01:22.020 --> 01:01:25.640
we can't include that in the D C 0 and there may be other provisions.

```
875
01:01:25.880 --> 01:01:28.000
I can apologize for any confusion there, sir,
876
01:01:28.510 --> 01:01:32.960
what I will do is give you the correct numbering and it,
877
01:01:33.180 --> 01:01:36.120
it may well be I'll, uh, ref reference Mr.
878
01:01:36.120 --> 01:01:39.080
Walker just to check that he's content with what we're,
879
01:01:39.320 --> 01:01:41.480
There is actually a slightly more fundamental question,
880
01:01:41.660 --> 01:01:42.493
Please.
881
01:01:44.070 --> 01:01:48.080
What would be the implications if the order were made,
882
01:01:48.220 --> 01:01:52.760
if there were no article four i e no incorporation of the
883
01:01:52.920 --> 01:01:54.080
1847 act?
884
01:01:55.010 --> 01:01:59.840
Would that in operational terms cause a difficulty
885
01:02:01.100 --> 01:02:04.440
or in the alternate, do all of the sections,
886
01:02:04.750 --> 01:02:08.440
what those that have been included, those that may need to be added,
887
01:02:09.780 --> 01:02:13.880
if some of those were included versus some that weren't? Again,
88
```

01:02:14.090 --> 01:02:17.040
would there be an operational implication? I mean,
889
01:02:17.190 --> 01:02:19.680
just looking through some of the, the, the,
890
01:02:19.700 --> 01:02:22.240
the sections in the old 1847 act,
891
01:02:22.310 --> 01:02:26.440
there's bits and pieces about approving getting plans approved. Of course,

892
01:02:26.540 --> 01:02:30.600
that's embedded in the D C 0, um, through requirements.
893
01:02:31.500 --> 01:02:32.480
Um, it,
894
01:02:32.540 --> 01:02:36.720
it seemed that there are lots of the 1847 ACT
895
01:02:37.750 --> 01:02:42.560
that were written in a completely different age when there was a completely

896
01:02:42.560 --> 01:02:46.760
different, well, very little legislation, uh,
897
01:02:46.760 --> 01:02:49.640
particularly in terms of environmental protection. Now,
898
01:02:49.640 --> 01:02:53.720
what we are dealing with, um, as I say, is a, a very different environment,

899
01:02:54.540 --> 01:02:59.360
um, where there either is legislation that's not being misapplied,
900
01:02:59.900 --> 01:03:04.600
or there is the actual order if made, that would be, uh,

```
901
01:03:04.660 --> 01:03:08.160
in effect governing this development just before Mr.
902
01:03:08.330 --> 01:03:11.080
Owens given an indication to, to speak to that point.
903
01:03:12.050 --> 01:03:16.880
Thank you, sir. Robbie Owen? Um, I think it's important just to, uh,
904
01:03:16.990 --> 01:03:21.920
make the point that incorporation of certain provisions of the 1847
ACT is
905
01:03:22.120 --> 01:03:25.160
entirely standard practice. Uh, this is a practice that Mr.
906
01:03:25.160 --> 01:03:26.920
Greenwood is following. Um,
907
01:03:27.260 --> 01:03:30.280
that's not to say the particular section numbers are, uh,
908
01:03:30.620 --> 01:03:34.760
are necessarily right and we want to discuss that, but, um, in, in,
909
01:03:34.860 --> 01:03:36.120
in development consent orders,
910
01:03:36.180 --> 01:03:39.040
in all number of harbor revision orders and harbor empowerment
orders,
911
01:03:39.110 --> 01:03:42.520
that is still the practice for good reason. I refer you, for
example,
912
01:03:42.660 --> 01:03:46.680
to the Harbor Empowerment order and the harbor revision order
relating to the
913
01:03:46.680 --> 01:03:50.880
```

port of killing home that we appended to, uh, CDNs relevant representation.
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01:03:51.020 --> 01:03:55.320
You can see from that that, um, uh, that has been the practice there.

915
01:03:55.880 --> 01:03:58.920
I think there's one very important factor to bear in mind here,
916
01:03:58.930 --> 01:04:02.840
which is definitely an operational issue, I think was the,
917
01:04:02.860 --> 01:04:04.600
the way you expressed it, which is, um,
918
01:04:04.980 --> 01:04:08.520
the incorporation of section 33 of the 1847 Act,
919
01:04:08.520 --> 01:04:10.960
which is a very important provision, um,
920
01:04:11.140 --> 01:04:15.160
in effect imposing what's known as the open port duty
921
01:04:16.420 --> 01:04:20.680
on the facility that is, would be authorized by this, uh, order.
922
01:04:21.340 --> 01:04:23.160
Um, and that is a,
923
01:04:23.280 --> 01:04:28.120
a fundamental aspect of English port and harbor law that applies to
924
01:04:28.320 --> 01:04:30.680
most statutory harbor authorities,
925
01:04:31.380 --> 01:04:35.440
namely that on payment of relevant due and
926
01:04:35.990 --> 01:04:38.320

```
complying with bylaws and other provisions,
927
01:04:38.980 --> 01:04:43.640
the port or a a facility concerned shall be open to all traffic.
928
01:04:44.260 --> 01:04:48.720
Uh, so it's not a private facility, uh, and that is a fundamental
point.
929
01:04:48.740 --> 01:04:49.380
And therefore,
930
01:04:49.380 --> 01:04:53.760
in incorporating section 33 of the 1847 act into this
931
01:04:54.170 --> 01:04:58.520
order, it is saying that this provision will be a public facility in
essence,
932
01:04:58.980 --> 01:04:59.350
uh,
933
01:04:59.350 --> 01:05:03.520
subject to complying with the local legislation relating to the Port
of Ingham.
934
01:05:04.220 --> 01:05:08.880
And, um, uh, uh, that I wanted just to,
935
01:05:09.420 --> 01:05:11.880
uh, make a clear point on because it's, it's,
936
01:05:11.880 --> 01:05:16.240
it's a fundamental point that needs to be understood. Um, if,
937
01:05:16.260 --> 01:05:19.720
if I could also, um, with apologies,
938
01:05:20.320 --> 01:05:24.240
I wasn't able to secure your attention, but whilst I'm speaking on
that,
```

```
939
01:05:24.340 --> 01:05:28.440
on the last point about relevant planning authority c c,
940
01:05:28.460 --> 01:05:32.760
can I just make a point which I don't think was covered, um, which
is that the,
941
01:05:33.420 --> 01:05:34.253
the, the, the,
942
01:05:34.300 --> 01:05:39.040
the definition there implies that there is another
943
01:05:39.650 --> 01:05:43.800
local planning and authority and highway authority for other parts
of the order
944
01:05:43.830 --> 01:05:47.600
land. Because the definition we see, uh, it's not on the screen now,
945
01:05:47.600 --> 01:05:51.040
but the definition we see says that relevant planning authority
means the local
946
01:05:51.240 --> 01:05:54.960
planning authority and highway authority for that part of the order
land that
947
01:05:54.960 --> 01:05:58.680
falls within the administrative jurisdiction of Northeast
Lincolnshire Council.
948
01:05:58.680 --> 01:06:01.920
Therefore, presumably there is another part of the order land that
doesn't,
949
01:06:01.950 --> 01:06:05.920
therefore, in whose jurisdiction does that lie? Uh, so it,
950
01:06:05.980 --> 01:06:08.080
it may be that that can be covered as well by Mr.
```

951
01:06:08.080 --> 01:06:12.960
Greenwood in reconsidering the definition of this planning authority, um,

952
01:06:14.020 --> 01:06:16.480
uh, or this council, given it's not just planning functions,
953
01:06:16.480 --> 01:06:19.560
but highway functions. Um, apologies for taking us back to that,
954
01:06:19.560 --> 01:06:21.400
but I thought it's an important point to make, sir.
955
01:06:24.370 --> 01:06:29.080
Thank you, Mr. Toro. I, I, I, I really,
956
01:06:29.120 --> 01:06:30.920
I think in terms of Article four,
957
01:06:31.550 --> 01:06:36.240
there's a bit more work that needs to be done in the explanatory memorandum

958
01:06:36.380 --> 01:06:39.040
as well as potentially, um,
959
01:06:39.110 --> 01:06:43.600
looking at which are the relevant sections that would need to be. But again, I,

960
01:06:43.760 --> 01:06:46.600
I certainly would come back to the point, um,
961
01:06:47.430 --> 01:06:51.600
that really only sections of the 1847 Act
962
01:06:52.600 --> 01:06:57.170
that really bite on the operation of the port and that need to be
for the sort
963
01:06:57.170 --> 01:07:01.130
of reason that Mr. Owens just given should be in a made order.
964
01:07:01.710 --> 01:07:06.290
If there's anything in there, um, that is so antiquated, um,
965
01:07:06.630 --> 01:07:11.090
and is being dealt with either because of the consenting mechanism through the,

966
01:07:11.090 --> 01:07:12.850
the, or the draft order, or sorry,
967
01:07:13.010 --> 01:07:17.770
a made order itself or potentially other legislation that's
968
01:07:17.800 --> 01:07:21.610
overtaken events, then it really ought not be in there because it,
969
01:07:21.610 --> 01:07:23.450
it just seems to be adding, um,
970
01:07:24.200 --> 01:07:27.890
another dimension that just may not be relevant.
971
01:07:29.070 --> 01:07:29.730
Ms. Hutton,
972
01:07:29.730 --> 01:07:34.210
have you got any observations in from the statutory Harbor authority
side of
973
01:07:34.210 --> 01:07:34.850
things,
974
01:07:34.850 --> 01:07:35.970
Victoria Hutton for the Harbor Master?
975
01:07:36.230 --> 01:07:38.650
So I think we will take that away and consider our opposition.
976
01:07:41.230 --> 01:07:42.063

```
Mr. Walker,
977
01:07:42.870 --> 01:07:47.370
Uh, Sarah Angus Walker for D F D Ss? I don't have any comments on
Article four.
978
01:07:47.450 --> 01:07:51.730
I just hope we haven't left Article two behind because I had a
couple more on
979
01:07:51.730 --> 01:07:56.610
that. And indeed on the agenda it talks about the interpretation of
Roro unit,
980
01:07:56.610 --> 01:07:57.850
which I don't think we've covered.
981
01:08:00.230 --> 01:08:03.290
Now, I was going to come back, um,
982
01:08:04.310 --> 01:08:06.050
but Mr. Greenwood,
983
01:08:07.110 --> 01:08:10.610
Uh, thank you. Thank you, sir. Uh, and, and yes, uh,
984
01:08:10.890 --> 01:08:15.360
I did actually have section 33 of the 18 47 7 Act in front of me.
And, um,
985
01:08:15.500 --> 01:08:20.480
I'm grateful for, uh, uh, Mr. Owen's explanation there as well. So,
986
01:08:20.490 --> 01:08:22.840
we'll, we'll look at the definition of council. The,
987
01:08:22.860 --> 01:08:24.880
the reason we chose the words, uh, as,
988
01:08:24.980 --> 01:08:28.880
as part that part of the order is that of course, uh,
```

989
01:08:28.940 --> 01:08:32.040
not all of the order land does fall within Northeastern Council.
990
01:08:32.150 --> 01:08:35.800
Some of it falls within the crown of state. Uh, so that, that was different,

991
01:08:35.800 --> 01:08:38.560
but we will look at that. And I apologized, sir,
992
01:08:38.680 --> 01:08:41.400
I did jump over row row units and row row vessels. So
993
01:08:42.110 --> 01:08:45.240
Just come back to that section. I think the, some of the, the,
994
01:08:47.020 --> 01:08:48.160
the questions and, um,
995
01:08:48.180 --> 01:08:52.520
the issues that are arise are because the explanatory memorandum,
996
01:08:53.540 --> 01:08:54.373
um,
997
01:08:54.580 --> 01:08:58.560
is not perhaps as full or as useful as it might be.
998
01:08:59.580 --> 01:09:02.920
Um, I've recently had cause to use, um,
999
01:09:03.070 --> 01:09:07.480
another explanatory memorandum for a completely different type of case work

1000
01:09:08.100 --> 01:09:10.440
and struggled. Um,
1001
01:09:11.380 --> 01:09:15.520
and so have a lot of lawyers in that particular case struggled with

1002
01:09:15.520 --> 01:09:18.360
interpretation, um, a lot largely,
1003
01:09:18.780 --> 01:09:23.120
and that's because the memorandum didn't really help anybody. Um,
1004
01:09:23.580 --> 01:09:26.600
and I think in, in, you know, particularly Article four,
1005
01:09:26.600 --> 01:09:30.200
there is a need to beef up the memorandum. Um,
1006
01:09:30.820 --> 01:09:34.760
but if there are sections that really aren't relevant,
1007
01:09:35.230 --> 01:09:39.160
then they ought not to be, I would suggest incorporated into any made order,

1008
01:09:40.620 --> 01:09:44.280
Uh, Brian Greenwood, the, uh, a V p, and that is entirely agreed. Sir.

1009
01:09:46.660 --> 01:09:51.120
Um, Mr. Greenwood, before we go back to article two, if we may,
1010
01:09:51.460 --> 01:09:52.293
um,
1011
01:09:52.300 --> 01:09:57.240
do you have any comment on that point regarding section 33 of the 1847 Act that

1012
01:09:57.300 --> 01:09:58.133
was made?
1013
01:09:58.870 --> 01:10:03.360
Well, Vanessa, the, as well, I agree entirely with everything Mr.
Owen has said.
1014
01:10:03.360 --> 01:10:06.800

```
Section 33 is a critical section. Uh,
1015
01:10:07.030 --> 01:10:11.120
what we will do is, uh, in order of your request,
1016
01:10:11.220 --> 01:10:16.000
we will go through every single section and I will make sure that
our
1017
01:10:16.400 --> 01:10:21.000
rationale for inclusion or not inclusion is detailed in explanatory
memorandum
1018
01:10:21.060 --> 01:10:22.200
and, and deal with it that way.
1019
01:10:27.590 --> 01:10:28.423
Mr.
1020
01:10:28.910 --> 01:10:33.570
Robbie Owens, c c r d n, just briefly, sir. Um, I, I think, uh,
1021
01:10:34.470 --> 01:10:38.770
the, the, the, the, the, the plan, or I think it was a plan that Mr.
1022
01:10:38.770 --> 01:10:42.810
Greenwood said that his note on Harbor functions would, uh,
1023
01:10:43.590 --> 01:10:45.690
the note would come along with a plan. I,
1024
01:10:45.810 --> 01:10:47.650
I think it might be helpful if possible,
1025
01:10:48.150 --> 01:10:52.570
if that same plan could also set out the, uh,
1026
01:10:53.110 --> 01:10:56.690
administrative boundaries of the relevant local authorities.
1027
01:10:57.030 --> 01:11:01.770
```

And also, if there is, as I'm wondering whether this is what Mr. 1028
01:11:01.770 --> 01:11:06.250
Greenwood meant, um, in effect a gap from the low watermark,
1029
01:11:06.250 --> 01:11:10.690
which is normally the limited jurisdiction of a local authority. Um,
1030
01:11:11.190 --> 01:11:13.720
uh, and, and therefore, uh,
1031
01:11:13.830 --> 01:11:18.800
sort of seaward from that is whether that is just the crown of state or

1032
01:11:18.800 --> 01:11:23.680
whether the principle of Esthers applies and therefore the
1033
01:11:23.680 --> 01:11:26.880
jurisdiction of the local authorities goes to the middle of the estuary. Um, I,

1034
01:11:26.960 --> 01:11:30.800
I think, um, there's a maxim, isn't there, um,
1035
01:11:32.870 --> 01:11:37.280
land between claws or something in Latin, um, which I shouldn't attempt to,

1036
01:11:38.460 --> 01:11:41.400
uh, uh, give now. But, uh, it'd be helpful if,
1037
01:11:41.400 --> 01:11:44.880
if we could just see visually the various boundaries of jurisdiction in,

1038
01:11:44.880 --> 01:11:48.160
in terms of local harbor and conservancy functions on the one hand,
1039
01:11:48.180 --> 01:11:53.160
but also planning and highway functions and crown of state that might produce

1040
01:11:53.160 --> 01:11:54.080
quite a crowded plan.
1041
01:11:54.230 $\rightarrow$ 01:11:57.280
It's obviously up to the applicant as to what they can do to provide clarity,

1042
01:11:57.300 --> 01:11:58.240
but I think it would really help
1043
01:12:00.700 --> 01:12:01.533
Mr. Greenman.

1044
01:12:02.250 --> 01:12:05.840
Thank, uh, thank you sir. Brian Greenwood for a v p. Uh, thank you, Mr. Owen.

1045
01:12:06.140 --> 01:12:08.720
I'd be delighted to add that into my short note. Uh,
1046
01:12:08.840 --> 01:12:12.480
and we have of course spoken to Northeast Lire Council as Do Boundaries, sir,

1047
01:12:12.480 --> 01:12:15.200
but yes, uh, I, I'm sure that would assist. So
1048
01:12:15.310 --> 01:12:16.020
Yeah, the, the,
1049
01:12:16.020 --> 01:12:20.840
the reason why $I$ was smiling earlier in terms of water levels is I've previously

1050
01:12:21.120 --> 01:12:24.880
involved in it, uh, in my level of authority days where yes,
1051
01:12:24.980 --> 01:12:29.440
it became an issue is again, jurisdiction, uh, with,
1052

01:12:29.990 --> 01:12:32.680
well, what was the predecessor to M M 0.
1053
01:12:32.700 --> 01:12:37.040
And it became very difficult to work out where the local authorities'

1054
01:12:37.040 --> 01:12:41.920
jurisdiction began in versus where their jurisdiction began in and who was

1055
01:12:42.360 --> 01:12:45.880
required to do habitat regulations assessments at various stages.
1056
01:12:46.020 --> 01:12:50.000
And it all got very messy. So yeah,
1057
01:12:50.240 --> 01:12:53.840
I think any plan that shows jurisdictions would be of assistance because it's

1058
01:12:53.840 --> 01:12:57.920
sounding like we, we do have quite a confused regime.
1059
01:12:59.180 --> 01:13:02.480
Um, in terms of the interpretation of Roro Union,
1060
01:13:02.480 --> 01:13:06.240
really the point that $I$ was seeking to raise, uh,
1061
01:13:06.240 --> 01:13:09.320
through putting the matter on the agenda, um,
1062
01:13:09.860 --> 01:13:13.520
is that Article 21 talks about, um,
1063
01:13:14.100 --> 01:13:18.800
660,000 units per annum. Um,
1064
01:13:18.900 --> 01:13:23.120
and really what was behind my concern, um,

1065
01:13:23.540 --> 01:13:27.480
is the actual definition of unit in the act,
1066
01:13:27.620 --> 01:13:30.800
the planning Act 2008 at section 24 6,
1067
01:13:32.260 --> 01:13:37.200
and where any car importation or exporting may sit,
1068
01:13:38.070 --> 01:13:40.800
because as I understand it, a vehicle
1069
01:13:42.620 --> 01:13:47.280
self-propelled when they're, when they're loaded onto a ship,
1070
01:13:47.280 --> 01:13:50.400
whether that's, um, departing or for that matter,
1071
01:13:50.620 --> 01:13:54.840
if they arrive and they're therefore being imported, that would be,
1072
01:13:54.860 --> 01:13:58.880
as I understand it, a unit that however,
1073
01:13:59.660 --> 01:14:02.960
um, once they're being, if they're,
1074
01:14:03.380 --> 01:14:07.520
the vehicles are being delivered to the port for onward trans, uh, transmission,

1075
01:14:07.550 --> 01:14:11.280
they would come on a transport, I would presume. So you might get six, eight,

1076
01:14:11.280 --> 01:14:15.840
however many vehicles on an inbound vehicle. And similarly on the way out,

1077
01:14:16.660 --> 01:14:21.640
if they're being, um, for, I got that the wrong way around, export,

```
1078
01:14:21.790 --> 01:14:25.960
come in on a transporter, import, go out on transporter,
1079
01:14:27.180 --> 01:14:27.780
um,
1080
01:14:27.780 --> 01:14:32.560
to me it was unclear quite how that 660,000
1081
01:14:32.700 --> 01:14:37.160
number had been derived. Whether if, um,
1082
01:14:37.210 --> 01:14:39.880
there is any intention to move vehicles,
1083
01:14:40.900 --> 01:14:43.520
if there is any intention to move vehicles,
1084
01:14:43.710 --> 01:14:48.680
what implication does that have for the 660,000 unit
1085
01:14:48.820 --> 01:14:53.120
number? Because the unit number definition, as I say in the act,
1086
01:14:53.770 --> 01:14:58.200
seems to be more open than potentially the unit definition that
you've got in
1087
01:14:58.200 --> 01:14:59.033
the draft order.
1088
01:15:00.690 --> 01:15:03.520
Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood, A B P, and you are entirely right
to,
1089
01:15:03.620 --> 01:15:07.000
we did spend some considerable time, uh, on,
1090
01:15:07.060 --> 01:15:09.720
on these definitions for the very reason that you, you, you,
```

1091
01:15:09.720 --> 01:15:13.840
you've just explained. Sir, I would quite like to, um,
1092
01:15:14.390 --> 01:15:19.280
discuss your question with the potential operator of, of, uh, the, the,

1093
01:15:19.300 --> 01:15:24.160
um, three births in that I want to make sure that I don't say anything that they

1094
01:15:24.680 --> 01:15:29.400
disagree with. Would you be, uh, happy if I put that in my, uh, response,

1095
01:15:29.700 --> 01:15:31.480
uh, for deadline one?
1096
01:15:32.750 --> 01:15:35.360
Yeah, as a, as a, in effect, postscript to
1097
01:15:35.920 --> 01:15:39.760
I, there may be one or two, maybe one or two others, uh, and I, I will, uh,

1098
01:15:39.760 --> 01:15:41.000
yeah, note them more
1099
01:15:41.570 --> 01:15:44.760
There. I mean, you will be producing a note, no doubt, uh,
1100
01:15:44.760 --> 01:15:48.000
following on from this hearing. So yeah, that's something, um,
1101
01:15:48.080 --> 01:15:51.520
I think that would be appropriate. But it was just something that, you know,

1102
01:15:51.520 --> 01:15:55.480
when I was reading the order firsthand and got the act out,

```
1103
01:15:55.840 --> 01:15:59.800
I couldn't quite get the act and what was said in the order to
tally.
1104
01:16:03.820 --> 01:16:06.520
So did, uh, Brian Greenwood for a b p, did Mr.
1105
01:16:06.520 --> 01:16:11.000
Walker have any additional points, uh, having drawn attention to,
uh,
1106
01:16:12.090 --> 01:16:13.830
Interpretation Article two.
1107
01:16:14.650 --> 01:16:18.710
Um, thank you Mr. Greenwood. Um, yes, Angus Walker for D F T Ss.
1108
01:16:18.750 --> 01:16:23.390
I have a couple of points on article, further points in Article two,
1109
01:16:24.130 --> 01:16:27.990
no D C O hearing would be complete without a discussion of the
definition of
1110
01:16:28.350 --> 01:16:32.910
construct and maintain. And so to make this not an exception,
1111
01:16:33.410 --> 01:16:33.850
um,
1112
01:16:33.850 --> 01:16:38.790
we are concerned that construct includes replacing and
1113
01:16:39.350 --> 01:16:43.350
maintain includes reconstruct, um,
1114
01:16:44.720 --> 01:16:47.910
which would appear to go beyond the initial construction of the
facility.
1115
01:16:49.050 --> 01:16:54.030
```

And we expect this D c O only to authorize that it to
1116
01:16:54.030 --> 01:16:54.990
be constructed once.
1117
01:16:55.050 --> 01:16:59.870
And the environmental statement assumes presumably that is only being

1118
01:16:59.870 --> 01:17:04.310
constructed and not then subsequently replaced or reconstructed. So
1119
01:17:06.710 --> 01:17:10.800
I think we would hope that the definitions would be restricted to anything that

1120
01:17:10.800 --> 01:17:13.960
was assessed in the environmental statements and not go beyond that.
1121
01:17:15.300 --> 01:17:19.720
The other one other point, and this may seem a little trivial,
1122
01:17:19.720 --> 01:17:23.560
but there's something behind this, is the definition of order limits,

1123
01:17:25.260 --> 01:17:26.093
um,
1124
01:17:27.100 --> 01:17:31.640
is the limits of deviation and the limits of construction activity shown on the

1125
01:17:31.640 --> 01:17:35.000
works plans. Um, if you look at the works plans,
1126
01:17:36.580 --> 01:17:38.600
you don't necessarily need to put them on the screen now,
1127
01:17:38.980 --> 01:17:42.640
it just has a line saying all the limits. It's a bit of a circular,

1128
01:17:43.940 --> 01:17:48.000
uh, definition. But, uh, while onshore,
1129
01:17:48.140 --> 01:17:50.520
the order limits shown on the works,
1130
01:17:50.520 --> 01:17:54.360
plans do go around all the limits of deviation for the individual works

1131
01:17:54.840 --> 01:17:57.760
offshore. There is some area of the, um,
1132
01:17:58.280 --> 01:18:02.880
Humber that is outside the limits of deviation of the
1133
01:18:02.880 --> 01:18:03.800
individual works,
1134
01:18:04.900 --> 01:18:08.880
and we weren't sure what was exp what was going to happen in that area. Um,

1135
01:18:09.740 --> 01:18:13.320
the only thing I I can think of is that in the deemed marine license,

1136
01:18:15.250 --> 01:18:20.160
there is a set of coordinates, let me just go down to it. You,
1137
01:18:20.260 --> 01:18:23.760
uh, here we are, no,
1138
01:18:26.420 --> 01:18:29.720
yes at, uh, paragraph five of schedule three,
1139
01:18:30.730 --> 01:18:35.520
which is where the license holder may carry out a licensed activity. Um,

1140
01:18:36.900 --> 01:18:39.880
and those points in that art, uh,
1141
01:18:39.880 --> 01:18:43.760
that paragraph seem to correspond to the edges of the order limits in the river

1142
01:18:43.960 --> 01:18:44.793
Humber. So
1143
01:18:45.980 --> 01:18:50.960
we were just wondering why the order limits were wider than the limits

1144
01:18:51.020 --> 01:18:53.520
of deviation of all the works, and that,
1145
01:18:53.630 --> 01:18:56.200
that was the only explanation we could find.
1146
01:18:58.650 --> 01:19:00.520
Thank you, Mr. Walker. I, I,
1147
01:19:00.570 --> 01:19:05.320
those questions do overlap with questions we were otherwise going to pose. Um,

1148
01:19:05.800 --> 01:19:08.400
I think it's opportunity just for Greenwood,
1149
01:19:08.740 --> 01:19:11.480
do you have an immediate answer or is it something you'd like to take away and

1150
01:19:11.930 --> 01:19:12.763
respondent
1151
01:19:12.850 --> 01:19:16.280
Brian Greenwood for, uh, a b P? So I'd like to take that away. Uh,
1152
01:19:16.420 --> 01:19:20.840
I'm not sure I've fully understand the, the differentiation, uh,

1153
01:19:20.840 --> 01:19:24.560
but obviously Mr. Walker has got the, got the point. So, um, uh,
1154
01:19:24.780 --> 01:19:29.160
we will come back and, and give, uh, give a response to that as well. I,

1155
01:19:29.280 --> 01:19:32.920
I may cross refer to Mr. Walker, uh, after the examination.
1156
01:19:33.210 --> 01:19:35.640
Thank you. That seems entirely sensible. Um,
1157
01:19:36.080 --> 01:19:40.600
I think the may or may not be any other points of
1158
01:19:40.620 --> 01:19:45.040
interpretation is, uh, anything else. We, I've,
1159
01:19:45.120 --> 01:19:49.320
I have one, uh, if I may just to slip in here. And that is, um,
1160
01:19:50.340 --> 01:19:52.520
interpretation of vessel. Um,
1161
01:19:53.350 --> 01:19:57.160
it's different to that used in multiple, um,
1162
01:19:57.210 --> 01:20:01.720
other made dcos, uh, with marine elements. Um,
1163
01:20:02.140 --> 01:20:05.520
it includes the wording or placement in water,
1164
01:20:06.810 --> 01:20:11.640
which doesn't appear in at least some other dcos.
1165
01:20:11.720 --> 01:20:16.560
I can't claim to be an authority on all of the made dcos. Um,

01:20:17.110 --> 01:20:21.040
does that have the potential to include fixed structures
1167
01:20:22.190 --> 01:20:24.120
such as piles or cassons
1168
01:20:25.290 $\rightarrow$ 01:20:28.720
Brian Greenwood for a b p? That's certainly not the intention, sir.
1169
01:20:28.880 --> 01:20:32.560
I would have another look at the definition and if there's any ambiguity,

1170
01:20:32.720 --> 01:20:33.410
I will correct it.
1171
01:20:33.410 --> 01:20:34.243
Thank you.
1172
01:20:46.880 --> 01:20:51.630
Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood for a b p. So moving on, sir. Uh, on, uh,

1173
01:20:51.970 --> 01:20:56.710
and I'm only picking up major changes, uh, in the, in the draft, uh,
1174
01:20:57.690 --> 01:20:59.030
Mr. Walker and, and Mr.
1175
01:20:59.030 $\rightarrow$ 01:21:02.270
Owen have already drawn attention to limits of deviation. Mr.
1176
01:21:02.270 --> 01:21:04.190
Walker has pointed out that, uh,
1177
01:21:04.730 --> 01:21:08.150
the way the limits of deviation in Article seven were drafted,
1178
01:21:08.170 --> 01:21:12.510
we could actually, uh, take our dredge pocket down to, uh,
1179

```
01:21:13.290 --> 01:21:17.030
New Zealand or wherever. Uh, we've have corrected that. So it is,
uh,
1180
01:21:17.030 --> 01:21:21.390
limited to the, uh, the anticipated maximum depth.
1181
01:21:22.330 --> 01:21:26.470
Um, sir, moving on just to part three,
1182
01:21:26.650 --> 01:21:31.310
powers of acquisition, and I dunno how much you would like to hear.
Um, again,
1183
01:21:31.820 --> 01:21:36.750
this is a, uh, hopefully a positive note. Uh,
1184
01:21:37.070 --> 01:21:41.350
bearing in mind you will be scheduling hearings. Uh, you,
1185
01:21:41.410 --> 01:21:43.710
you will see that in the book of reference. Uh,
1186
01:21:44.130 --> 01:21:48.030
we are looking at potential compulsory purchase and compulsory
purchase of
1187
01:21:48.050 --> 01:21:52.350
rights. Uh, all of the bodies involved, sir,
1188
01:21:52.530 --> 01:21:56.710
are tenants of A B P A B P is the, uh,
1189
01:21:57.560 --> 01:22:01.590
freeholder statue undertaker, and all of the bodies concerned are,
1190
01:22:02.410 --> 01:22:07.270
uh, tenants, uh, either holding on dcs or licenses. Uh, the one
exception,
1191
01:22:07.270 --> 01:22:11.230
of course, sir, is the crown state. Um, but sir,
```

1192
01:22:11.350 --> 01:22:13.990
I could just go through and give you a, a,
1193
01:22:14.190 - 01:22:18.750
a very quick summary of the latest position. Uh, the conclusion, by the way,

1194
01:22:18.750 --> 01:22:22.790
of the latest position is that we are very hopeful that, uh,
1195
01:22:22.930 --> 01:22:25.590
in due course, during course of the examination,

1196
01:22:25.770 --> 01:22:30.630
we will be able to say that all of the necessary agreements with the
1197
01:22:30.630 --> 01:22:34.990
tenants have been completed and that we will no longer be seeking any power of

1198
01:22:34.990 --> 01:22:39.190
comp poultry acquisition. I can't say that as yet, sir,
1199
01:22:39.690 --> 01:22:44.430
but for example, um, PK Construction jurors and Malcolm West,
1200
01:22:45.290 - 01:22:48.790
uh, they are tenants of the port, uh,
1201
01:22:49.500 --> 01:22:54.110
very close and adjacent to the internal bridge. And we are, uh,
1202
01:22:55.380 --> 01:22:57.710
because we are seeking to, uh,
1203
01:22:57.820 --> 01:23:02.470
make a change to the length of the internal bridge that would affect
1204
01:23:02.680 - -> 01:23:06.800
their potential future landholding, which when we get to, uh,

```
1205
01:23:06.820 --> 01:23:11.320
the changes notification you'll see will be to the tenant's benefit.
Uh,
1206
01:23:11.380 --> 01:23:14.720
so hopefully we will be able to agree leases there. Uh,
1207
01:23:14.860 --> 01:23:19.520
origin are named or we are doing there, sir, is, uh,
1208
01:23:19.760 --> 01:23:24.040
amending their right of way, and a lease is almost complete on that.
1209
01:23:24.780 --> 01:23:27.200
Uh, vw uh,
1210
01:23:27.470 --> 01:23:31.480
they occupy the Western storage area. Uh,
1211
01:23:31.620 --> 01:23:33.360
we are in negotiating, uh,
1212
01:23:33.830 --> 01:23:38.320
negotiation negotiations with them, positive negotiations,
1213
01:23:39.670 --> 01:23:43.800
network rail and DV cargo that was just changing, uh,
1214
01:23:43.880 --> 01:23:48.640
a right of access and that has as good as been agreed so they can
drop away.
1215
01:23:49.460 --> 01:23:53.640
Um, Exum, uh, that was a, uh,
1216
01:23:54.440 --> 01:23:57.040
question of a potential rights. They have pipes there. Uh,
1217
01:23:57.380 --> 01:23:59.120
we are in negotiation with them,
```

1218
01:23:59.420 --> 01:24:02.600
and I'm confident that that would be settled because we're not,
1219
01:24:02.600 --> 01:24:06.000
in fact interfering with, with their pipes. Um,
1220
01:24:07.480 - 01:24:10.240
H O T T and the crown of state, uh,
1221
01:24:11.800 --> 01:24:16.320
H 0 T T have a mooring boy, and that is located in the water,
1222
01:24:16.860 --> 01:24:20.080
uh, where we wish to construct our three births.
1223
01:24:20.780 - 01:24:24.160
We are entering into an agreement, which is,
1224
01:24:24.360 --> 01:24:26.600
I understand almost complete,
1225
01:24:26.830 --> 01:24:29.880
whereby the mooring bay will be relocated.
1226
01:24:30.550 --> 01:24:34.400
This does affect the Crown states because technically, uh,
1227
01:24:34.650 --> 01:24:39.280
there H O T T have a lease or license with the Crown Estate.
1228
01:24:39.500 --> 01:24:44.440
So we've had to draw the Crown State into the circle. But once hot are happy,

1229
01:24:44.510 $->$ 01:24:49.120
then that aspect of the Crown estate compulsory purchase falls away.
And,
1230
01:24:49.340 $\rightarrow$ 01:24:53.480
uh, the other details, sir, that we've listed open reach, uh,

1231
01:24:53.940 --> 01:24:57.360
Virgin Cadence, they just have rights existing in the port,
1232
01:24:57.360 --> 01:25:01.560
and we're protecting those through protective provisions. So, sir, I,

1233
01:25:01.720 --> 01:25:06.320
I cannot undertake that this will all be complete by the September hearings,

1234
01:25:06.320 --> 01:25:09.840
and I know you'll want to, uh, schedule a hearing, but, um,
1235
01:25:10.280 --> 01:25:13.080
I will keep you very fully informed, uh,
1236
01:25:13.100 --> 01:25:16.960
at all deadlines as to where we stand on the negotiations of all of the

1237
01:25:16.960 --> 01:25:17.793
interested parties.
1238
01:25:18.260 --> 01:25:22.160
And certainly it is our intention that everything will have been settled by the

1239
01:25:22.160 --> 01:25:22.993
time we close.
1240
01:25:25.380 --> 01:25:27.000
Uh, thank you Mr. Greenwood. I mean,
1241
01:25:27.940 --> 01:25:32.720
the need for compulsory acquisition hearing largely will only
1242
01:25:33.210 --> 01:25:37.280
arise if an affected person requests the hearing.

01:25:38.180 --> 01:25:39.320
Uh, we might,
1244
01:25:39.500 --> 01:25:44.320
of course need some sort of light touch hearing for us to
1245
01:25:44.320 --> 01:25:46.200
go through, um,
1246
01:25:46.500 --> 01:25:50.720
the actual powers that you may or may not be seeking, um,
1247
01:25:50.860 --> 01:25:53.040
at the relevant time, um,
1248
01:25:54.020 --> 01:25:58.480
and testing those powers against policy and guidance, et cetera.
1249
01:25:58.820 --> 01:26:03.000
But that, that's useful to know. Um, but yes,
1250
01:26:03.140 --> 01:26:07.800
if you're able to keep us appraised of where you think you are going and with

1251
01:26:07.800 --> 01:26:08.633
negotiations,
1252
01:26:08.950 --> 01:26:12.760
that would assist particularly in us determining where that hearing,
1253
01:26:13.780 --> 01:26:18.400
um, might need to be slotted into what might otherwise be potentially quite busy

1254
01:26:18.430 --> 01:26:21.280
week, uh, during that September session.
1255
01:26:23.090 --> 01:26:26.120
Brian Green for the ex, uh, for the A B P? Yes, certainly, sir.

```
01:26:26.120 --> 01:26:27.800
We'll make sure you're kept fully informed.
1257
01:26:29.220 --> 01:26:31.520
So I think next two points,
1258
01:26:31.660 --> 01:26:34.880
one point is a point that actually has been a drawn trial. Sorry,
1259
01:26:34.880 --> 01:26:36.440
Can I just, Mr. Walker has his hand up.
1260
01:26:37.400 --> 01:26:41.600
I Angus Walker for D F T S. I do have some points on some earlier
articles.
1261
01:26:41.600 --> 01:26:43.720
We're going a bit fast here.
1262
01:26:44.200 --> 01:26:48.040
We're already on part three in according to Mr. Greenwood, but I
still,
1263
01:26:48.160 --> 01:26:51.960
I have some points on part two. Shall I raise them now?
1264
01:26:55.180 --> 01:26:57.280
It would probably seem appropriate so we don't lose them.
1265
01:26:58.100 --> 01:27:01.960
So thank you, sir. Um, article six,
1266
01:27:02.630 --> 01:27:05.720
maintenance of the authorized development six.
1267
01:27:05.820 --> 01:27:09.680
One says the company may at any time maintain the authorized
development,
1268
01:27:09.680 --> 01:27:12.640
accept the extent that this order or an agreement provides
otherwise.
```

```
1269
01:27:13.940 --> 01:27:15.960
I'm not sure what sort of agreement would
1270
01:27:17.550 --> 01:27:21.040
involve if it's stopping the company from maintaining the authorized
1271
01:27:21.040 --> 01:27:21.873
development,
1272
01:27:22.060 --> 01:27:26.000
but if it's contemplating that someone else is going to be allowed
to maintain
1273
01:27:26.000 --> 01:27:28.960
it, I think that would be outside the powers of such an agreement.
1274
01:27:29.820 --> 01:27:34.680
So I didn't really understand the purpose of Article 6 1 6 2.
Actually,
1275
01:27:34.680 --> 01:27:37.960
going back to my question on the definition of maintain,
1276
01:27:38.030 --> 01:27:40.880
that might cure the issue about maintain,
1277
01:27:40.900 --> 01:27:45.480
but it doesn't cure the issue about construct, which still includes
replace,
1278
01:27:46.580 --> 01:27:50.520
um, then on Article seven.
1279
01:27:51.220 --> 01:27:55.080
So unless, oh, Mr. Row would like to say something about that,
1280
01:27:57.090 --> 01:27:57.860
Sorry,
1281
01:27:57.860 --> 01:28:02.680
```

May I just come in? Thank you Robbie, C L D N on Article six one, um, before Mr.

1282
01:28:02.680 --> 01:28:05.480
Walker moves on, um, I, I,
1283
01:28:05.560 --> 01:28:10.520
I can understand why that exception in paragraph one of Article six would

1284
01:28:10.520 --> 01:28:15.200
be required if the preceding words impose an obligation to
1285
01:28:15.440 --> 01:28:16.440
maintain, but they don't.
1286
01:28:17.230 --> 01:28:21.360
It's just the power to maintain the company may at any time maintain.

1287
01:28:21.420 --> 01:28:25.480
So I don't understand why you then need to accept from that power,

1288
01:28:26.340 --> 01:28:27.173
um,

1289
01:28:28.180 --> 01:28:31.680
the circumstances that are then set out in the rest of the paragraph one. So,

1290
01:28:32.140 --> 01:28:34.600
um, I can understand why there's, why there's a,
1291
01:28:34.720 --> 01:28:38.320
a wish to have a statutory power to maintain. That's perfectly
normal. Um,

1292
01:28:38.590 --> 01:28:41.840
because it, it is, but it, but because it would be just a power, not a,

1293
01:28:41.840 --> 01:28:43.920

```
not a duty, um,
1294
01:28:44.470 --> 01:28:48.800
then I would think that the applicant could consider finishing it
halfway
1295
01:28:48.800 --> 01:28:51.240
through the sentence. Thank you.
1296
01:28:57.180 --> 01:28:58.050
Shall I continue?
1297
01:28:58.250 --> 01:28:59.650
I think the best, yeah, if we let Mr.
1298
01:28:59.750 --> 01:29:04.680
Walker continue with his list and then we'll ask Mr. Greenwood to
respond.
1299
01:29:05.580 --> 01:29:09.720
Um, Angus Walker for D F T S. So moving on to article seven, uh,
1300
01:29:09.720 --> 01:29:11.080
limits of deviation, Mr.
1301
01:29:11.080 --> 01:29:16.000
Greenwood has acknowledged the the dredge pocket issue that we raise
in our
1302
01:29:16.240 --> 01:29:20.000
relevant representation. A second point is that, um,
1303
01:29:21.590 --> 01:29:25.480
article seven a, uh, no, sorry,
1304
01:29:25.480 --> 01:29:28.520
seven B refers to the engineering sections,
1305
01:29:28.520 --> 01:29:33.040
drawings and plans for the vertical deviation. Um,
1 3 0 6
```

01:29:33.580 --> 01:29:38.120
in the second iteration of the application after the first one was withdrawn,

1307
01:29:38.700 --> 01:29:41.960
an additional document called a building schedule was added,
1308
01:29:41.970 --> 01:29:46.960
which is reference a p P oh seven eight, which has some heights in it.

1309
01:29:47.140 --> 01:29:48.200
But the,
1310
01:29:48.230 --> 01:29:52.160
this article still continues to refer to the engineering drawings,
1311
01:29:52.860 --> 01:29:57.600
so I dunno if it is, should be updated to refer to this new building schedule.

1312
01:29:59.630 --> 01:30:02.120
That was my Article seven point. Um,
1313
01:30:03.020 --> 01:30:07.240
if my next one is Article 10, which we mentioned, uh, already,
1314
01:30:07.980 --> 01:30:10.240
powers of acquisition. Um,
1315
01:30:12.680 --> 01:30:17.460
the way Article ten one is expressed is very unusual that just the
1316
01:30:17.460 --> 01:30:21.380
company may acquire such rights as maybe required without really
1317
01:30:21.950 --> 01:30:26.260
specifying whether it's outright acquisition or anything less than
that.
1318
01:30:27.560 --> 01:30:30.940
One is left wondering what rights are involved.

```
1319
01:30:31.040 --> 01:30:35.770
And perhaps that means that the maximum power is,
1320
01:30:35.830 --> 01:30:39.450
is to acquire outright, but it's not that clear. Um,
1321
01:30:41.540 --> 01:30:46.220
articles tend to excludes from acquisition,
1322
01:30:46.840 --> 01:30:50.100
um, the land held by the crown, but in fact,
1323
01:30:50.100 --> 01:30:54.860
you still need Crown consent for acquiring other people's interests
in
1324
01:30:54.870 --> 01:30:59.260
Crown land. Just to note that. Um, and finally,
1325
01:31:00.360 --> 01:31:03.900
the book of reference, which is a p p 0 1 6,
1326
01:31:05.480 --> 01:31:06.313
um,
1327
01:31:06.660 --> 01:31:11.580
excludes compulsory acquisition for some parcels seven, eight a,
1328
01:31:11.950 --> 01:31:13.900
eight B 10, and 13.
1329
01:31:15.240 --> 01:31:20.180
But this article would still allow them to be acquired despite what
it might say
1330
01:31:20.180 --> 01:31:24.660
in the book of reference. It doesn't, um, refer to that.
1331
01:31:25.840 --> 01:31:30.220
Um, my next one is on article 16.
```

1332
01:31:30.380 --> 01:31:33.180
I might as well, it's very short. It's a very,
1333
01:31:33.250 --> 01:31:37.540
just a drafting issue that Article 16 um,
1334
01:31:37.850 --> 01:31:40.620
says subject to the provisions of paragraph two,

1335
01:31:40.680 --> 01:31:43.300
but it doesn't have a paragraph two, so $I$ dunno if that was missing.
1336
01:31:45.680 --> 01:31:50.580
Um, my next ones are after your next point on the agenda of Article 21.

1337
01:31:50.580 --> 01:31:52.220
So I won't say anymore at this point.
1338
01:31:54.270 --> 01:31:58.780
Thank you, Mr. Walker. Mr. Green, with any response? Brian
1339
01:31:58.840 --> 01:32:01.040
Greenwood for a v p? No, sir. I, I,
1340
01:32:01.480 --> 01:32:05.000
a lot of these points have already been taken, taken up and, uh, I,
1341
01:32:05.300 $\rightarrow$ 01:32:09.400
I'm grateful for Mr. Walker. I take it as all very helpful comments and, uh,

1342
01:32:10.380 --> 01:32:12.280
any points that we do not agree with,
1343
01:32:12.440 --> 01:32:17.240
I will of course note in our written representations. So,
1344
01:32:17.420 - 01:32:22.320
sir, uh, moving on, and that does take me to Article 21 where,

1345
01:32:22.460 --> 01:32:25.520
uh, Mr. Walker and indeed Mr. Owen, uh,
1346
01:32:25.520 $\rightarrow$ 01:32:30.040
have both been concerned about tail pieces. Uh, they're quite correct, uh,

1347
01:32:30.040 --> 01:32:33.840
that will be amended and that we amending to cover, to cover that point. Uh,

1348
01:32:35.380 --> 01:32:38.320
you have also made the point about $H$ s E earlier on, sir,
1349
01:32:38.500 --> 01:32:41.040
and I will make sure that you are given a note, uh,
1350
01:32:41.310 --> 01:32:45.480
whether that will be in the same note as the Def $D$ separation of powers.

1351
01:32:45.710 --> 01:32:47.800
I'll certainly reference H S E,
1352
01:32:47.820 --> 01:32:52.460
but $h$ ss e are also need, need to be looked at on their own as,
1353
01:32:52.460 --> 01:32:54.060
as an, an important key body,
1354
01:32:54.260 --> 01:32:58.660
particularly if they're not going to participate in, in the
examination. But I,

1355
01:32:58.690 --> 01:33:00.060
I'll, I'll deal with that. Sir.
1356
01:33:01.600 --> 01:33:02.433
Uh,

01:33:03.200 --> 01:33:05.500
Sir, you've drawn attention to, uh,
1358
01:33:05.500 --> 01:33:05.940
Article
1359
01:33:05.940 --> 01:33:06.773
22
1360
01:33:07.440 --> 01:33:10.740
Before you move on, please. 21. Related to that, of course,
1361
01:33:10.740 --> 01:33:15.620
is what the definition my ultimately be for RO
1362
01:33:15.620 --> 01:33:17.300
unit in Article two.
1363
01:33:18.480 --> 01:33:22.020
Yes, sir. I'm, I'm very conscious of that. Brian Greenwood, a b P.
1364
01:33:23.600 --> 01:33:28.380
So sir, moving on to, um, article 22.
1365
01:33:28.600 --> 01:33:32.220
You've raised a question about this, this, in fact, sir,
1366
01:33:33.370 --> 01:33:37.900
goes back to Mr. Owen and my favorite section,
1367
01:33:38.160 --> 01:33:43.100
uh, which, which, uh, Mr. Owen has referenced, uh, which is the open port,

1368
01:33:43.480 --> 01:33:47.740
uh, power in the 1847 Act. And the,
1369
01:33:47.800 --> 01:33:51.660
the way it works is with an open port provided the, uh,
1370
01:33:52.040 --> 01:33:55.740
vessel wishing to enter the port pays the correct use, uh,
1371
01:33:55.770 --> 01:33:59.140
then a b P has to allow that vessel to enter the port.
1372
01:33:59.730 --> 01:34:04.500
What this provision does is, despite section 33 ,
1373
01:34:04.640 --> 01:34:08.100
it does allow a b p effectively to say, no,
1374
01:34:08.210 --> 01:34:12.700
this part of the port can only be used for such and such person.
And,
1375
01:34:12.720 --> 01:34:14.420
and that is why that has been included.
1376
01:34:16.640 --> 01:34:20.140
But did you have a specific question on that article, sir? N
1377
01:34:20.380 --> 01:34:23.380
N not expressed other than did it need to be there?
1378
01:34:23.620 --> 01:34:26.900
I think from what's being said, it does need to be done,
1379
01:34:26.920 --> 01:34:31.020
but the explanatory memorandum needs to explain why it needs to be there,

1380
01:34:31.470 --> 01:34:33.940
which is, um, a shortcoming at the moment.
1381
01:34:38.720 --> 01:34:40.220
Mr. First and then Mr. Walker,
1382
01:34:41.480 --> 01:34:46.260
Uh, Robbie o in the c r d n. Thank you, sir. Um, just on article 22,
1383
01:34:46.600 --> 01:34:50.420
uh, our, our point on on it is this,
1384
01:34:50.420 --> 01:34:53.980
that it is indeed a standard provision, um,
1385
01:34:54.480 --> 01:34:57.600
to allow Harbor authorities, uh,
1386
01:34:57.660 --> 01:35:02.400
in effect to delegate from the entitlement that section
1387
01:35:02.400 --> 01:35:06.320
33, if incorporated, uh, confers on,
1388
01:35:07.220 --> 01:35:12.160
uh, prospective Harbor users. Um, the issue here though is,
1389
01:35:12.300 --> 01:35:17.200
is this is, so, you know, the starting point, uh,
1390
01:35:17.340 --> 01:35:20.400
and I take it that this won't change, is that, you know,
1391
01:35:20.400 --> 01:35:23.080
article four incorporates section 33.
1392
01:35:23.660 --> 01:35:28.320
So the open port duty would apply to what is defined as the authorized

1393
01:35:28.320 --> 01:35:32.080
development. So in effect, what the D C 0 is there to authorize.
1394
01:35:32.540 --> 01:35:33.520
So in the one hand,
1395
01:35:33.940 --> 01:35:37.720
you are giving an open port obligation through incorporation Section 33,

1396
01:35:38.390 --> 01:35:42.640
article 22, then immediately allows, uh,
1397
01:35:43.540 --> 01:35:47.880
the company to, um, uh, take that away, um, by,
1398
01:35:48.420 --> 01:35:50.800
uh, exercising a power to appropriate. And
1399
01:35:52.350 --> 01:35:56.720
that is understandable in the context of, um, a,
1400
01:35:56.840 --> 01:36:00.720
a normal port harbor where you've got a number of different births and other

1401
01:36:00.720 --> 01:36:05.360
facilities, and some are used for regular ferry services, for example.

1402
01:36:05.380 --> 01:36:07.960
And that's exactly what this, uh,
1403
01:36:08.130 --> 01:36:10.360
power to probate allows the Harbor authority to do,
1404
01:36:10.420 --> 01:36:14.280
to set aside certain births for certain regular services. But here,
1405
01:36:15.380 --> 01:36:20.320
you, you, you, you, you, you, you've got a D C 0 seeking to authorize a scheme,

1406
01:36:20.610 --> 01:36:25.080
which we're told is intended for the benefit of stainer. Um, and,
1407
01:36:25.660 --> 01:36:27.960
uh, uh, and, and, and yet, um,
1408
01:36:28.740 --> 01:36:33.320
and that is presumably why Article 22 would allow the whole scheme that the D C

1409
01:36:33.320 --> 01:36:37.680
0 authorizes to be appropriated for the benefit of stainer. Um,
1410
01:36:38.140 --> 01:36:41.920
and, and yet you've got the open port duty in Article four. So it, it,

1411
01:36:41.920 --> 01:36:45.240
it doesn't really make sense in the context of A D C 0 for this sort of scheme

1412
01:36:45.250 --> 01:36:49.760
where, uh, uh, you've got one development, which is the subject of the D C 0,

1413
01:36:50.010 --> 01:36:52.400
which is on the one hand covered by open port duty,
1414
01:36:52.400 --> 01:36:55.800
then that's being taken away immediately by the power to appropriate.

1415
01:36:55.900 --> 01:37:00.440
And that's very different from a situation where you've got a, a, a a,

1416
01:37:00.980 --> 01:37:04.000
an instrument like a D C O or a Harbor Vision order applying to,
1417
01:37:04.000 --> 01:37:05.680
to the whole of a, of a port or harbor.
1418
01:37:06.100 --> 01:37:09.680
And then individual facilities within it may be appropriated under the

1419
01:37:09.680 --> 01:37:13.000
equivalent of the power of Article 22. So, uh, uh, and,
1420
01:37:13.000 --> 01:37:16.360
and whilst Article 22 is worded to say that the company may from time to time

1421
01:37:16.460 --> 01:37:20.120
set, set apart and appropriate any part of the authorized development,

1422
01:37:20.120 - -> 01:37:24.600
it refers to part, um, and again, in paragraph two of Article 22,
1423
01:37:24.760 --> 01:37:29.360
I think the intention we understand, but, but maybe a B $P$ can clarify,

1424
01:37:29.430 --> 01:37:33.960
this is actually the power in Article 22 will be used to appropriate the whole

1425
01:37:34.260 --> 01:37:36.600
of the authorized development for the benefit of Stainer.
1426
01:37:37.350 --> 01:37:38.840
That that is what we understand,

1427
01:37:38.840 --> 01:37:42.040
given that appears to be in the motivations for, for the, uh,
1428
01:37:42.060 --> 01:37:46.400
for the entire application. And it'd be helpful to understand from a b p if, if,

1429
01:37:46.400 --> 01:37:47.680
if we've understood that correctly.
1430
01:37:52.700 --> 01:37:54.560
Mr. Green, uh,

1431
01:37:55.280 --> 01:37:57.710
Sorry, sir, I, I thought Mr. Walker also raised

1432
01:37:57.770 --> 01:38:00.390
His hand. Mine is on Article 21, so perhaps you could.
1433

01:38:02.330 --> 01:38:06.070
So, uh, and, and thank you for that comment. Uh, again, um,
1434
01:38:06.590 --> 01:38:08.990
I I would quite like to, uh,
1435
01:38:10.160 --> 01:38:12.310
leave the answer to that question, uh,
1436
01:38:12.840 --> 01:38:15.870
after I've been able to discuss the details with my client,
1437
01:38:16.010 --> 01:38:19.470
and you'll get a response, uh, in our written representation, sir.
1438
01:38:24.000 --> 01:38:27.230
Thank you, uh, Mr. Walker, going back to 21.
1439
01:38:27.230 --> 01:38:31.870
Thank, thank you, sir. Um, Angus Walker for D F T S, um,
1440
01:38:31.950 --> 01:38:35.950
article 21, this isn't something we put in our relevant representation.

1441
01:38:36.100 --> 01:38:38.670
It's arisen from our transport assessment, essentially,
1442
01:38:39.170 --> 01:38:44.030
is that this contains the cap of 660,000 row row units a year,
1443
01:38:45.290 --> 01:38:47.510
but it's not clear how anyone will know
1444
01:38:49.330 --> 01:38:51.030
is going to be monitoring that,
1445
01:38:51.090 --> 01:38:55.350
or is this entirely up to the applicant to count and,
1446
01:38:55.530 --> 01:38:59.430
and keep to itself how many there are or is it going to report it to anyone? Or,

1447
01:39:00.370 --> 01:39:03.590
we wondered how this was going to be policed to this article
1448
01:39:14.370 --> 01:39:16.590
And sorry, kind of allied to that.
1449
01:39:16.590 --> 01:39:21.030
It was the point that I made earlier that if there is vehicle importation,

1450
01:39:21.030 --> 01:39:23.470
that makes it even more difficult to, to police it.
1451
01:39:26.330 --> 01:39:28.870
Yes, sir. Brian Greenwood for a b p, sir,
1452
01:39:29.200 --> 01:39:32.310
there will be meth methodologies in place. Um,
1453
01:39:33.360 --> 01:39:37.990
could I possibly ask that this is covered in the transport
1454
01:39:38.020 --> 01:39:42.750
session for I S H two? Um, you, uh,
1455
01:39:42.890 --> 01:39:47.350
for I s H two, you haven't actually asked for a summary, but, uh,
1456
01:39:47.930 --> 01:39:52.510
we were proposing to give you an outline and we would include
answers for that,
1457
01:39:52.690 --> 01:39:56.110
uh, on, on Thursday morning. If, if that would be, uh,
1458
01:39:57.910 --> 01:39:58.743
sufficient,
1459

01:40:02.280 --> 01:40:03.113
That'll be fine.
1460
01:40:03.440 --> 01:40:04.273
Okay,
1461
01:40:12.810 --> 01:40:17.630
So Brian Greenwood for a $v \mathrm{p}$. Uh, so some of the points that Mr.
1462
01:40:17.630 --> 01:40:19.350
Walker probably wants to make, um,
1463
01:40:19.780 --> 01:40:23.870
regarding points he's already raised in relevant representations I have actually

1464
01:40:23.870 $\rightarrow$ 01:40:28.230
taken on board. Uh, so I won't go through those. Um, but,
1465
01:40:28.690 $\rightarrow$ 01:40:29.523
um,
1466
01:40:32.650 --> 01:40:36.670
the next question for you, sir, from you sir, is, uh,
1467
01:40:37.260 --> 01:40:40.430
article 28 agreement with Highway Authorities.
1468
01:40:40.570 - 01:40:44.470
Unless there's something I, Mr. Walker does have one or two points before that,

1469
01:40:44.530 --> 01:40:46.190
but I have taken those on board. So,
1470
01:40:47.890 --> 01:40:48.830
Um, and Mr.

1471
01:40:48.830 --> 01:40:53.760
Walker for the F D s I will await to see the revised draft
1472

01:40:53.960 --> 01:40:56.440
D C 0 in that case and won't, won't need to raise.
1473
01:40:56.720 --> 01:40:59.880
I I wouldn't mind a break soon, if that's all right with you.
1474
01:41:06.740 --> 01:41:08.000
We are literally coming up to quarter pass.
1475
01:41:08.000 --> 01:41:12.080
Would this be a convenient point to take the break? Um,
1476
01:41:13.170 --> 01:41:15.880
we'll re resumption at half past four,
1477
01:41:15.880 --> 01:41:20.160
so that's about 17 minutes be okay. So, okay,
1478
01:41:20.260 --> 01:41:23.120
we adjourn then to half past four. Thank you very much.
1479
01:41:26.430 --> 01:41:31.000
Well, it looks like everybody in the room is back, so it's half past
four.
1480
01:41:31.140 --> 01:41:34.000
The hearing is resumed. Uh, Ms. Hutson,
1481
01:41:34.000 --> 01:41:36.640
can I just check that you are still online?
1482
01:41:39.940 --> 01:41:41.240
Yes, sir, I'm still here.
1483
01:41:46.270 --> 01:41:50.610
Uh, Mr. Green, I think you are about to talk to, um,
1484
01:41:50.760 --> 01:41:53.770
article 28. Really,
1485
01:41:53.870 --> 01:41:57.010
if I can circumvent maybe what you're about to say. Really,
1486
01:41:57.010 --> 01:42:00.970
the query was what agreements, uh,
1487
01:42:01.340 --> 01:42:03.570
might lie behind Article 28.
1488
01:42:05.820 --> 01:42:10.450
Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood, A B P. And sir, thank you. Uh,
1489
01:42:11.190 --> 01:42:15.530
the agreement will be a section 278 agreement, uh, with the, uh,
1490
01:42:15.600 --> 01:42:17.130
highway authority, uh,
1491
01:42:17.130 --> 01:42:21.890
Northeast li of council negotiations are already underway and the intention

1492
01:42:22.110 --> 01:42:26.410
is to complete the Section 278 agreement so that, uh,
1493
01:42:26.410 --> 01:42:30.410
you can take it away with you by the close of the examination.
1494
01:42:30.830 --> 01:42:34.490
And that is all in relation to the proposed improvements to Eastgate.

1495
01:42:42.270 --> 01:42:46.610
And is that intended to be the only agreement under Article 28,
1496
01:42:49.580 --> 01:42:52.970
Brian Greenwood for a v p? Yes, as far as this case is concerned,
1497
01:42:52.970 --> 01:42:54.930
that was the only agreement that Buber required,
1498
01:42:55.560 --> 01:42:57.290

Then perhaps, uh,
1499
01:42:57.290 --> 01:43:01.770
there needs to be a slight redrafting that just refers to the singular 'cause.

1500
01:43:01.770 --> 01:43:04.650
It was begging the question as to what might have, uh, Mr. Tarone
1501
01:43:06.760 --> 01:43:10.050
Robo for C L D N. Uh, thank you, sir. We, we,
1502
01:43:10.050 --> 01:43:14.010
we also were slightly perplexed by, uh, this article, um,
1503
01:43:14.870 --> 01:43:19.530
in the, its drafting is relatively standard and is included in numerous dcos.

1504
01:43:19.840 --> 01:43:24.650
However, it's, it's not clear why it's been included in this draft.
1505
01:43:24.810 --> 01:43:26.130
D C 0, uh,
1506
01:43:28.150 --> 01:43:31.610
in indeed the only provision of this draft order that contains
powers in
1507
01:43:31.810 --> 01:43:34.450
relation to streets is this article. Um,
1508
01:43:34.870 --> 01:43:38.770
and therefore we're not clear what power then is proposed to be
1509
01:43:39.850 --> 01:43:44.770
exercise pursuant to an agreement made under subparagraph one $A$ and
1510
01:43:44.790 --> 01:43:46.090
two A. And, and the,

```
01:43:46.390 --> 01:43:49.930
the explanatory memorandum doesn't really help with this question.
We, we,
1512
01:43:49.950 --> 01:43:53.080
we note that the consents and agreements position statement,
1513
01:43:53.080 --> 01:43:56.920
that's a P P 1 1 0 in table one,
1514
01:43:57.060 --> 01:43:58.480
row seven on page 12,
1515
01:43:58.530 --> 01:44:02.480
makes reference to entering into agreements under the Highways Act
with
1516
01:44:02.750 --> 01:44:06.160
Northeast Link Re Council. Um, which,
1517
01:44:06.160 --> 01:44:09.760
which it said are required to allow any works to public highway.
1518
01:44:11.100 --> 01:44:15.320
And presumably this is a reference to works in the highway to
mitigate the
1519
01:44:15.320 --> 01:44:17.680
adverse transport effects of the proposed scheme.
1520
01:44:18.900 --> 01:44:22.040
But those measures aren't included within, within the order.
1521
01:44:22.220 --> 01:44:26.880
And so would potentially require planning permission under the
1522
01:44:27.080 --> 01:44:32.080
Tana Country Planning Act 1990 and assessment of the environmental
effects of
1523
01:44:32.080 --> 01:44:34.320
those highway works. Uh,
```

1524
01:44:34.470 --> 01:44:38.760
they would potentially require the 1980 Act,
1525
01:44:39.460 --> 01:44:42.160
uh, agreements to be entered into, as Mr. Agreement has said, and
1526
01:44:43.670 --> 01:44:48.320
potentially would require a B P to secure interest in land
1527
01:44:48.320 --> 01:44:51.000
necessary to carry out the works. We, we, we don't know,
1528
01:44:51.000 --> 01:44:55.160
and it just calls into question to our mind the, uh, uh, uh,
1529
01:44:55.380 --> 01:44:59.640
$A B$ P's ability to deliver the mitigation necessary for the scheme,
1530
01:45:00.300 --> 01:45:03.640
uh, and indeed the adequacy of the environmental mitigation we've been presented

1531
01:45:03.640 --> 01:45:04.473
with in this respect.
1532
01:45:04.500 --> 01:45:09.040
So I think some clarity dealing with those matters I've raised would be

1533
01:45:09.470 --> 01:45:11.080
much appreciated. Thank you,
1534
01:45:31.930 --> 01:45:33.470
Mr. Greenwood. Any response?
1535
01:45:33.640 --> 01:45:35.590
Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood, a b
1536
01:45:35.670 --> 01:45:36.270
$P$, uh,

```
1537
01:45:36.270 --> 01:45:39.550
Certainly we will provide clarity. We we're not in fact doing, uh,
1538
01:45:40.360 --> 01:45:42.430
works in the public highway, uh,
1539
01:45:43.730 --> 01:45:47.950
in relation to this development apart from a small sliver of land,
1540
01:45:47.950 --> 01:45:50.870
which is actually next door to the Eastgate, uh,
1541
01:45:50.870 --> 01:45:54.750
because we're going to have to widen the bell mouth and access. And
that is,
1542
01:45:54.750 --> 01:45:58.790
that is what is about what we would do, sir, is explain in our
written reps,
1543
01:45:58.790 --> 01:46:01.710
and I will expand the explanatory memorandum to cover that point.
1544
01:46:03.400 --> 01:46:04.190
Thank you.
1545
01:46:04.190 --> 01:46:08.950
I think if you like to save duplication in submissions,
1546
01:46:09.540 --> 01:46:13.510
certainly full matters, um, that need further explanation,
1547
01:46:13.570 --> 01:46:17.990
the probably the best place to put it will be the explanatory
memorandum. Uh,
1548
01:46:18.050 --> 01:46:22.190
and then in your, if you like, post-hearing summary, um,
1549
01:46:22.660 --> 01:46:25.710
```

just flag that the matter's been dealt with, but it's,
1550
01:46:25.780 --> 01:46:27.830
it's been dealt with in the explanatory memorandum,
1551
01:46:27.830 --> 01:46:32.110
I think it just reduces the volume of stuff that people have to read.

1552
01:46:33.760 --> 01:46:36.470
Brian Green for a v p. Thank you very much, sir. That's very helpful.

1553
01:46:36.770 --> 01:46:41.030
It also reduces the amount of stuff that I have to write, so, uh, yes.

1554
01:46:42.130 --> 01:46:47.030
So sir, um, carrying on your next question, um,
1555
01:46:47.890 --> 01:46:52.640
is regard to Article 37 procedure in relation to appeals under the
1556
01:46:52.640 --> 01:46:53.760
Control of Pollution Act
1557
01:46:59.540 --> 01:47:02.280
To assist. Shall I put the, the question in the concern?
1558
01:47:02.560 --> 01:47:04.720
'cause it might be easier, um,
1559
01:47:06.830 --> 01:47:10.680
Because this has certainly cropped up in a few cases that I've dealt with.

1560
01:47:11.980 --> 01:47:12.630
Um,
1561
01:47:12.630 --> 01:47:17.320
what you are seeking to do under Article 37 is in effect in

```
1562
01:47:17.640 --> 01:47:18.800
response to, um,
1563
01:47:19.670 --> 01:47:23.160
what shouldn't an appeal arise out of either,
1564
01:47:24.460 --> 01:47:28.760
um, a notice being served under Section 60 or,
1565
01:47:29.820 --> 01:47:30.300
uh,
1566
01:47:30.300 --> 01:47:34.400
in effecti consent being given under Section 61 of the Control of
Pollution Act,
1567
01:47:35.220 --> 01:47:39.880
you are seeking to have any necessary appeal dealt with by the
Secretary of
1568
01:47:39.880 --> 01:47:44.560
State rather than via the magistrate's court. Um,
1569
01:47:45.140 --> 01:47:50.000
now that potentially raises a difficulty in that
1570
01:47:50.500 --> 01:47:54.320
if that appeal is dismissed. Um,
1571
01:47:54.740 --> 01:47:58.840
the matter then has to be referred to the magistrate's court if,
1572
01:47:59.980 --> 01:48:04.320
um, the local authority wishes to pursue a prosecution,
1573
01:48:04.320 --> 01:48:09.200
which all starts to get rather convoluted where it
1574
01:48:09.200 --> 01:48:13.080
is. If the appeal goes directly to the magistrates,
```

```
1575
01:48:13.940 --> 01:48:15.080
as I understand it,
1576
01:48:15.190 --> 01:48:19.360
they will deal with the appeal and concurrently deal with
1577
01:48:19.980 --> 01:48:24.920
any penalty if the appeal is dismissed. Um,
1578
01:48:25.220 --> 01:48:29.160
and certainly, um, if I recall correctly,
1579
01:48:29.270 --> 01:48:33.880
when M 25 Junction 10 a three, uh,
1580
01:48:33.880 --> 01:48:37.080
which is a made d c o was dealt with, uh,
1581
01:48:37.080 --> 01:48:41.120
the examining authority of which I was a part, we recommended, um,
1582
01:48:41.310 --> 01:48:46.120
that this appeal mechanism should not be included and it was written
1583
01:48:46.300 --> 01:48:48.560
out. Um, I then,
1584
01:48:48.830 --> 01:48:53.320
when I dealt with the Little Crow Solar Farm, encountered a similar
issue,
1585
01:48:54.180 --> 01:48:55.720
um, and, um,
1586
01:48:56.200 --> 01:49:00.560
persuaded the applicant to remove the provision, um,
1587
01:49:00.910 --> 01:49:02.960
from what was their final draft order.
1 5 8 8
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01:49:03.420 --> 01:49:06.160
And there is no such provision in the made order.
1589
01:49:08.380 --> 01:49:12.920
You might be guessing what might be coming down the line if it remains in the

1590
01:49:12.920 --> 01:49:15.600
draft order. Uh, interviews Mr. Greenwood,
1591
01:49:15.980 --> 01:49:17.800
Uh, Brian Greenwood for a v p.
1592
01:49:17.980 --> 01:49:22.040
So your comments are noted and will be taken forward. So, we'll,
1593
01:49:22.040 --> 01:49:25.800
we will have a look and, uh, if necessary, apply the red pen.
1594
01:49:31.470 --> 01:49:32.303
Mr. Owen.
1595
01:49:32.950 --> 01:49:36.650
Uh, thank you sir. Robbie Owen for C L D N. Um, can I just, uh, uh,
1596
01:49:36.910 --> 01:49:38.730
add our two pennyworth here? Uh,
1597
01:49:38.850 --> 01:49:43.010
'cause it is a article of concern to us in that, um, as you say,
1598
01:49:43.010 --> 01:49:48.000
it's intended to modify the appeals procedures under section 60 and 61 of the

1599
01:49:48.000 --> 01:49:52.400
Controller Pollution Act, uh, 1974. So that appeals are determined by,

1600
01:49:52.940 --> 01:49:56.800
uh, the central state and not the magistrate's court. Uh, we, we,

1601
01:49:56.800 --> 01:49:59.280
we note that the expansion memorandum, uh,
1602
01:49:59.300 --> 01:50:03.840
at paragraph 10.21 cites the thas water
1603
01:50:04.510 --> 01:50:07.960
utilities, uh, the Thames Tideway Tunnel order, uh,
1604
01:50:08.420 --> 01:50:12.520
2014 as a precedent. Uh, it, it should be noted of course,
1605
01:50:12.520 --> 01:50:17.360
that the Thames Tideway project is a 25 kilometer super sewer
1606
01:50:17.360 --> 01:50:21.520
cutting under the densely populated capital city of London. And, and it,

1607
01:50:21.660 --> 01:50:25.960
we don't think it's, it's right to say this is, you know, this is this,

1608
01:50:26.030 --> 01:50:29.640
this is a standard article routinely included in dcos. And,
1609
01:50:29.640 --> 01:50:33.240
and I think what you've just said, sir, bears that out. The, the, the,

1610
01:50:33.240 --> 01:50:37.920
the expansion memorandum does not explain why its inclusion in this draft order

1611
01:50:37.920 --> 01:50:41.920
is justified in the circumstances of this project. And indeed,
1612
01:50:42.000 --> 01:50:46.960
a concern we have is that what we regard as being excessive provisions

01:50:46.960 --> 01:50:51.480
relating to noise nuisance are a running theme in the drafting of the order.

1614
01:50:52.460 --> 01:50:56.960
See, for example, article 29, paragraph three, which, uh,
1615
01:50:56.960 --> 01:50:59.960
includes novel drafting, which we're told, um,

1616
01:51:00.580 --> 01:51:05.480
by paragraph 10.4 of the expansion memorandum has been
1617
01:51:05.790 --> 01:51:07.800
adopted in various rail schemes,
1618
01:51:07.860 --> 01:51:11.760
not least phase one of HSS two and
1619
01:51:12.460 - 01:51:17.200
indeed the current phase two B bill currently
1620
01:51:17.200 --> 01:51:19.280
before Parliament. And again,
1621
01:51:19.280 --> 01:51:22.560
that provision has the effect of further curtailing the ability of local

1622
01:51:22.870 --> 01:51:27.200
environmental health officers from bringing proceedings under the Environmental

1623
01:51:27.200 --> 01:51:31.680
Protection Act 1990 for statutory noise nuisance. And again,
1624
01:51:31.700 --> 01:51:35.400
we say that is not a provision routinely included in development consent orders

1625
01:51:35.460 - 01:51:40.440
for schemes of the nature of this project. And I, I think it'd be
helpful,
1626
01:51:41.460 --> 01:51:45.120
um, as part of any, uh,
1627
01:51:45.620 --> 01:51:49.040
red pen exercise that Mr. Greenwood referred to, uh, if,
1628
01:51:49.100 --> 01:51:53.480
if in relation to any remaining provisions of this nature that the expansion

1629
01:51:53.480 --> 01:51:58.480
memorandum could explain, uh, why, uh,
1630
01:51:58.480 --> 01:52:02.800
measures to avoid public health authorities taking action to abate noise

1631
01:52:03.120 --> 01:52:07.120
nuisance are justified in the public interest in the case of this project.

1632
01:52:08.460 --> 01:52:09.293
You know,
1633
01:52:10.000 --> 01:52:13.440
I I just conclude by saying this development is very different in character

1634
01:52:13.440 --> 01:52:17.440
quite clearly to the large scale, linear, uh,
1635
01:52:18.030 --> 01:52:22.600
largely not entirely railway projects cutting through, you know,
1636
01:52:22.600 --> 01:52:25.520
density populated areas that are cited as precedents. Um,
1637
01:52:25.780 --> 01:52:30.440
so if that could be reconsidered, we'd be very grateful. Thank you,

1638
01:52:33.580 --> 01:52:34.340
Mr. Greenwood.
1639
01:52:34.340 --> 01:52:38.920
Any observations given the examining authority is concerned anyway? Yes,

1640
01:52:39.020 $\rightarrow$ 01:52:43.040
No, sir. Uh, I, I've noted your comments, I've noted the helpful comments of Mr.

1641
01:52:43.040 --> 01:52:47.480
Owen, and we'll take that one forward, sir. So sir,
1642
01:52:47.500 --> 01:52:49.040
moving on. Um,
1643
01:52:49.430 --> 01:52:53.880
just ready to note for the record that when you do see the track change, $d$ c 0 ,

1644
01:52:54.220 --> 01:52:59.040
um, articles 38 to 41 do involve Trinity House.
1645
01:52:59.180 --> 01:53:03.720
And as I mentioned earlier, we've been in discussions with Trinity House. Uh,

1646
01:53:04.030 --> 01:53:06.200
they have made certain proposals to us,
1647
01:53:06.200 --> 01:53:09.160
which we have accepted in their entirety. Um,

1648
01:53:09.610 --> 01:53:12.720
their lawyers are just checking with their client to make sure there is nothing

1649
01:53:12.720 --> 01:53:14.160
else, but hopefully, uh,
1650

01:53:14.190 --> 01:53:18.000
that will mean that those provisions are accepted by Trinity House and Trinity

1651
01:53:18.000 --> 01:53:22.200
House will not wish to participate further in the examination.
1652
01:53:24.300 --> 01:53:28.000
And sir, that then takes me, um, to Crown rights,
1653
01:53:28.000 --> 01:53:32.720
and I believe this is a point that you've raised as well. If I could set, uh,

1654
01:53:32.720 --> 01:53:36.160
the position in context, sir. Um, uh, ab Sorry,
1655
01:53:36.160 --> 01:53:40.360
Sorry, Mr. Green, there may just be an easier, uh, response.
1656
01:53:40.460 --> 01:53:45.200
Really what I was seeking here, uh, was confirmation,
1657
01:53:45.580 --> 01:53:50.040
um, that the dialogue is ongoing. There is reference, I think to,
1658
01:53:50.500 --> 01:53:54.320
uh, in the application documents that Crown Estate are in agreement.
1659
01:53:55.340 --> 01:53:57.680
Um, is,
1660
01:53:57.860 --> 01:54:00.760
is that agreement in any form of writing as of yet,
1661
01:54:01.770 --> 01:54:06.720
Brian Greenwood, uh, of a b p? No, sir. It is not in writing as yet. Uh,

1662
01:54:06.980 --> 01:54:07.960
in that, uh,

1663
01:54:08.480 --> 01:54:13.440
A B P is dealing obviously with the Crown State almost on a weekly, uh, process,

1664
01:54:13.840 --> 01:54:15.840
bearing in mind that they own the Humber. Uh,
1665
01:54:15.840 --> 01:54:19.960
there are negotiations that are going, uh, on at the moment. Uh,
1666
01:54:20.500 --> 01:54:25.040
the Crown State have instructed their lawyers and we are in negotiation with

1667
01:54:25.040 --> 01:54:27.920
their lawyers. When it comes down to its, uh,
1668
01:54:27.940 --> 01:54:31.480
it is a standard agreement that a p p has with the Crown State, uh,
1669
01:54:31.500 --> 01:54:34.200
has had on a number of occasions, it involves, uh,
1670
01:54:34.680 --> 01:54:38.680
a B P entering into an agreement and then a b p paying, uh,
1671
01:54:38.900 --> 01:54:43.120
to the Crown State a certain amount of money for permission to construct, uh,

1672
01:54:43.220 --> 01:54:47.800
on their land that that is underway and, uh, will be dealt with,
1673
01:54:48.100 --> 01:54:52.040
uh, very quickly, I hope in that, uh,
1674
01:54:52.210 --> 01:54:56.600
pin's advice I do note is that matters with the crown of state should readily

1675
01:54:56.630 --> 01:55:00.640
have been dealt with earlier, and I have reminded the crown of state of that,

1676
01:55:00.820 --> 01:55:02.720
but it hasn't happened, I'm afraid.
1677
01:55:05.040 --> 01:55:08.160
I think what we would ask is regular updates, um,
1678
01:55:08.160 --> 01:55:10.600
because if it's a lingering issue, it,
1679
01:55:10.980 --> 01:55:15.840
it will create a problem potentially at reporting. And of course,
1680
01:55:15.950 --> 01:55:19.360
once the report leave, well, once the examination closes, um,
1681
01:55:20.410 --> 01:55:24.640
we've got no further sway in in, in trying to push things along.
1682
01:55:25.820 --> 01:55:29.120
Uh, Brian Greenwood for a b p sir, if it is a lingering issue,
1683
01:55:29.130 --> 01:55:32.800
it'll be a serious problem for myself, so I will certainly keep you informed.

1684
01:55:34.210 --> 01:55:35.043
Thank you.
1685
01:55:57.510 --> 01:55:58.343
Uh,
1686
01:55:59.390 --> 01:55:59.910
It should,
1687
01:55:59.910 --> 01:56:03.570
Oh, I do, I do apologize. Brian Greenwood for, uh,
1688
01:56:03.770 --> 01:56:08.050
a B p I believe we've moved to the schedules now, sir. Um,
1689
01:56:09.470 --> 01:56:11.850
and I think your question, or you,
1690
01:56:11.910 --> 01:56:15.930
you tabbed a point on amendments to approved details else.
1691
01:56:22.070 --> 01:56:24.890
Yes. And this really actually touches on the point, I think it was Mr.

1692
01:56:24.890 --> 01:56:29.890
Walker made earlier, um, or it might have been Mr. Owen,
1693
01:56:29.960 --> 01:56:34.730
forgive me, um, in effect,
1694
01:56:35.390 --> 01:56:37.610
um, revising the scheme and,
1695
01:56:37.630 --> 01:56:42.290
and where does that sit in terms of the worst case that's been assessed within

1696
01:56:42.590 --> 01:56:46.090
the application or the, the environmental statement? I think actually it was Mr.

1697
01:56:46.090 --> 01:56:50.170
Walker that raised the point. It, it, it really,
1698
01:56:50.210 --> 01:56:53.490
I think it's a question of looking at the drafting, um,
1699
01:56:53.910 --> 01:56:58.770
seeing whether it needs to be tightened, uh, to ensure that there is no, um,

1700
01:56:59.650 --> 01:57:02.370
movement away from what's been assessed in the R statement.

1701
01:57:04.860 --> 01:57:07.570
Brian Green for a v p. Thank you for that, sir. Um,
1702
01:57:08.630 --> 01:57:11.890
that's a very important point which we will be looking at, uh,
1703
01:57:11.890 --> 01:57:16.730
particularly in the context of our changes in that. Uh, uh,
1704
01:57:16.910 --> 01:57:20.850
the, the third element of the changes I mentioned involve the UK border force.

1705
01:57:21.430 --> 01:57:22.450
Uh, if,
1706
01:57:22.550 --> 01:57:27.490
if we haven't actually refined the total details for, for that building,

1707
01:57:27.630 --> 01:57:32.530
we may be wanting to, uh, go through the local authority to approve details. Uh,

1708
01:57:32.690 --> 01:57:37.530
I would tie that requirement together so with also
1709
01:57:37.850 --> 01:57:42.210
a requirement, uh, seven, uh, in fact,
1710
01:57:42.410 --> 01:57:47.250
I might rearrange and put, uh, our requirement seven in front of,
1711
01:57:47.350 --> 01:57:50.770
uh, requirement three. That might be a logical placement, but, uh, uh,

1712
01:57:50.770 --> 01:57:53.330
you'll see that in the, uh,
1713
01:57:53.420 --> 01:57:56.570
track change D c o and the explanatory memorandum.
1714
01:58:06.060 --> 01:58:07.000
So sorry,
1715
01:58:07.000 --> 01:58:11.960
Just if, if you are going to changing the running order of the requirements,

1716
01:58:12.700 --> 01:58:17.160
uh, I think it would be useful for everybody if that's done sooner rather than

1717
01:58:17.210 --> 01:58:18.043
later,
1718
01:58:18.340 --> 01:58:22.920
so that we do don't get too wedded with the running order that we've got

1719
01:58:23.140 --> 01:58:25.520
now, and then suddenly four months later,
1720
01:58:25.530 --> 01:58:28.200
we're all starting to talk about a slightly different running order,
1721
01:58:28.200 --> 01:58:30.000
which might get rather confusing.
1722
01:58:31.730 --> 01:58:35.320
Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood, a b P that's fully understood and uh,

1723
01:58:35.520 --> 01:58:39.160
I hope that will be the only change in running order $I$ will be suggesting,

1724
01:58:41.180 --> 01:58:46.000
sir, I think that then takes us to noise insulation. Oh, sorry, sir.
1725
01:58:47.840 --> 01:58:50.300
May I just hold you for a second while we just confirm

1726
01:59:13.580 --> 01:59:17.640
Mr. Greenwood, uh, just before we pass too quickly on, um,
1727
01:59:17.870 --> 01:59:21.600
article 44, IMing Dock bylaws 1929,
1728
01:59:22.820 - -> 01:59:27.520
um, forgive me if we've missed them, but are they in the examination library?

1729
01:59:27.880 --> 01:59:31.880
I, I sense not, and question I think for you is,
1730
01:59:32.170 --> 01:59:35.800
would it be helpful to the examination to have them in,
1731
01:59:37.060 $\rightarrow$ 01:59:40.920
Uh, Brian Green would a b p and sir, they are not in the library,
1732
01:59:41.360 --> 01:59:44.640
I believe looking at my colleagues shaking head, uh,
1733
01:59:44.640 --> 01:59:49.040
they certainly would be helpful. So sir, if I may, I I will pass 'em to, uh,

1734
01:59:49.660 $\rightarrow$ 01:59:52.000
uh, pins and get them included.
1735
01:59:52.090 - -> 01:59:52.923
Thank you.
1736
01:59:57.700 --> 02:00:01.800
So, sir, that takes me to, sorry, you're still writing, so may I,
1737
02:00:02.900 --> 02:00:07.800
oh, no it doesn't, uh,
1738
02:00:07.980 --> 02:00:08.880
Mr. Row first.

1739
02:00:10.730 --> 02:00:13.880
Thank you. So may, may I just come in on requirement three before we move on.

1740
02:00:14.460 --> 02:00:18.320
Um, we, we have a different point here in that, um,
1741
02:00:18.820 --> 02:00:23.400
whilst the drafting of this requirement is relatively standard, uh, um,

1742
02:00:24.740 --> 02:00:25.340
We, we, we,
1743
02:00:25.340 --> 02:00:29.440
we think placed in the context of the drafting of this schedule of requirements,

1744
02:00:29.460 --> 02:00:34.040
it is problematic in that there is no obligation within any of the
1745
02:00:34.040 --> 02:00:38.840
requirements for a B P to maintain a publicly accessible register
1746
02:00:39.740 --> 02:00:44.080
of requirements recording what has been approved under them.
1747
02:00:45.220 --> 02:00:49.800
And if there is no accessible record of determinations made under requirements,

1748
02:00:50.140 --> 02:00:50.500
um,
1749
02:00:50.500 --> 02:00:55.440
it would we think inhibit the practical ability of the local
planning
1750
02:00:55.440 --> 02:00:59.760
authority and those adversely affected by the proposed development from

```
1751
02:00:59.760 --> 02:01:04.400
monitoring compliance and taking enforcement action where
appropriate. Um,
1752
02:01:06.020 --> 02:01:09.920
and it's not just a matter for the local planning authority. Uh,
1753
02:01:09.920 --> 02:01:14.760
they're not the only body obliged to consider a b submissions
1754
02:01:14.760 --> 02:01:18.280
under these requirements, for example, requirement 18, paragraph
three,
1755
02:01:18.740 --> 02:01:20.280
impact protection measure measures,
1756
02:01:20.280 --> 02:01:24.520
which we come on to discuss requires the consent of the Harbor
Master and
1757
02:01:24.520 --> 02:01:25.280
requirement nine,
1758
02:01:25.280 --> 02:01:29.080
dealing with surf surface water drainage requires the approval of
the drainage
1759
02:01:29.090 --> 02:01:31.360
board. And we do think, uh,
1760
02:01:31.380 --> 02:01:35.200
as you see in other development consent orders and, and, uh,
1761
02:01:35.310 --> 02:01:38.720
certainly the chair of the panel will know from his experience of
National
1762
02:01:38.720 - 02:01:43.000
Highways dcos that, um, that there is, uh,
```

1763
02:01:43.600 --> 02:01:43.960
a need,
1764
02:01:43.960 $\rightarrow$ 02:01:48.360
we think in the interest of good administration and effective enforcement for

1765
02:01:49.040 $\rightarrow$ 02:01:53.440
a B P to be obliged under the D C 0 to keep a record of all determinations

1766
02:01:54.190 - -> 02:01:58.800
made under these requirements to maintain that record and make it publicly

1767
02:01:58.800 --> 02:02:03.200
available preferably via, um, a, a website at least,
1768
02:02:03.380 - -> 02:02:07.760
and maybe also through physical means for those who aren't able to access the

1769
02:02:07.960 --> 02:02:08.793
internet. Um,
1770
02:02:09.100 --> 02:02:11.960
so that would be the point we'd make on requirement three so that people can

1771
02:02:11.960 $->$ 02:02:15.040
track, um, uh,
1772
02:02:15.270 $->$ 02:02:20.240
both approvals given under requirements and insofar as those approvals might

1773
02:02:20.240 --> 02:02:25.000
then be changed and reapproved, you know, what, what's been, what's,
1774
02:02:25.140 - -> 02:02:28.160
you know, what the current position is in relation to each of the requirements,

1775
02:02:28.160 --> 02:02:31.880
where they are in their discharge journey, if I can put it that way. Thank you,

1776
02:02:39.610 --> 02:02:40.990
Mr. Greenwood. Uh,
1777
02:02:41.320 --> 02:02:44.150
Brian Greenwood, a b p certainly, sir. Thank you for that.

1778
02:02:44.170 --> 02:02:47.950
We will look at precedents and, and, uh, adopt something if it is, uh,

1779
02:02:48.390 --> 02:02:49.223
considered appropriate.
1780
02:02:59.880 --> 02:03:00.713
Mr. Walker?
1781
02:03:01.230 --> 02:03:05.860
Thank you sir. Angus Walker for D F D S, um, if I can go back to schedule one,

1782
02:03:06.680 --> 02:03:10.220
um, I have a couple of points on the extent of the works.
1783
02:03:10.480 - 02:03:12.460
I'm not sure if that's appropriate for this hearing.
1784
02:03:13.360 --> 02:03:17.100
We think work number two is too big and work number three is too small, but

1785
02:03:19.870 --> 02:03:22.340
maybe we should raise that at another hearing, uh,
1786
02:03:22.340 --> 02:03:26.900
because it's not really a drafting issue. Um, however,
1787

02:03:27.440 --> 02:03:31.460
um, if you'd like to, if you would like us to make that point,
1788
02:03:32.280 --> 02:03:34.220
it might be worth looking at the works plans,
1789
02:03:34.230 --> 02:03:38.100
which are a p p double zero seven.
1790
02:03:43.170 --> 02:03:46.750
I'm sorry, I didn't mention that. I would be relying on this plan earlier.

1791
02:03:49.190 --> 02:03:53.750
I wonder whether that might be something that you can more usefully make in

1792
02:03:53.750 $\rightarrow$ 02:03:56.030
written submission, uh,
1793
02:03:56.130 --> 02:04:00.670
and the applicant can then respond in writing if we feel there's something that

1794
02:04:00.670 --> 02:04:04.830
we need to, to take up orally, uh, we can do that, uh,
1795
02:04:04.930 --> 02:04:05.910
on another occasion.
1796
02:04:07.740 --> 02:04:11.350
Okay, well works plans are there. If, if you just want to look at page one

1797
02:04:12.020 --> 02:04:13.510
Okay. As we're, as we're sheet one.
1798
02:04:17.410 --> 02:04:21.750
Yes. So work number two is the large green area.
1799
02:04:21.810 - -> 02:04:25.630
That's the, um, dredge pocket. It's so large,

```
1800
02:04:25.730 --> 02:04:29.790
it extends and overlaps with the eastern jetty on the left hand side
there,
1 8 0 1
02:04:29.920 --> 02:04:33.670
which seems excessively large to, um,
1802
02:04:33.940 --> 02:04:38.800
it's that little gray pontoon you can see on the left hand side.
1803
02:04:39.300 --> 02:04:40.133
Um,
1804
02:04:40.310 --> 02:04:44.800
that seems excessively large to be solely for the purposes of
building the,
1805
02:04:45.700 --> 02:04:50.600
and maintaining the, this new development. On the other hand, work
number three,
1806
02:04:50.600 --> 02:04:54.440
which is the dashed and dotted pink area in the right hand corner.
1807
02:04:56.140 --> 02:05:00.920
It is impact protection for that finger pier and the I o t trunk
way,
1808
02:05:01.260 --> 02:05:03.720
but only extends halfway along the finger pier.
1809
02:05:03.720 --> 02:05:08.360
The most exposed part of it seems to be not able,
1810
02:05:08.540 --> 02:05:12.000
not going to be protected. Um, and we wondered why that was.
1811
02:05:13.980 --> 02:05:16.840
So that was that point. Going back to, um,
```

02:05:17.980 --> 02:05:20.200
the D C 0 and Schedule one,
1813
02:05:22.510 --> 02:05:23.343
this is a,
1814
02:05:23.360 --> 02:05:27.720
a fairly common point raised by examining authorities and others.
1815
02:05:27.940 --> 02:05:31.520
The ancillary works listed at the end after all the works,
1816
02:05:32.690 --> 02:05:36.520
which say in connection with any of the works and then lists a whole lot of

1817
02:05:36.540 --> 02:05:40.840
things, but it's clear that many of those are not going to be,
1818
02:05:41.900 --> 02:05:46.800
um, required for all of the works. Not going to have,
1819
02:05:47.900 --> 02:05:48.733
um,
1820
02:05:49.380 --> 02:05:54.200
an expanded fire water system when you're talking about the dredge pocket,

1821
02:05:54.340 --> 02:05:58.130
for example. So we,
1822
02:05:58.430 --> 02:06:02.770
we think it's inappropriately wi wide that could apply to any of the works.

1823
02:06:02.830 --> 02:06:05.570
The list of ancillary works at the end of the works,
1824
02:06:05.830 --> 02:06:10.770
and we suspect they have not been environmentally assessed to apply to all

```
1825
02:06:10.770 --> 02:06:13.570
of those works if indeed they're mentioned at all in the
environmental
1826
02:06:13.570 --> 02:06:14.403
statement.
1827
02:06:16.950 --> 02:06:19.850
So that's our points on schedule one.
1828
02:06:20.480 --> 02:06:24.610
Then if we just do the beginning of schedule two up to where we've
got, um,
1829
02:06:28.860 --> 02:06:29.350
Uh,
1830
02:06:29.350 --> 02:06:34.320
requirement five has various restrictions on piling in terms of
1831
02:06:34.320 --> 02:06:35.360
dates and so on.
1832
02:06:36.990 --> 02:06:41.880
Requirement six requires the piling to be done in accordance with
the
1 8 3 3
02:06:41.880 --> 02:06:42.960
deemed marine license.
1834
02:06:45.290 --> 02:06:49.910
And requirement eight requires the construction to be constructed in
accordance
1835
02:06:49.910 --> 02:06:52.150
with the construction and environmental management plan.
1836
02:06:52.800 --> 02:06:57.390
Those three requirements and the contents of the, the SEM Kemp,
1837
```

```
02:06:57.930 --> 02:06:58.763
```

um,
1838
02:06:59.210 --> 02:07:03.750
all have different restrictions on piling expressed in slightly
different ways.
1839
02:07:03.850 --> 02:07:08.110
And duplicated, sometimes schedule three,
1840
02:07:08.210 --> 02:07:12.670
the marine license has similar restrictions to those listed in the
1841
02:07:13.110 --> 02:07:17.910
ssp. Um, and sometimes in that earlier paragraph five as well.
1842
02:07:18.570 --> 02:07:21.830
So it would be a lot simpler if it was only they were,
1843
02:07:21.830 --> 02:07:23.550
these were only set out once.
1844
02:07:25.170 --> 02:07:29.870
We don't mind where to avoid duplication and
1845
02:07:30.270 --> 02:07:32.670
conflict and confusion as to where to look to find,
1846
02:07:32.780 --> 02:07:36.240
find these different provisions. Um,
1847
02:07:39.890 --> 02:07:42.070
we don't have anything else before paragraph 10,
1848
02:07:42.070 --> 02:07:44.710
which is I think the next one on your agenda. Thank you, sir.
1849
02:07:53.460 --> 02:07:55.800
Mr. Greenwood, uh, I mean in terms of schedule one,
1850
02:07:55.800 --> 02:07:58.120
is that something that you potentially, um, the, the,
1851
02:07:58.180 $-\rightarrow$ 02:08:02.280
the extent of the work areas that you potentially need to go away, um,

1852
02:08:02.820 $\rightarrow$ 02:08:07.640
and have a chat with various members of the team as to how those areas

1853
02:08:07.750 --> 02:08:08.760
have been defined?

1854
02:08:10.460 --> 02:08:12.640
Yes. So Brian Greenwood for a v p, uh, but Mr.
1855
02:08:12.640 $\rightarrow->$ 02:08:15.920
Walker kindly did raise this point in his, uh, red representations.
1856
02:08:16.180 - -> 02:08:19.960
I'm not sure we necessarily agree, but certainly we will, uh,
1857
02:08:20.110 --> 02:08:22.120
clarify the position. And,
1858
02:08:22.220 --> 02:08:27.200
and if $I$ could move on quickly also to the timing and, uh, the,
1859
02:08:27.340 --> 02:08:32.320
the hours of the restrictions, um, certainly it would be,
1860
02:08:32.380 --> 02:08:35.480
uh, extremely good idea if we can clarify, uh, uh,
1861
02:08:35.580 --> 02:08:38.160
and simplify the problem we have, sir,
1862
02:08:38.260 --> 02:08:42.840
is that negotiations with Natural England and the marine management organization

1863

02:08:42.860 --> 02:08:43.800
are still ongoing.
1864
02:08:44.300 --> 02:08:48.240
And until we know and hear from them that everything is agreed, uh,
1865
02:08:48.420 --> 02:08:50.440
I'm afraid it's a bit of a moving feast,
1866
02:08:50.580 --> 02:08:53.040
but the the point is well made and it will be clarified.
1867
02:08:54.040 --> 02:08:57.680
I, I, I think the key thing particularly that Mr. Walker was focusing,

1868
02:08:57.770 --> 02:09:00.440
there needs to be consistency. Um,
1869
02:09:00.820 --> 02:09:03.200
and it would be useful, yeah,
1870
02:09:03.260 --> 02:09:07.560
for things like hours of construct to be in one place, if, um,
1871
02:09:07.700 --> 02:09:10.320
as you say, it's going to take a little bit of time to get
resolution,
1872
02:09:11.000 --> 02:09:14.560
probably hopefully around the submission of the statement of common ground,

1873
02:09:15.710 --> 02:09:20.600
what might be useful if you are redrafting the order in the meantime and

1874
02:09:20.600 --> 02:09:25.040
have come to a view as to, um, how you think the running orders should,

1875
02:09:25.040 --> 02:09:26.000
should run it.
1876
02:09:26.020 --> 02:09:30.000
It might be that if a couple of the requirements are going to be merged,

1877
02:09:30.000 --> 02:09:34.040
for example, you do the merging, but you hold one,
1878
02:09:34.230 --> 02:09:38.840
whichever is then the vacated, um, requirement just as a,
1879
02:09:39.020 --> 02:09:39.880
in it a blank,
1880
02:09:41.020 --> 02:09:45.600
so that at least the numbering remains consistent up until such time as you are

1881
02:09:45.600 --> 02:09:50.160
then able to finalize it again so that we can all follow, um,
1882
02:09:50.970 --> 02:09:54.880
where things have gone. Um, there's nothing worse. Uh,
1883
02:09:55.040 --> 02:09:58.600
I used to remember my local authority days when we were dealing with lists of

1884
02:09:58.600 --> 02:10:02.560
conditions and trying to get them agreed amongst colleagues that you start

1885
02:10:02.560 --> 02:10:03.760
pulling some out in the middle,
1886
02:10:03.900 --> 02:10:06.080
and if you've got two or three different pairs of eyes looking,
1887
02:10:06.220 --> 02:10:11.200
it becomes extremely difficult to work out where you've got to. So I,

1888
02:10:11.320 --> 02:10:15.160
I always tend to use a holding number, um, even if it's being
vacated,
1889
02:10:15.230 --> 02:10:19.000
just to keep the number running consistently until we get to the end of things.

1890
02:10:20.890 --> 02:10:24.200
Brian Greenwood for a b p, certainly. So we, we will do that, uh,
1891
02:10:24.300 --> 02:10:28.160
should prove necessary. So sir, um,
1892
02:10:28.200 --> 02:10:32.160
subject to comments from anybody else, I think we go to your next query,

1893
02:10:32.290 --> 02:10:34.480
which was on noise insulation.
1894
02:10:37.820 --> 02:10:41.440
If, if I can make the point, um, 'cause hopefully again,
1895
02:10:41.440 --> 02:10:45.600
that might assist really how is article,
1896
02:10:46.370 --> 02:10:50.440
sorry, requirement 10 going to be enforced, um, because it says,
1897
02:10:51.820 --> 02:10:56.760
um, in effect an offer to insulate will be made,
1898
02:10:57.860 --> 02:11:00.560
um, but it looks like as drafted,
1899
02:11:00.700 --> 02:11:05.200
it would be wholly self policed, uh, and it's unclear,
1900
02:11:06.060 --> 02:11:10.450

```
um, in effect,
1901
02:11:10.550 --> 02:11:14.650
how would the applicant be compelled to offer the package, uh,
1902
02:11:14.670 --> 02:11:18.170
of insulation measures if there were any disputes,
1903
02:11:18.400 --> 02:11:23.130
then what happens that that isn't really dealt with at all
1904
02:11:23.390 --> 02:11:24.223
as drafted?
1905
02:11:25.980 --> 02:11:30.450
Brian Greenwood for a b p. Thank you for that, sir. Um, yes, uh,
1906
02:11:31.400 --> 02:11:36.210
this is quite turning out to be quite a complicated issue in that
we're offering
1907
02:11:36.220 --> 02:11:41.210
noise insulation to residential occupiers of Queens Road.
1908
02:11:41.890 --> 02:11:46.170
A number of those occupiers are vacating premises and, uh,
1909
02:11:46.590 --> 02:11:47.770
we will be, uh,
1910
02:11:47.930 --> 02:11:52.290
writing to those who are still there and visiting and making the
offer.
1911
02:11:52.840 --> 02:11:56.250
What we were intending, sir, is that, uh,
1912
02:11:58.000 --> 02:12:01.570
depending how they wish to respond to the offer of noise
installation,
1 9 1 3
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02:12:01.590 --> 02:12:03.770
we will enter into legal agreements. If,
1914
02:12:03.950 --> 02:12:08.410
if that is not clear in the requirement, then it clearly should be, and, uh,

1915
02:12:08.890 --> 02:12:11.290
I will look at that, sir and amend it accordingly.
1916
02:12:13.620 --> 02:12:14.410
Thank you, Mr. Green.
1917
02:12:14.410 --> 02:12:18.290
Certainly my first reading was there was just nothing in there, um,
1918
02:12:18.680 --> 02:12:22.930
that would compel and or as I say, it all seemed to be rather selfpolicing,

1919
02:12:22.990 --> 02:12:27.970
so yeah, it does seem to need a redraft Mr. Walker.
1920
02:12:28.780 --> 02:12:32.090
Thank you Sarah Angus Walker for D F T S. Yes, we agree that the,
1921
02:12:33.070 --> 02:12:35.330
the offer made to the residents,
1922
02:12:35.330 --> 02:12:40.290
it doesn't have to have any purpose of achieving a certain
1923
02:12:40.300 --> 02:12:42.410
noise level or anything. You could just give them a,
1924
02:12:42.410 --> 02:12:45.730
offer them a pair of ear plugs or something and that would fulfill the

1925
02:12:45.760 --> 02:12:47.570
requirement. Um,

1926
02:12:48.030 --> 02:12:51.010
it surely has to be linked with reducing the noi,
1927
02:12:51.010 --> 02:12:54.650
the internal noise level to below a certain level.
1928
02:13:07.150 --> 02:13:07.983
Mr.
1929
02:13:09.590 --> 02:13:14.050
So thank you Robbie Owen for C L D N. Um, we had a number of points on this. Uh,

1930
02:13:14.130 --> 02:13:15.210
I I I think that, um,
1931
02:13:16.070 --> 02:13:20.770
the first is what happens if the residents don't accept insulation? Um,

1932
02:13:20.870 --> 02:13:23.770
how will the properties still be fit for habitation?
1933
02:13:23.770 --> 02:13:28.250
That's the question that needs answering. The other point we had is that we,

1934
02:13:29.110 --> 02:13:32.640
uh, would urge a $v$ p to consider, uh,
1935
02:13:33.000 --> 02:13:37.880
accurately defining what it means by first operational use, uh,
1936
02:13:39.190 --> 02:13:42.600
Because the, um, you know,
1937
02:13:42.600 --> 02:13:45.560
the requirement uses the phrase prior to the commencement of first operational

1938
02:13:45.620 --> 02:13:47.800
use. This, this has to happen,
1939
02:13:48.420 --> 02:13:53.080
but the term commence is defined both in paragraph one of schedule two,

1940
02:13:53.180 --> 02:13:57.520
and also in article two, one of the D C 0, uh,
1941
02:13:57.540 --> 02:14:01.680
as I said earlier, it need, need only appear once in any event. Um, but,

1942
02:14:01.680 --> 02:14:02.160
but it's,
1943
02:14:02.160 --> 02:14:05.720
it's defined in terms of the inconsistent with its use in the context of

1944
02:14:06.640 --> 02:14:11.160
defining an operational use trigger as opposed to commencement of
1945
02:14:11.480 --> 02:14:13.080
construction. So it,
1946
02:14:13.100 --> 02:14:17.800
it just lacks clarity in terms of when the obligations in article
1947
02:14:18.260 --> 02:14:20.320
in, in paragraph 10, in requirement 10,
1948
02:14:20.630 --> 02:14:25.240
what whatever they end up being when they're triggered. Thank you,
1949
02:14:33.460 --> 02:14:34.293
Mr. Greenman. I,
1950
02:14:34.400 --> 02:14:38.480
I presume you're gonna just take this one away and have a a,

02:14:38.920 --> 02:14:43.200
a re-look at, uh, tightening up the whole of that requirement,

1952
02:14:48.160 --> 02:14:52.380
Sir. Brian Greenwood, A b P. Can I take you now to requirement 18?
1953
02:14:54.090 --> 02:14:55.500
Subject to Mr. Walker.
1954
02:14:58.520 --> 02:15:02.260
Mr. Walker has some earlier points before requirement 18 , if that's all right.

1955
02:15:02.600 --> 02:15:05.020
An Angus Walker, D F D S, um,
1956
02:15:05.850 - -> 02:15:09.660
requirement 11 on this Wildlife Enhancement Management
1957
02:15:11.290 --> 02:15:15.820
plan. It's, um, it sort of implies that it will be, um,

1958
02:15:16.890 --> 02:15:20.660
implemented, but it doesn't actually provide that in, in the requirement.

1959
02:15:21.440 --> 02:15:23.980
It just says it forms the environmental enhancement.
1960
02:15:23.980 $\rightarrow$ 02:15:27.460
So I think that needs tightening up. Uh, uh,
1961
02:15:27.460 --> 02:15:31.860
requirement 12 talks about the East Gate,
1962
02:15:33.550 --> 02:15:35.450
um, improvements.

1963
02:15:36.350 --> 02:15:40.970
It isn't clear if that is what is described under work number 12 or not. Um,

1964
02:15:41.230 --> 02:15:44.050
we suspect it should be, but that if it used that term,
1965
02:15:44.050 --> 02:15:48.410
that would be more helpful. Um, again, there's a tail piece in there.

1966
02:15:49.950 --> 02:15:52.450
Um, greed works.
1967
02:15:53.950 --> 02:15:57.250
The works could apparently be varied, and
1968
02:15:59.190 --> 02:16:03.050
how far am I going up? Uh, requirement 15,
1969
02:16:07.590 --> 02:16:11.210
uh, conflicts with requirement eight allows the construction environmental

1970
02:16:11.210 --> 02:16:14.370
management plan to be varied, but this, uh,
1971
02:16:14.440 --> 02:16:17.170
this requirement doesn't look so it can be varied.
1972
02:16:17.270 --> 02:16:20.010
It just says they must be constructed in accordance with it.
1973
02:16:21.070 --> 02:16:22.850
So I think that that, um,
1974
02:16:25.640 --> 02:16:28.010
they're ought to be tightened up. And it refers to,
1975
02:16:28.010 --> 02:16:31.280
it must be construction operated in accordance with the general accordance with

1976
02:16:31.280 --> 02:16:32.840
the navigational risk assessment,

1977
02:16:34.260 --> 02:16:37.960
but it's not clear that that document actually, um,
1978
02:16:38.020 --> 02:16:42.680
has any mitigation in it. That is anything to construct in accordance with.

1979
02:16:46.420 --> 02:16:49.730
Those are our points leading up to paragraph 18.
1980
02:16:52.900 --> 02:16:55.890
Brian Greenwood for a b p. Uh, uh, I,
1981
02:16:56.050 --> 02:16:59.610
I should have intervened more quickly because all those are all good points made

1982
02:16:59.610 --> 02:17:02.010
by Mr. Walker, and we have amended the, uh,
1983
02:17:02.190 --> 02:17:04.850
the D C 0 to take on all of those points, so thank you.
1984
02:17:06.830 --> 02:17:09.570
So sir, if I move to requirement 18,
1985
02:17:11.900 --> 02:17:15.330
sorry, sorry, I apologize. Mr.
1986
02:17:15.440 --> 02:17:18.090
Owen indicated that he had some points. Uh,
1987
02:17:18.090 --> 02:17:21.930
Thank you Robbie Owen for C L D N. Um, I, I, I do, sir, uh, just a few

1988
02:17:24.640 --> 02:17:29.090
Requirement. 1111, this may be a point for a later hearing, but requirement 11,

02:17:29.670 --> 02:17:30.530
um, uh,
1990
02:17:31.050 --> 02:17:34.010
representing a commitment to Woodlands enhancement appears to be the only

1991
02:17:34.420 --> 02:17:38.170
commitment in the D C 0 to environmental enhancement. Uh, uh,
1992
02:17:38.170 --> 02:17:41.970
there appears to be no reference at all to, um, uh,
1993
02:17:41.970 --> 02:17:46.450
either in the D C 0 or in the Woodland Enhancement Plan to biodiversity net

1994
02:17:46.480 --> 02:17:51.090
gain. And whilst it's not a legal requirement at this stage for,
1995
02:17:51.150 --> 02:17:55.130
for nips, uh, there appears to be no evidence at all in the,
1996
02:17:55.130 --> 02:17:59.970
in the requirements or underlying application documents as to how the proposal

1997
02:18:00.600 --> 02:18:04.250
does intend to achieve the emerging nsip
1998
02:18:05.190 --> 02:18:10.090
target of at least 10\% measurable net gain on all terrestrial and
1999
02:18:10.260 --> 02:18:13.250
intertidal development over a 30 year period. Um,
2000
02:18:14.020 --> 02:18:15.730
which of course would also address the duties,
2001
02:18:16.100 --> 02:18:18.810
which do apply at the moment within the natural environment and Rural

2002
02:18:18.810 --> 02:18:21.770
Communities Act. So, um, it,
2003
02:18:21.800 --> 02:18:26.290
it's a point that doesn't per se go to the drafting requirement 11, but, uh,

2004
02:18:26.510 --> 02:18:29.450
arises in our heads and therefore I, I raise it, um,
2005
02:18:30.070 --> 02:18:31.610
for the applicant to consider.
2006
02:18:32.670 --> 02:18:37.090
We also had some points on requirement 12 relating to Eastgate improvements.

2007
02:18:37.910 --> 02:18:39.650
Uh, the point there is,
2008
02:18:39.670 --> 02:18:43.770
is the current drafting provides no certainty as to the nature of the

2009
02:18:43.770 --> 02:18:46.930
improvement works required to the eGate, for example,
2010
02:18:47.110 --> 02:18:51.850
by citing works identified in the traffic assessment. Um, uh,
2011
02:18:52.150 --> 02:18:52.750
uh,
2012
02:18:52.750 --> 02:18:55.890
and it makes no attempt to sort of clarify what arrangements must actually be

2013
02:18:55.890 --> 02:19:00.810
entered into with the planning or any other authority to facilitate

```
02:19:00.810 --> 02:19:02.770
those works. And,
2015
02:19:02.870 --> 02:19:06.090
and in the absence of clear and prescriptive drafting,
2016
02:19:06.870 --> 02:19:11.570
we can't have any confidence as to the adequacy of the potential
improvement
2017
02:19:11.570 --> 02:19:13.730
works even within the assessed levels.
2018
02:19:13.870 --> 02:19:18.450
And this is important to C L D N because inadequate improvement to
Eastgate
2019
02:19:18.980 --> 02:19:22.250
could result in the diversion of traffic to the Westgate,
2020
02:19:22.780 --> 02:19:27.480
which would then or could then have serious implications for CDNs
2021
02:19:27.480 --> 02:19:32.440
operations. So it is of legitimate interest to us. Uh,
2022
02:19:32.440 --> 02:19:36.680
requirement 15, dealing with construction and operational plans and
documents,
2023
02:19:37.630 --> 02:19:41.120
there's no reference at all to an operational traffic management
plan.
2024
02:19:41.980 --> 02:19:43.000
And in the absence of a,
2025
02:19:43.020 --> 02:19:47.560
of a requirement to operate the facility authorized by the D C O in
accordance
2026
02:19:47.560 --> 02:19:50.640
```

with a a Traffic Management Plan certified,
2027
02:19:50.750 --> 02:19:55.680
it's not clear how any traffic mitigation measures referenced in
2028
02:19:55.680 --> 02:19:59.080
chapter 17 of the environmental statement. Um, uh,
2029
02:19:59.100 --> 02:20:01.240
or indeed the transfer of assessment are to be secured.
2030
02:20:08.560 --> 02:20:11.180
R requirement 15 also, uh,
2031
02:20:11.580 --> 02:20:14.340
purports to secure compliance with the navigational risk assessment.
2032
02:20:14.360 --> 02:20:18.060
As we just heard, uh, submitted the, with the application. Uh,
2033
02:20:18.060 --> 02:20:21.820
you'll be aware of the serious deficiencies that various interested parties

2034
02:20:22.880 --> 02:20:27.020
appear to consider, uh, exist with that, um, uh, uh,
2035
02:20:27.020 --> 02:20:30.660
navigational risk assessment, which clearly need to be addressed, uh,

2036
02:20:30.960 --> 02:20:34.380
by the submission we would say of further environmental and other information

2037
02:20:34.840 --> 02:20:38.140
and an updated assessment, um, which in, in,
2038
02:20:38.140 --> 02:20:43.020
in turn would then be secured by requirement 15. And finally,

```
02:20:43.120 --> 02:20:47.740
before we come to requirement 18, uh, on requirement 17, uh,
2040
02:20:48.060 --> 02:20:51.580
materials management plan, uh,
2041
02:20:51.580 --> 02:20:56.500
it would've been helpful for a plan to have been produced as part of
the D
2042
02:20:56.500 --> 02:21:00.660
C O application documents to inform arrangements for sourcing and
2043
02:21:01.090 --> 02:21:04.540
deposit of materials. Uh, it, it's,
2044
02:21:04.540 --> 02:21:07.940
it's hard without such a plan to consider
2045
02:21:09.290 --> 02:21:13.140
this requirement in any meaningful way and make any submissions, uh,
on it.
2046
02:21:13.140 --> 02:21:17.580
And therefore we would invite a b P to consider producing
2047
02:21:18.340 --> 02:21:23.260
a materials management plan and, and submit submitted as a, as a
commitment,
2048
02:21:23.400 --> 02:21:26.660
um, into the examination so that, uh,
2049
02:21:26.660 --> 02:21:30.580
interested parties can then consider it in the ongoing examination
process.
2050
02:21:31.710 --> 02:21:32.543
Thank you,
2051
02:21:36.320 --> 02:21:37.153
Mr. Greenman.
```

```
2052
02:21:37.750 --> 02:21:40.100
Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood for a v p.
2053
02:21:40.320 --> 02:21:44.300
So we've noted those comments from Mr. Owen, and, uh,
2054
02:21:44.680 --> 02:21:48.140
we will deal with them as appropriate. Uh, going forward, sir.
2055
02:21:49.480 --> 02:21:50.000
So sir,
2056
02:21:50.000 --> 02:21:54.860
Can we move now to, uh, requirement 18? Not, not quite, not quite.
2057
02:21:55.180 --> 02:21:57.140
'cause I think I missed a step in my list,
2058
02:21:57.760 --> 02:22:02.100
and actually it touches on requirement eight and requirement
2059
02:22:02.650 --> 02:22:03.620
15 A,
2060
02:22:03.750 --> 02:22:08.300
which both have references to the construction environment
management plan.
2061
02:22:09.400 --> 02:22:14.380
Um, it would seem that the reference in 15 A is superfluous.
2062
02:22:14.880 --> 02:22:18.060
Really there need needs to be a check, um,
2063
02:22:18.520 --> 02:22:23.380
as to whether they both need be there or one or other. Um,
2064
02:22:26.580 --> 02:22:28.960
but it, it, it seems that in 15,
```

```
2065
02:22:29.100 --> 02:22:33.480
the con construction Environmental Man Construction Environmental
Management
2066
02:22:33.480 --> 02:22:38.000
plan doesn't really fit with operational plans, which appear to be,
2067
02:22:38.500 --> 02:22:41.560
for the most part, what the rest of that requirement covers.
2068
02:22:49.360 --> 02:22:50.310
Sorry, sir. Could you,
2069
02:22:50.310 --> 02:22:53.550
could I ask you just to expand on that last point that you made?
Yeah.
2070
02:22:53.570 --> 02:22:57.190
If, if we look at requirement 15, it,
2071
02:22:57.190 --> 02:23:00.710
it's titled both Construction and Operational Plans and Documents.
2072
02:23:02.010 --> 02:23:06.430
Um, if you then look at the construction and environment management
plan,
2073
02:23:07.260 --> 02:23:11.670
well, that's definitely for construction, but things like the
drainage strategy,
2074
02:23:11.890 --> 02:23:14.230
the wemp, the navigational risk assessment,
2075
02:23:14.330 --> 02:23:18.470
and the flood risk assessment really are operational type matters.
2076
02:23:19.410 --> 02:23:23.470
Um, so what I'm really putting to you is should the Construction
Environment
```

02:23:23.470 --> 02:23:27.070
Management plan be referred to at all in 15,
2078
02:23:27.170 --> 02:23:30.710
or should it just remain in eight, and then the,
2079
02:23:30.770 --> 02:23:33.790
the subheading for that requirement be amended accordingly?
2080
02:23:35.130 --> 02:23:39.750
It just seems that 15 A is not a natural, natural fit for that requirement.

2081
02:23:41.120 --> 02:23:44.910
Brian Greenwood for a b p. Thank you, sir. That's extremely logical and, uh,

2082
02:23:44.910 --> 02:23:46.510
we will adopt that going forward.
2083
02:23:51.340 --> 02:23:52.173
Mr.
2084
02:23:52.420 --> 02:23:56.960
Uh, Robbie Owen, c r d n. Sir, can I also make a general point about,

2085
02:23:57.340 --> 02:24:02.320
um, requirements eight 13 and 15 in that, in that we, we,
2086
02:24:02.320 --> 02:24:05.440
we, we think they are all infected with the same,
2087
02:24:05.790 --> 02:24:10.320
what we would see as a fundamental flaw, uh, and I'll explain why. Um, they,

2088
02:24:10.320 --> 02:24:15.000
they each require only some standard of compliance with an application

2089
02:24:15.280 --> 02:24:17.080

```
document, and that would, in principle,
2090
02:24:17.080 --> 02:24:21.920
be appropriate were those application documents worked up to a
2091
02:24:22.120 --> 02:24:26.120
detailed standard such that yourselves and in due course,
2092
02:24:26.180 --> 02:24:28.760
the Secretary of State could be confident when, uh,
2093
02:24:28.760 --> 02:24:32.120
making a determination of the applicant of the application that that
adequate
2094
02:24:32.120 --> 02:24:35.480
mitigation is secured. However, in this case,
2095
02:24:36.060 --> 02:24:39.160
the corresponding control documents, in particular the,
2096
02:24:39.460 --> 02:24:41.920
the Kemp Construction Environment Management Plan,
2097
02:24:42.630 --> 02:24:47.320
they are at best outline documents giving a loose indication of the
aims to be
2098
02:24:47.560 --> 02:24:51.400
achieved, but no detail as to how they are to be achieved.
2099
02:24:52.380 --> 02:24:53.720
Uh, as such, there's,
2100
02:24:53.720 --> 02:24:58.120
there's little confidence that appropriate mitigation will be
delivered.
2101
02:24:58.910 --> 02:25:01.200
Typically where there is an outline approach,
2 1 0 2
```

```
02:25:01.230 --> 02:25:05.440
this is coupled with a pre-commencement or pre-operation,
2103
02:25:05.440 --> 02:25:10.120
depending on the measure, uh, requirement to develop the outline
document, uh,
2104
02:25:10.150 --> 02:25:11.920
into a detailed version of it,
2105
02:25:11.940 --> 02:25:16.640
and then submit that for approval by the relevant planning authority
or other
2106
02:25:17.200 --> 02:25:18.920
relevant approving body, um,
2107
02:25:19.300 --> 02:25:24.280
before construction or operation can begin in. Instead, in this
case,
2108
02:25:24.330 --> 02:25:27.080
we're presented with a proposal by a b p for,
2109
02:25:27.080 --> 02:25:31.480
for them to be in effect at liberty to develop their project in
general,
2110
02:25:31.490 --> 02:25:36.360
accordance with an outline document with no, with no further
supervision,
2111
02:25:37.420 --> 02:25:39.600
uh, and, and where problems to arise.
2112
02:25:39.600 --> 02:25:43.520
It is not clear how a planning authority could take enforcement
action given
2113
02:25:43.620 --> 02:25:44.140
the,
2114
```

```
02:25:44.140 --> 02:25:47.360
the vague nature of these commitments and the corresponding control
documents.
2115
02:25:47.380 --> 02:25:51.760
So we, we do feel this is a, a, a pretty unsatisfactory situation,
2116
02:25:51.820 --> 02:25:53.080
and a, again,
2117
02:25:53.080 --> 02:25:58.080
would invite a b P to consider this and consider whether there
should
2118
02:25:58.080 --> 02:26:01.160
be some additional requirements, uh,
2119
02:26:01.310 --> 02:26:05.440
requiring these outline control documents to be worked up into
detailed
2120
02:26:05.440 --> 02:26:06.800
versions. Uh,
2121
02:26:06.800 --> 02:26:10.720
if and when the D C O is made before works can start or as
appropriate,
2122
02:26:11.220 --> 02:26:15.440
the project can be operated. Thank you.
2 1 2 3
02:26:16.790 --> 02:26:19.520
Certainly, Mr. Greenwood, in my experience,
2124
02:26:19.550 --> 02:26:24.200
that tends to be the approach that's taken with say the Kemp,
2125
02:26:24.460 --> 02:26:28.800
um, which often is something that yes, you'll see a draft of,
2126
02:26:28.860 --> 02:26:33.720
but by the time a contractor gets on the ground and actually really
```

2127
02:26:33.720 --> 02:26:37.480
deal with the detail of how they're going to build the project, um,
2128
02:26:37.990 --> 02:26:41.480
that they've been contracted, uh, to build, then uh,
2129
02:26:41.670 --> 02:26:45.800
it's at that point you really start to appreciate what's gonna be required.

2130
02:26:46.660 --> 02:26:51.560
Um, and potentially then, yeah, the detail does need to be worked up. Um,

2131
02:26:51.580 $\rightarrow$-> 02:26:55.600
so any observations generally on the point that Mr. Owen has made?
2132
02:26:56.890 $\rightarrow$-> 02:27:00.640
Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood. A b p, sir, that, it's a good point.

2133
02:27:00.640 --> 02:27:04.600
We are in fact wrestling with this very issue. Uh, you are quite correct. Um,

2134
02:27:04.660 --> 02:27:06.320
if you could leave it with us and we,
2135
02:27:06.420 --> 02:27:10.360
we will look at the points as to how we can clarify and also, uh,
2136
02:27:10.700 - -> 02:27:12.440
how we can deal with the going forward.
2137
02:27:13.790 --> 02:27:14.180
Yeah,
2138
02:27:14.180 - -> 02:27:18.600
But of course, it's not necessarily just the Kemp, but it also then begs the,

```
2139
02:27:19.220 --> 02:27:22.200
the, the point that if, um,
2140
02:27:23.020 --> 02:27:26.680
the requirements were to rest as drafted, um,
2141
02:27:26.750 --> 02:27:31.440
some of the discharge requirements that occur in the later
paragraphs in this
2142
02:27:31.680 --> 02:27:35.800
schedule would in effect become unnecessary because, um,
2143
02:27:35.890 --> 02:27:40.520
there would be no discharging going on. Um, so, um,
2144
02:27:42.020 --> 02:27:46.680
it looks like in authoring, um, the draft development order,
2145
02:27:46.680 --> 02:27:51.360
there was an intention that there would be a discharge process
given,
2146
02:27:51.700 --> 02:27:54.720
um, those later paragraphs. Um, so the,
2147
02:27:54.740 --> 02:27:57.240
the two bits don't quite fit. Yeah.
2148
02:28:04.380 --> 02:28:09.210
Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood, A v P. So moving on, if I may,
2149
02:28:09.510 --> 02:28:11.770
um, get to requirement 18.
2150
02:28:12.230 --> 02:28:15.770
So this has changed considerably. Um,
2151
02:28:16.630 --> 02:28:21.480
and obviously you'll see, see the drafting in due course, but very
```

```
simply, sir,
2152
02:28:21.620 --> 02:28:24.560
the original, as you see on screen,
2153
02:28:24.860 --> 02:28:29.360
it did say that in the event that the Harbor Master determines at
its
2154
02:28:29.360 --> 02:28:33.680
discretion that impact protection measures are required in the
interest and
2155
02:28:33.680 --> 02:28:37.760
navigational safety in the river, uh, the company must construct
work.
2156
02:28:37.760 --> 02:28:40.280
Number three, that is how it stands at the moment.
2157
02:28:40.860 --> 02:28:45.280
We have been in discussion with the Harbor master and his legal
team,
2158
02:28:45.780 --> 02:28:50.640
and we have accepted an amendment that now reads as follows,
2159
02:28:51.460 --> 02:28:55.720
the company I A B P must have due regard to any
2160
02:28:55.980 --> 02:29:00.920
recommendation received from the Harbor Master that
2161
02:29:00.920 --> 02:29:05.520
work number three is required in the interest of navigational safety
in the
2162
02:29:05.520 --> 02:29:10.360
river Humber. So that switches the onus and the responsibility, um,
2163
02:29:10.660 --> 02:29:13.040
it will become clearer when in fact, uh,
```

2164
02:29:13.220 --> 02:29:17.480
you see my brief note on the separation of powers,
2165
02:29:17.940 --> 02:29:22.680
but that amendment has been, uh, approved. Uh, I,
2166
02:29:22.800 --> 02:29:26.600
I I've looked to, uh, counsel for the Harbor Master in due course,
2167
02:29:27.060 --> 02:29:31.320
and there are one or two other amendments that follow, um, uh,
2168
02:29:31.590 --> 02:29:36.520
essentially to ensure that, um, when a B P,
2169
02:29:36.820 --> 02:29:41.160
if a b P receives a recommendation from the Harbor master, it must inform, uh,

2170
02:29:41.360 --> 02:29:46.000
H O T T. Uh, but you'll, you'll see that when in fact we circulate the next,

2171
02:29:46.220 --> 02:29:48.680
uh, draft of the D C 0
2172
02:29:58.630 --> 02:30:02.770
Ms. Hut Hutton. Have you got anything to elaborate on on that point in terms of,

2173
02:30:02.770 --> 02:30:05.610
in effect, the switching round of, um,
2174
02:30:06.750 --> 02:30:11.530
the Harbor Authority becoming in effect the determiner of,
2175
02:30:11.870 --> 02:30:12.090
um,
2176
02:30:12.090 --> 02:30:16.250
whether or not those measures would be implemented and the applicant in effect

2177
02:30:16.250 --> 02:30:20.810
having to abide by whatever the view, um, of the Harbor master was.
2178
02:30:22.430 --> 02:30:26.890
So thank you, Victoria Hutton, uh, for the Harbor Master. Um, so yes,

2179
02:30:27.150 --> 02:30:31.810
it switched away from that position to essentially make the Harbor master a, uh,

2180
02:30:32.480 --> 02:30:36.450
consultee effectively on the decision. And we wait to see the,
2181
02:30:36.510 --> 02:30:40.610
the Redrafted version, but that sounds like it's in line with our position.

2182
02:30:41.470 --> 02:30:45.450
Um, so you'll, you'll see, I think when you get the note, uh,
2183
02:30:45.560 --> 02:30:47.570
from the applicant, um,
2184
02:30:48.400 --> 02:30:53.130
that will explain the different roles and responsibilities between the company

2185
02:30:53.270 --> 02:30:56.770
and the statutory Harbor Authority. But in short,
2186
02:30:56.770 --> 02:31:00.810
the statutory Harbor authorities is concerned with the navigational
safety of
2187
02:31:00.810 --> 02:31:05.330
the Humber. Uh, that includes, um, for example,
2188
02:31:05.480 --> 02:31:10.130

```
administering, administering piloting under the Pilotage Act,
2189
02:31:10.710 --> 02:31:15.330
uh, includes making, uh, issuing directions. Um,
2190
02:31:15.790 --> 02:31:19.840
it also includes a powered license works that Sir, uh,
2191
02:31:20.130 --> 02:31:23.520
opposition is, that is rather different from, uh,
2192
02:31:23.520 --> 02:31:27.000
making decisions as to what works occur, uh,
2193
02:31:27.000 --> 02:31:28.960
within the port in within a port.
2194
02:31:29.980 --> 02:31:34.840
And so we consider that the protective provisions under part one
2195
02:31:34.840 --> 02:31:39.000
of Schedule four are appropriate and, uh,
2196
02:31:40.020 --> 02:31:44.320
mirror that those powers, which, uh, the Harbor Authority currently
has,
2197
02:31:45.060 --> 02:31:49.360
uh, but it's not appropriate for the Harbor Authority to making
decision to make
2198
02:31:49.520 --> 02:31:53.200
decisions over, uh, infrastructure within the port.
2199
02:31:58.320 --> 02:31:59.070
Thank you, Ms. Hu,
2200
02:31:59.070 --> 02:32:03.630
and I think that's been helpful clarification from the standpoint of
2201
02:32:03.930 --> 02:32:07.350
```

```
the Humber Harbor Master. Um,
2202
02:32:08.410 --> 02:32:09.710
how does this then
2203
02:32:11.500 --> 02:32:16.390
take us forward in terms of how the decision is taken as to whether
that
2204
02:32:16.450 --> 02:32:18.670
impact protection is implemented?
2205
02:32:21.520 --> 02:32:24.950
Brian Greenwood, a b p? So I've, I've been a little unfair,
2206
02:32:25.010 --> 02:32:29.150
but I haven't pointed you to the other amendments be we've been
made,
2207
02:32:29.170 --> 02:32:31.110
but the way the process, um,
2208
02:32:31.790 --> 02:32:36.230
subjects to final drafting would work is if the Harbor Master
2209
02:32:36.430 --> 02:32:39.070
believes in the interest of safety of navigation,
2210
02:32:39.380 --> 02:32:41.790
that he should make such a recommendation.
2211
02:32:42.090 --> 02:32:46.950
It is then for a b p as the operator of the port and
2212
02:32:46.950 --> 02:32:51.590
indeed the responsible body to decide whether or not it agrees with
that
2213
02:32:51.650 --> 02:32:52.550
recommendation.

02:32:52.680 --> 02:32:57.150
There will be no obligation on a V P to accept that recommendation.
2215
02:32:57.650 --> 02:33:01.790
It will then consider the implications what has to be done. And in doing so,

2216
02:33:01.840 --> 02:33:04.190
we'll consult with, uh, H O T T,
2217
02:33:08.540 --> 02:33:11.270
Obviously, uh, this is subject,
2218
02:33:11.420 --> 02:33:16.110
this comment is subject to the explanatory note that you're providing,

2219
02:33:16.810 --> 02:33:19.230
but, um, my sense is that,
2220
02:33:19.810 --> 02:33:24.790
or my understanding so far is that the Port of Ingham as a statuary
2221
02:33:24.790 --> 02:33:28.070
Hall al authority has a certain set of responsibilities.
2222
02:33:29.860 --> 02:33:34.190
There's an interface o obviously with the
2223
02:33:35.020 --> 02:33:39.990
statutory Harbor authority for the Humber, uh,
2224
02:33:40.160 --> 02:33:44.990
which I rather sense is at some point, which you're going to help define for us,

2225
02:33:45.330 --> 02:33:50.270
um, uh, seawood of the I 0 T
2226
02:33:53.130 --> 02:33:57.550
now within the Port of Ingham's
```

2227
02:33:57.930 --> 02:33:58.950
own geography,
2228
02:34:00.250 --> 02:34:03.150
the s h a then is the Port of Ingham Ingham,
2229
02:34:03.810 --> 02:34:08.470
and therefore is the, uh,
2230
02:34:09.090 --> 02:34:12.510
uh, the, the body responsible for safety.
2231
02:34:13.450 --> 02:34:17.880
Is that a reasonable summary? Yes. So the advisory, um,
2232
02:34:18.060 --> 02:34:22.600
is basically, I think Sutton, um, made the book the, the, um,
2 2 3 3
02:34:22.750 --> 02:34:26.280
clarification that you're effectively acting as a consultee.
2234
02:34:27.980 --> 02:34:31.640
That's fine. There's several consultees that may have a position on
this.
2235
02:34:32.000 --> 02:34:34.600
M C A may of course also have a position on this.
2236
02:34:36.020 --> 02:34:40.800
How does the decision get made and how do we get security that
2237
02:34:40.940 --> 02:34:45.160
the, um, in the, in, in the, uh, draft E C 0,
2238
02:34:45.590 --> 02:34:50.200
that the mitigation that is embodied in the N R A
2239
02:34:53.650 --> 02:34:56.750
Can be provided or will be provided. Um,

```
02:34:57.130 --> 02:35:01.470
and what is the mechanism that the D C O can secure for
2241
02:35:01.900 --> 02:35:06.430
that, um, consideration to be taken? Uh,
2242
02:35:06.940 --> 02:35:08.830
with regard to stakeholder input?
2243
02:35:09.740 --> 02:35:14.030
Just, sorry, just before you respond, I do you wanna turn the,
2244
02:35:15.910 --> 02:35:19.350
I think what we need in terms of, for want of a better way,
2245
02:35:19.630 --> 02:35:24.270
discharging this particular requirement is something from, um,
2246
02:35:24.410 --> 02:35:28.950
the Harbor Authority that explains how it considers, um,
2247
02:35:29.170 --> 02:35:32.470
it would make a decision. Um,
2248
02:35:33.210 --> 02:35:36.990
and then potentially we want to see something from the applicant in
terms of
2249
02:35:37.140 --> 02:35:41.750
what its decision making would be further to either a
2250
02:35:42.050 --> 02:35:44.110
recommendation from the Harbor Authority,
2251
02:35:44.290 --> 02:35:49.150
or I think possibly we might need to see the word direction from
2252
02:35:49.290 --> 02:35:52.110
the Harbor Authority because, um,
2253
02:35:52.510 --> 02:35:57.430
```

a recommendation is a bit loose if the Harbor Authority determines
2254
02:35:57.500 --> 02:36:01.670
that the protection is required and given its statutory duties,
2255
02:36:02.570 --> 02:36:07.550
if it directs, then it has to happen. Um,
2256
02:36:07.740 --> 02:36:11.350
just before I ask Mr. Greenwood to respond, uh, Mr. Owen,
2257
02:36:12.660 --> 02:36:15.190
then Mr. Walker, but Mr. Owen first,
2258
02:36:15.850 --> 02:36:19.510
Uh, thank you, sir. Robbie Owen for C L D N. Uh, so,
2259
02:36:19.530 --> 02:36:22.990
so it does seem to us that this requirement 18 is,
2260
02:36:23.090 --> 02:36:27.910
is fundamentally unsatisfactory and flawed and certainly doesn't meet the

2261
02:36:28.720 --> 02:36:33.390
tests for requirements, uh, at the moment of being, uh,
2262
02:36:33.390 --> 02:36:36.190
particularly in terms of being enforceable, precise, and reasonable.
2263
02:36:36.490 --> 02:36:39.470
And going back to first principles, it, it, it,
2264
02:36:40.210 --> 02:36:44.630
it appears to us that we're being told that impact protection
measures may or
2265
02:36:44.650 --> 02:36:48.990
may or may or may or may not be necessary. Uh, they,
2266
02:36:48.990 --> 02:36:52.590
they are included as work number three on the basis that they might be

2267
02:36:52.590 --> 02:36:53.510
necessary, and therefore,
2268
02:36:53.630 --> 02:36:58.350
a B p understandably would like the power if they are 'cause it are necessary to

2269
02:36:59.110 --> 02:37:01.390
construct them as, as, as mitigation measures. In effect,
2270
02:37:01.930 --> 02:37:06.510
the normal way of doing this would be for the requirement 18
2271
02:37:07.250 --> 02:37:11.590
to start off by obliging A B P to,
2272
02:37:12.290 --> 02:37:12.850
in effect,
2273
02:37:12.850 --> 02:37:17.260
put a scheme of mitigation involving impact protection measures to the Harbor

2274
02:37:17.260 --> 02:37:21.860
Master for the Harbor Master to consider if they are necessary and,
2275
02:37:22.080 --> 02:37:25.980
and, and correct in every respect, uh, the Harbor Master would then,
2276
02:37:26.760 --> 02:37:29.820
before coming to a decision on that would, should be, we would say,
2277
02:37:30.010 --> 02:37:34.500
obliged to consult relevant users of the, of the navigation.
2278
02:37:35.360 --> 02:37:39.500
Um, and then the Harbor Master would, uh, make a decision,

```
02:37:40.320 --> 02:37:43.620
uh, uh, or it might need to be the,
2280
02:37:43.760 --> 02:37:45.900
the actual Conservancy authority opposed to the individual.
2281
02:37:45.920 --> 02:37:49.140
That's a another matter to be, to be concluded. And then if,
2282
02:37:49.280 --> 02:37:53.780
if the decision is the impact protection measures are required, then
they,
2283
02:37:53.780 --> 02:37:54.300
there should be,
2284
02:37:54.300 --> 02:37:57.860
there should be a clear obligation to carry them out in accordance
with all the
2285
02:37:57.860 --> 02:37:58.940
other provisions of the D C 0,
2286
02:37:58.940 --> 02:38:03.740
but leaving it in a very loose way as it currently is to the
discretion of
2287
02:38:04.440 --> 02:38:08.060
the Harbor Master to initiate the discussion, seems to us to be, uh,
2288
02:38:08.160 --> 02:38:10.220
unsatisfactory. And therefore, the amendment that Mr.
2289
02:38:10.220 --> 02:38:12.420
Greenman would refer to earlier, if I understood it correctly,
2290
02:38:12.530 --> 02:38:16.460
doesn't really help. Um, as I say, the normal approach here is, is,
2 2 9 1
02:38:16.460 --> 02:38:20.380
and there's tried and tested drafting for this in DCOS and other,
uh,
```

2292
02:38:20.560 --> 02:38:21.300
STA instruments,
2293
02:38:21.300 --> 02:38:25.260
and that you have a schema mitigation obligation that the applicant is required

2294
02:38:25.330 --> 02:38:29.620
once a DCO is in place to, to, to put together and submit for approval.

2295
02:38:30.200 --> 02:38:34.940
Uh, and yeah, if the proposal is the approval body should be the Harbor Master,

2296
02:38:34.970 --> 02:38:39.100
then that can be considered then, then the Harbor Master, um, or,
2297
02:38:39.120 --> 02:38:43.700
or the Conservancy Authority perhaps has a public function then to consider

2298
02:38:44.400 --> 02:38:47.340
the submission of the details, consult river users,
2299
02:38:47.760 --> 02:38:51.220
and then make a decision that would be the perfectly normal way of doing this,

2300
02:38:51.320 --> 02:38:55.380
and you could achieve that in a, in an enforceable, precise and reasonable way,

2301
02:38:55.380 --> 02:38:59.690
which is none of which is currently achieved by Article 18. Thank
you.
2302
02:39:00.950 --> 02:39:04.170
Uh, thank you Mr. Rowan. Uh, before turning to Mr. Greenwood,
2303
02:39:04.470 --> 02:39:08.410

```
I'd like to ask Ms. Hudson, does, uh, the Hub,
2304
02:39:08.410 --> 02:39:11.930
master Humber think that it is the approval body?
2305
02:39:13.190 --> 02:39:17.810
No. That, that's precisely, sir, what this amendment is meant to
achieve, um,
2306
02:39:17.920 --> 02:39:20.210
that the hub master wouldn't be the approval body.
2307
02:39:25.910 --> 02:39:27.930
Mr. Greenwood, uh, in answering,
2308
02:39:27.930 --> 02:39:30.210
could you clarify who would be the approval body?
2309
02:39:32.720 --> 02:39:36.470
Brian Greenwood for a b p? Certainly, sir. Uh, and thank you.
2310
02:39:36.710 --> 02:39:40.710
I I think there, uh, Mr. Owen's comments, uh, are,
2311
02:39:40.770 --> 02:39:43.510
are driven by, if I may say very politely,
2312
02:39:43.590 --> 02:39:46.390
a lack of understanding of how the process actually works.
2313
02:39:47.110 --> 02:39:50.950
I have no wish to preempt what you are going to hear on Thursday in
the
2314
02:39:50.950 --> 02:39:55.470
navigation hearing. There is a process in place. All I would say,
sir,
2315
02:39:55.570 --> 02:40:00.230
is that process has already taken place through the N R A through
the Hazard
```

```
2316
02:40:00.630 --> 02:40:05.310
workshops and, and, and through the, uh, consideration of,
2317
02:40:05.490 --> 02:40:06.590
uh, the,
2318
02:40:06.650 --> 02:40:11.030
the tolerability risks by the A B P, uh,
2319
02:40:11.250 --> 02:40:14.280
has board, which you will hear about on Thursday.
2320
02:40:14.900 --> 02:40:19.520
The starting point for this particular provision is, as Mr.
2321
02:40:19.550 --> 02:40:21.640
Owen has quite correctly said, uh,
2322
02:40:22.440 --> 02:40:27.160
a V p through its navigation risk assessment, which has involved all
users,
2323
02:40:27.270 --> 02:40:32.120
does not consider that impact protection measures are required. Uh,
Mr.
2324
02:40:32.150 --> 02:40:36.840
Owen is quite correct that it has been included should for any
2325
02:40:36.840 --> 02:40:39.240
reason it decided at a later date. Uh,
2326
02:40:39.310 --> 02:40:44.170
they are required then if all goes well, that they are consented.
2327
02:40:44.550 --> 02:40:47.930
But a B P's starting point at the moment is that they are not
required,
2328
02:40:48.150 --> 02:40:53.050
and that is as a result of a very thorough simulation exercise,
```

```
2329
02:40:53.050 --> 02:40:57.930
hazard workshop exercise, and the, a comprehensive navigation risk
assessment.
2330
02:40:58.430 --> 02:41:03.250
But, uh, uh, this touches on the points you specifically identified
for, uh,
2331
02:41:03.500 --> 02:41:07.130
issue specific hearing too. So if I could, if I could leave it at
that point,
2332
02:41:08.270 --> 02:41:12.970
We appreciate that. Um, uh, we look forward to Thursday, um,
2333
02:41:13.110 --> 02:41:16.130
for clarification on that. Um, thank you, Ms. Huts. Uh,
2334
02:41:17.040 --> 02:41:21.210
what I would suggest then is in preparation for Thursday,
2335
02:41:21.800 --> 02:41:26.730
that whilst it will to a certain extent be then confirmed in the
note that
2336
02:41:26.730 --> 02:41:28.770
you'll be producing for D one,
2337
02:41:29.450 --> 02:41:34.410
I think that we will be asking for you to give a, an oral, uh,
2338
02:41:35.590 --> 02:41:36.423
uh,
2339
02:41:36.440 --> 02:41:41.050
explanation of the process for in particular,
2340
02:41:41.710 --> 02:41:45.170
the, uh, uh, assessment of, um, the, the,
2 3 4 1
```

```
02:41:45.190 --> 02:41:46.810
the rating of risk is all up
2342
02:41:48.180 --> 02:41:49.890
Brian Greenwood for a b p.
2343
02:41:50.110 --> 02:41:53.170
We did anticipate that that is what you would require, sir,
2344
02:41:53.200 --> 02:41:54.090
that will be prepared.
2345
02:41:54.280 --> 02:41:55.113
Very good,
2346
02:41:55.950 --> 02:41:56.783
Mr. Walker?
2347
02:41:57.500 --> 02:42:01.770
Thank you, sir. Angus Walker for D F D Ss, I have to, uh, add, um,
uh,
2348
02:42:02.270 --> 02:42:04.450
my client's concern to this provision.
2349
02:42:04.450 --> 02:42:08.050
It's probably one of the ones they're most concerned about. Um,
2350
02:42:09.030 --> 02:42:13.890
in a way, it's neither here nor there as to who's deciding that
this, um,
2351
02:42:15.160 --> 02:42:20.130
work is, is going to be built or not. It's what is the trigger for
that,
2352
02:42:20.340 --> 02:42:24.090
given that we're currently in position of thinking it's not needed,
2353
02:42:24.750 --> 02:42:27.850
and we're worried that the trigger will be an accident,
```

```
2354
02:42:28.150 --> 02:42:32.530
not perhaps you are hoping that there'll be a near miss before
there's an
2355
02:42:32.690 --> 02:42:33.850
accident, and then you'll be able to,
2356
02:42:34.910 --> 02:42:39.770
you'll be able to build this thing without any actual, um, damage to
anything.
2357
02:42:40.270 --> 02:42:43.410
But that would appear to be the only thing that would change
anyone's mind,
2358
02:42:43.470 --> 02:42:47.970
and that seems, um, a far too risky approach.
2359
02:42:49.190 --> 02:42:52.970
So I think Mr. Bradley was getting at this as well. What are,
2360
02:42:53.000 --> 02:42:55.090
what is going to be the trigger?
2361
02:42:55.090 --> 02:42:59.450
What conditions will make the risk change from the current one that
you consider
2362
02:42:59.920 --> 02:43:02.930
that this is not necessary to one, the way you consider it is
necessary.
2363
02:43:07.600 --> 02:43:09.660
Mr. Green, would you want to respond or again,
2364
02:43:09.720 --> 02:43:11.720
say that this is something you'll cover on on
2365
02:43:11.960 --> 02:43:13.800
Thursday? Yes, sir. Yeah, we will.
```

2366

```
02:43:15.670 --> 02:43:17.220
Thank you, Mr. Walker. That's helpful.
2367
02:43:30.900 --> 02:43:31.120
Ms.
2368
02:43:31.120 --> 02:43:32.160
Green, anything further on
2369
02:43:35.330 --> 02:43:37.480
Brian Greenwood for a b p, sir? Uh,
2370
02:43:38.040 --> 02:43:42.160
I hope we only have two further questions for yourself.
2371
02:43:42.300 --> 02:43:45.000
And then I propose just to give you a, uh,
2372
02:43:45.200 --> 02:43:48.800
a summary of where we stand with protective provisions. But, um,
2 3 7 3
02:43:49.560 --> 02:43:54.320
I think your first point, uh, that you raised, still, still
outstanding is, um,
2374
02:43:54.630 --> 02:43:57.680
requirement 21 applications made under requirements.
2375
02:44:01.440 --> 02:44:04.500
Yes. And this, this specifically, um,
2376
02:44:05.040 --> 02:44:09.460
is in relation to any works, um, affecting,
2 3 7 7
02:44:10.240 --> 02:44:12.940
um, the special area of conservation,
2378
02:44:13.450 --> 02:44:16.020
special protection area and Ramsar site,
2379
02:44:17.150 --> 02:44:19.900
```

```
where in effect, um,
2380
02:44:20.280 --> 02:44:25.140
as drafted requirement }21\mathrm{ has a deemed approval written into
2381
02:44:25.140 --> 02:44:29.340
it. Um, now given the nature of, uh,
2382
02:44:29.680 --> 02:44:33.580
the protected habitat, that's problematic, uh,
2383
02:44:33.600 --> 02:44:35.620
and I've been there previously with another case.
2384
02:44:36.720 --> 02:44:41.580
Uh, thank you, sir. Um, uh, I should probably have, uh, added that
to say,
2385
02:44:41.680 --> 02:44:45.580
to save you, uh, explaining your concern. That is going to be
deleted, sir.
2386
02:45:06.650 --> 02:45:11.190
And Sir Brian Greenwood, a v p, your next point that you raised is,
uh,
2387
02:45:11.780 --> 02:45:13.390
appeals 23,
2388
02:45:17.920 --> 02:45:21.910
Which is kind of back to the point we made earlier. Uh, when we were
to,
2389
02:45:21.970 --> 02:45:25.910
we touched on control of Pollution Act, um,
2390
02:45:26.610 --> 02:45:29.510
and the appeal route using the Secretary of State.
2391
02:45:29.510 --> 02:45:33.230
And the difficulty that that then causes in terms of if an appeal is
dismissed
```

```
2392
02:45:33.650 --> 02:45:37.110
and a prosecution, uh, required, um,
2393
02:45:37.220 --> 02:45:40.350
back to the magistrates because the Secretary of State can't, uh,
2394
02:45:40.460 --> 02:45:43.110
deal with ineffective fine.
2395
02:45:53.280 --> 02:45:56.820
And that's particularly pertinent to Section 60, where of course,
2396
02:45:56.910 --> 02:46:01.700
there potentially, uh, as far as the local authority is concerned,
has been, um,
2397
02:46:02.020 --> 02:46:06.820
a level noise, uh, that, uh, generated, which it considers it needs
to take, uh,
2398
02:46:07.160 --> 02:46:12.000
in effect remedial action by issuing the notice, trying to bring
things, um,
2399
02:46:12.070 --> 02:46:16.320
back under control if a section 61, uh, consent had not been sought
2400
02:46:17.970 --> 02:46:20.440
Brian Greenwood for a v p. So I understand the point,
2401
02:46:20.440 --> 02:46:23.520
and it does link back to the point you made earlier, and we will,
uh,
2402
02:46:23.630 --> 02:46:26.600
have a look at it and make amendments as appropriate.
2403
02:46:27.330 --> 02:46:32.240
There is, there is a further problem that if you look at paragraph
23 B,
```

```
2404
02:46:32.780 --> 02:46:36.800
um, which again relates to, um,
2405
02:46:39.040 --> 02:46:42.960
a notice issued under Section 60, 'cause that is not for discharge,
2406
02:46:43.830 --> 02:46:48.280
that is an enforcement notice. So, um, were, um,
2407
02:46:49.750 --> 02:46:51.520
this, this provision to remain,
2408
02:46:52.200 --> 02:46:55.880
I would suggest it probably has to sit somewhere by itself
2409
02:46:56.830 --> 02:46:58.920
outside anything that actually, uh,
2410
02:46:58.920 --> 02:47:03.000
would be in an appeal against a discharge 'cause it's quite
different, um,
2411
02:47:03.180 --> 02:47:07.960
to say details for the design of something having been submitted and
refused.
2412
02:47:13.650 --> 02:47:16.360
Brian Green for a v p. Thank you, sir. That is noted as well.
2413
02:47:32.540 --> 02:47:33.373
Mr. Walker,
2414
02:47:34.370 --> 02:47:39.280
Angus Walker for D F D S before we leave Schedule two. Um, just, uh,
paragraph,
2415
02:47:39.300 --> 02:47:43.800
uh, requirement }19\mathrm{ is one of those tailpiece ones, um,
2416
02:47:44.890 --> 02:47:49.760
where the dredge arisings could be transported to road by road if
```

```
the
2417
02:47:49.760 --> 02:47:52.640
impact is assessed and approved by the relevant planning authority,
2418
02:47:52.640 --> 02:47:56.720
which we think is not an acceptable draft.
2419
02:48:06.950 --> 02:48:07.450
Mr.
2420
02:48:07.450 --> 02:48:08.190
Williams
2421
02:48:08.190 --> 02:48:10.850
For a b p. Thank you, sir. That that is noted,
2422
02:48:15.390 --> 02:48:20.130
sir. Moving on.
2423
02:48:20.390 --> 02:48:24.010
Um, schedule three concerns a de Marine license.
2424
02:48:24.290 --> 02:48:27.690
I was proposing not to take you through this in detail because the
drafting is
2425
02:48:27.690 --> 02:48:29.970
with the M M O at the moment, and, uh,
2426
02:48:31.360 --> 02:48:32.730
they are very prompt coming back,
2427
02:48:32.730 --> 02:48:36.170
so hopefully we'll certainly have something by deadline one. Uh,
2428
02:48:36.170 --> 02:48:37.250
and I can tell you where we
2429
02:48:37.250 --> 02:48:37.810
Are on that. I mean,
```

```
2430
02:48:37.810 --> 02:48:41.530
the real point for having this item on the agenda was for us to, in
effect,
2431
02:48:41.600 --> 02:48:42.930
have an update from you.
2432
02:48:43.270 --> 02:48:48.250
You previously mentioned that the dialogue has commenced, um,
2433
02:48:48.910 --> 02:48:53.730
and really our concern was to make sure that all of the drafting
points that the
2434
02:48:53.850 --> 02:48:56.930
M M O had raised are being looked at.
2435
02:48:57.430 --> 02:49:02.290
You may not agree with everything, but at least, um, that that
dialogue is,
2436
02:49:02.310 --> 02:49:05.610
is in hand and it's sounding like it very much is, and that it,
2437
02:49:05.610 --> 02:49:10.440
this should not be something that is left ultimately to the 11th
hour of the
2438
02:49:10.600 --> 02:49:14.480
examination. It looks like there, there might be earlier resolution
than that.
2439
02:49:15.670 --> 02:49:19.320
Yeah, so Brian Greenwood for a B P I, I like to think, in fact,
2440
02:49:19.320 --> 02:49:20.560
these are quite positive, uh,
2441
02:49:20.560 --> 02:49:24.040
negotiations discussions we're having with Marine Management
organization.
```

```
2442
02:49:24.530 --> 02:49:28.480
Again, this is a body that a B P deal with regularly anyway, with,
with,
2443
02:49:28.550 --> 02:49:33.320
with their works now, sir, subject to, uh,
2444
02:49:33.410 --> 02:49:37.160
hands being raised across the floor, um,
2445
02:49:37.640 --> 02:49:41.560
I was just going to give you a summary of where we are on the
protective
2446
02:49:41.560 --> 02:49:45.320
provisions. I can do that very briefly, just so you see what, what
is
2447
02:49:45.910 --> 02:49:46.743
Happening.
2448
02:49:50.700 --> 02:49:51.600
So sir, um,
2449
02:49:53.140 --> 02:49:57.040
the first protective provision is for the protection of the Humber
Conservancy
2450
02:49:57.040 --> 02:50:01.400
Commissioners, uh, putting aside their name, sir. Um,
2451
02:50:01.870 --> 02:50:05.080
that is, that is almost agreed. I understand, uh,
2452
02:50:05.390 --> 02:50:08.000
from hover Master's lawyer that there one or two, uh,
2453
02:50:08.310 --> 02:50:12.080
adjustments they may wish us to make and we look forward to
receiving,
```

```
2454
02:50:12.270 --> 02:50:16.240
receiving those from them. Um,
2455
02:50:18.110 --> 02:50:21.840
Next one is protection of the Environment Agency. And, uh, Ms.
2456
02:50:21.900 --> 02:50:24.960
Hutson may wish to comment. Uh, we have been in,
2457
02:50:25.440 --> 02:50:28.520
I like to think positive negotiations with the Environment Agency.
2458
02:50:28.740 --> 02:50:31.320
We are almost there, I hope. Uh,
2459
02:50:31.420 --> 02:50:36.400
we did write back to the Environment Agency earlier this week or the
end of last
2460
02:50:36.400 --> 02:50:40.800
week, uh, in that there was a request, um, uh,
2461
02:50:41.250 --> 02:50:44.280
concerning the fact that we wanted a,
2462
02:50:44.380 --> 02:50:48.760
or suggested that we should have a deemed refusal, uh, a deemed
approval rather,
2463
02:50:48.760 --> 02:50:52.080
whereas the Environment Agency, uh, said on an application,
2464
02:50:52.180 --> 02:50:54.040
if they haven't come back within the time period,
2465
02:50:54.260 --> 02:50:58.720
it should be a refusal that actually is the standard precedent and
we've
2466
02:50:58.880 --> 02:51:03.040
accepted what the Environment Agency have to say. I don't know if,
```

uh, uh, Ms.
2467
02:51:03.220 --> 02:51:06.200
Hutson, uh, wants to comment any further on that.
2468
02:51:10.450 --> 02:51:11.630
Ms. Hutson, uh,
2469
02:51:14.140 --> 02:51:18.630
Annette Hutson for the Environment Agency, um, no,
2470
02:51:18.710 --> 02:51:21.590
I don't wish make any further comments. Uh, thank you. Um,
2471
02:51:21.890 --> 02:51:25.550
the applicant is going to, uh, amend that provision for us,
2472
02:51:25.550 --> 02:51:27.430
so we're very satisfied with that. Thank you.
2473
02:51:31.080 --> 02:51:35.830
Thank you for that. Uh, Ms. Huon. So, uh, carrying on,
2474
02:51:36.050 --> 02:51:38.310
sir. Um, the, uh,
2475
02:51:38.800 --> 02:51:43.070
protective provision for Exum is under discussion with Exum,
2476
02:51:43.610 --> 02:51:48.470
um, the protective provision for the Humber 0il terminal or trustees.

2477
02:51:48.790 --> 02:51:50.310
I did touch on this morning,
2478
02:51:50.480 --> 02:51:55.270
we've had a draft back from Humber Oil Trustee trustees.
2479
02:51:55.810 --> 02:51:58.030
Um, we are some way apart,

```
2480
02:51:58.690 --> 02:52:02.830
and I think the simple thing is we are going to have to sit down
with them and,
2481
02:52:03.090 --> 02:52:07.680
uh, see where we can get to, and you will have a full review of,
2482
02:52:07.820 --> 02:52:12.800
of where we have got to for deadline one. But, uh, that protect, uh,
that,
2483
02:52:12.800 --> 02:52:16.800
that protective provision is one of the key issues in dispute at the
moment.
2484
02:52:17.300 --> 02:52:20.160
And, uh, you will not be surprised that it goes to, uh,
2485
02:52:20.580 --> 02:52:23.440
the provision of the impact protection measures.
2486
02:52:26.340 --> 02:52:30.200
If I may, uh, just ask for a little clarification here. Um,
2487
02:52:31.420 --> 02:52:36.360
the representation, uh, for, from the I O T operators essentially is
a,
2488
02:52:36.440 --> 02:52:40.280
a joint one between a P T and H O T T. Um,
2489
02:52:41.450 --> 02:52:46.320
would you elucidate whether there's any need for protective
provision to a p t?
2490
02:52:48.900 --> 02:52:51.040
Uh, Brian Greenman for a b P, and sir,
2491
02:52:51.180 --> 02:52:55.280
I'm going to look two or three down, I think. Uh,
```

```
2492
02:52:56.620 --> 02:53:00.520
is a p t the agent who operates I o T,
2493
02:53:01.140 --> 02:53:05.800
so they, they they are, they're almost one and the same,
2494
02:53:05.910 --> 02:53:10.640
obviously not legally such. Yes. Uh, A P T are the operator, I am
told,
2495
02:53:10.740 --> 02:53:12.160
but H O T T
2496
02:53:13.350 --> 02:53:13.720
Less
2497
02:53:13.720 --> 02:53:14.680
E are less E
2498
02:53:15.860 --> 02:53:17.120
That's very clear. Guess.
2499
02:53:19.220 --> 02:53:23.400
And, and, and as I say, sir, uh, we are some way apart and clearly,
uh,
2500
02:53:23.400 --> 02:53:25.840
particularly in light of your comments earlier on,
2501
02:53:25.860 --> 02:53:29.560
we need to sit down with them and we need to sit down with them
quickly. Uh,
2502
02:53:30.710 --> 02:53:35.400
they, uh, I I should explain sir, that they are looking for the, uh,
2503
02:53:37.140 --> 02:53:40.560
the provision of the impact protection measures and the provision of
the impact
2504
02:53:40.560 --> 02:53:44.600
```

```
protection measures before we commence any works. So, uh,
2505
02:53:44.740 --> 02:53:47.920
as I say somewhere apart, um,
2506
02:53:48.140 --> 02:53:52.480
Um, I would just encourage you to, um,
2507
02:53:56.310 --> 02:53:58.400
welcome to the Thursday hearing.
2508
02:54:00.220 --> 02:54:01.840
We did think that they would be here today,
2509
02:54:04.250 --> 02:54:08.800
Brian Greenfield, A B P. Yes sir. We will make contact, uh, with,
uh,
2510
02:54:08.800 --> 02:54:13.680
with them and invite them. I should also say, sir, that when we
release the,
2511
02:54:13.860 --> 02:54:16.480
uh, track change version of the T C 0,
2512
02:54:16.600 --> 02:54:20.200
I will specifically make sure that their lawyers are aware of the
changes to
2513
02:54:20.200 --> 02:54:24.840
requirement 1918. Thank you, sir. So,
2514
02:54:25.480 --> 02:54:28.960
carrying on, uh, Northern Power Grid, um,
2515
02:54:29.260 --> 02:54:33.920
we are nearing agreement with Northern Power Grid Ang Water.
2516
02:54:34.780 --> 02:54:38.680
The draft is substantially settled DB Cargo,

02:54:38.900 --> 02:54:40.160
as we have now, uh,
2518
02:54:41.580 - -> 02:54:46.280
sorted out the amendment in rights of way, um, that is now settled.
2519
02:54:46.540 \(\rightarrow\) 02:54:48.520
Agreed. And they will fall away in fact,
2520
02:54:48.520 --> 02:54:52.480
and not participate Network rail. Um,
2521
02:54:53.250 --> 02:54:58.080
there is a draft, uh, protective provision in existence. Uh,
2522
02:54:58.300 --> 02:55:03.000
the protective provision has not moved on as fast as either of us would've liked

2523
02:55:03.180 --> 02:55:07.720
because network rail engineers want to be certain as to the lighting
2524
02:55:07.820 --> 02:55:11.400
issues that \(I\) mentioned earlier, as far as their, their, uh,
2525
02:55:11.620 --> 02:55:13.800
trains going around the outside of the port,
2526
02:55:14.340 --> 02:55:16.320
we are in positive negotiations with them.
2527
02:55:16.320 --> 02:55:18.920
They're going to come and visit the site and, uh,
2528
02:55:20.630 --> 02:55:23.440
hopefully that is something that will be resolved. It, uh,
2529
02:55:23.620 --> 02:55:27.880
may well be one of the later ones to resolve, but we'll see how that proceeds,
```

02:55:28.820 --> 02:55:33.200
uh, north Northeast Lincolnshire Council as lead local flood
authority.
2531
02:55:33.390 --> 02:55:38.320
That is a substantially agreed Cadent, uh, gas.
2532
02:55:38.780 --> 02:55:41.240
Um, we are negotiating, uh,
2533
02:55:41.350 --> 02:55:45.480
they have provided us with a draft protective provision, uh,
2534
02:55:45.480 --> 02:55:50.160
which we've amended sent back to 'em. It's toing and froing. I
think, uh,
2535
02:55:50.500 --> 02:55:52.520
the ball is in their court at the moment,
2536
02:55:52.700 --> 02:55:54.640
so we are waiting in response from them.
2537
02:55:56.320 --> 02:56:00.800
Operators of electric communications that is, uh, BT and Virgin,
2538
02:56:01.400 --> 02:56:05.240
I think BT have approved Virgin, um, uh,
2539
02:56:05.980 --> 02:56:09.800
are in negotiation, but it is just protecting their rights to,
2540
02:56:09.860 --> 02:56:11.080
to access the site.
2541
02:56:11.940 --> 02:56:16.240
And then that just leaves C L D N and D F D Ss. And, uh,
2542
02:56:16.400 --> 02:56:17.880
I foresee, um,
2 5 4 3

```
```

02:56:18.680 --> 02:56:22.480
positive meetings with both parties over the next week or so, sir,
2544
02:56:27.060 --> 02:56:31.760
and that sir is my less than quick run through the, uh, draft D C o.
2545
02:56:34.880 --> 02:56:39.590
Thank you Mr. Greenwood. Before I, um, um, hand back to you, Mr.
2546
02:56:39.680 --> 02:56:40.270
Gould, uh,
2547
02:56:40.270 --> 02:56:44.150
there's just something we skipped over very quickly on schedule
three. Um,
2548
02:56:44.490 --> 02:56:47.110
and I just wanted to pick up to your point,
2549
02:56:47.750 --> 02:56:52.590
I haven't seen in MMOs representation anything regarding the, um,
2550
02:56:52.850 --> 02:56:57.310
marine written scheme of archeological investigation. Um,
2551
02:56:57.690 --> 02:57:01.110
nor have I seen anything specifically from, uh,
2552
02:57:01.550 --> 02:57:05.750
historic England in regard to securing the, uh,
2553
02:57:05.910 --> 02:57:10.150
W S I, uh, as a certified document. Um, would,
2554
02:57:10.280 --> 02:57:14.630
could I ask you to take that away and consider whether it should be
a certified
2555
02:57:14.830 --> 02:57:15.663
document?
2556

```
```

02:57:15.730 --> 02:57:18.430
Yes. Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood from a b p, uh,
2557
02:57:18.480 --> 02:57:20.190
there have coincidentally been,
2558
02:57:20.190 --> 02:57:23.550
there has been correspondence on that very matter over the last week
or so.
2559
02:57:24.450 --> 02:57:28.350
It is in place we need to clarify and give you an update. So thank
you, sir,
2560
02:57:28.350 --> 02:57:29.183
for the reminder.
2561
02:57:34.020 --> 02:57:38.930
Thank you, Mr. Greenwood. Um, hearing what you've said in terms of,
2562
02:57:40.270 --> 02:57:44.690
um, having metaphorically an open door to at least
2563
02:57:45.640 --> 02:57:50.130
talk things over with C L D N and for that matter, D F D ss,
2564
02:57:50.430 --> 02:57:55.290
we would certainly, as Mr. Bradley indicated, implore you to do
that,
2565
02:57:55.590 --> 02:57:58.690
and hopefully the other side of the room will be
2566
02:58:00.600 --> 02:58:02.640
willing at least to, uh,
2567
02:58:02.750 --> 02:58:07.480
participate in discussion as to where that might take the parties,
um,
2568
02:58:07.610 --> 02:58:11.800
while that will resolve itself in the fullness of time. Um,

```
```

2569
02:58:11.900 --> 02:58:13.800
but turning, um,
2570
02:58:14.340 --> 02:58:17.880
to C L D N in terms of protective provisions, Mr. Owen,
2571
02:58:17.980 --> 02:58:20.000
do you wish to say anything at this point?
2572
02:58:22.700 --> 02:58:26.920
Uh, robo in for, uh, C L D N? Uh, uh, I mean, we,
2573
02:58:26.920 --> 02:58:31.280
we've got a listen our ahead of the kind of issues that we would
think
2574
02:58:31.860 --> 02:58:36.600
are reasonable to deal with the protection of CDNs,
2575
02:58:36.700 --> 02:58:38.200
uh, undertaking. And it, it,
2576
02:58:38.200 --> 02:58:42.400
it is important to recognize that it is a statutory undertaking,
2577
02:58:42.420 --> 02:58:46.120
and that is what protective provisions are primarily for. Um, uh,
2578
02:58:46.200 --> 02:58:49.520
I mentioned earlier we, uh, uh, submitted with our relevant
representation,
2579
02:58:50.180 --> 02:58:53.360
the basis of C L D N'S statutory operation, uh,
2580
02:58:53.540 --> 02:58:57.880
as a Harbor Authority in the Humber, namely the, uh,
2581
02:58:57.880 --> 02:59:02.520
north killing Home Haven Harbor Empowerment Order of 1994 and the

```

Humber Sea
2582
02:59:02.800 --> 02:59:07.480
Terminal Phase three Harbor Vision order of 2006. So that's the basis for,

2583
02:59:07.980 --> 02:59:10.240
uh, seeking the protection. And, uh, I,
2584
02:59:10.320 --> 02:59:12.960
I won't take up time now unless you'd like me to,
2585
02:59:13.260 --> 02:59:17.120
in terms of setting out our emerging thinking of the kind of issues
we would
2586
02:59:17.350 --> 02:59:20.880
wish to have protection in, in relation to, and they, they, they,
2587
02:59:20.880 --> 02:59:24.760
they will include some consideration of rail access because, um, uh,
2588
02:59:24.760 --> 02:59:29.680
that is also an important consideration for C L D N. Uh, and, um, uh,

2589
02:59:29.780 --> 02:59:33.320
the network in the area is not wholly within the control of, uh,
2590
02:59:34.400 --> 02:59:35.180
A B P.
2591
02:59:35.180 --> 02:59:38.800
It does revert to network rail control to the west for the section that runs

2592
02:59:38.800 --> 02:59:41.240
through the, uh, able Marine Energy Park,
2593
02:59:41.240 --> 02:59:45.280
and then the C L D N site and C L D N holds the benefit of agreements with
```

2594
02:59:45.280 --> 02:59:48.880
network rail, uh, uh, that connect the sidings to the national
network,
2595
02:59:48.940 --> 02:59:49.773
and therefore,
2596
02:59:50.060 --> 02:59:54.120
the impact of the development on the rail network is therefore a
matter of
2597
02:59:54.120 --> 02:59:58.680
concern for us. So, um, uh, I would make those points and,
2598
02:59:58.700 --> 03:00:03.360
and also the, the, the, um, we, we,
2599
03:00:03.380 --> 03:00:07.040
we are currently considering and we'll need to see
2600
03:00:08.220 --> 03:00:11.520
how things appear with the revised schedule. Two,
2601
03:00:11.710 --> 03:00:16.680
whether there's justification for C L D N to be a statutory
2602
03:00:16.680 --> 03:00:19.200
consultee in relation to some of the discharge of the requirements,
2603
03:00:19.200 --> 03:00:22.960
because of course, the protective provisions aren't the answer to
everything.
2604
03:00:23.470 --> 03:00:27.000
They will assist, but there'll be other MA matters that concern us,
2605
03:00:27.000 --> 03:00:31.440
which will get determined schemes of mitigation of this, that, and
the other.

```

03:00:31.490 --> 03:00:36.400
Under, uh, gets determined through submissions made, uh,
2607
03:00:36.540 --> 03:00:39.320
in accordance with the various requirements and Schedule two.
2608
03:00:39.420 \(\rightarrow\) 03:00:43.160
And as would be normal for certain, uh, interests,
2609
03:00:43.530 --> 03:00:46.480
there may be a requirement for us to be noted there as, uh,
2610
03:00:46.480 --> 03:00:50.520
requiring to be consulted before any decision is taken by the local planning

2611
03:00:50.520 \(\rightarrow\) 03:00:55.280
authority, for example, um, on, on, on a discharge of a requirement. So I,

2612
03:00:55.440 --> 03:00:59.880
I think that's why we wish to say at this moment in time, in terms terms of, uh,

2613
03:00:59.880 --> 03:01:03.480
where we are with, um, uh, our thinking on the protective provisions.

2614
03:01:03.480 \(\rightarrow\) 03:01:08.120
And we will, um, uh, of course take part, um,
2615
03:01:08.600 --> 03:01:12.560
positively in discussions with, uh, \(A B P\), uh, as, as we would wish to,

2616
03:01:12.560 --> 03:01:15.160
and you would wish us to as well. And, uh,
2617
03:01:16.030 --> 03:01:20.720
hopefully by the time we come to, uh, deadline two, uh,
2618
\(03: 01: 20.900 \rightarrow 03: 01: 23.880\)
in our written representation, we would, we would've made some progress, but if,

2619
03:01:24.020 --> 03:01:28.360
if, well, whichever, whichever way, whichever where it got to by then,

2620
03:01:28.360 --> 03:01:31.880
we will set out in our written representation where we've got to on protective

2621
03:01:31.880 --> 03:01:36.480
provisions and set out what we think is required, um, uh, in that respect.

2622
03:01:36.890 --> 03:01:37.723
Thank you.
2623
03:01:37.930 --> 03:01:41.320
Thank you, Mr. Owen. Yeah. Um, yeah, if,
2624
03:01:41.700 --> 03:01:45.120
if little progress has been made by deadline to, to,
2625
03:01:45.180 --> 03:01:49.200
it is gonna be important for you to set out what it is you have been seeking,

2626
03:01:50.100 --> 03:01:54.320
um, what the applicant may or may not have agreed with you at that point.

2627
03:01:54.990 --> 03:01:55.780
Also, yes,
2628
03:01:55.780 --> 03:02:00.400
if you can identify which requirements you think you might need to
be consulted
2629
03:02:00.400 --> 03:02:04.240
on, again, that would assist our understanding of, um,

03:02:04.750 --> 03:02:08.920
that side of, uh, of your interest in that regard.
2631
03:02:09.660 --> 03:02:12.560
Yes. And, and, and so just in that respect me, it,
2632
03:02:12.800 --> 03:02:17.800
this is slightly going over some of the ground with this morning's preliminary

2633
03:02:17.800 --> 03:02:20.040
meeting, but, uh, obviously we,
2634
03:02:20.060 --> 03:02:24.120
we are keen for there not to be a moving target in terms of the wording of the D

2635
03:02:24.160 --> 03:02:28.800
C 0 , and at the moment, the Rule six timetable has, uh,
2636
03:02:29.160 --> 03:02:34.080
revised D C 0 both at deadline one and a deadline two. Um, and as,
2637
03:02:34.140 --> 03:02:38.560
as Mr. Walker said earlier, I would've thought that, um, if, if,
2638
03:02:38.620 --> 03:02:42.120
if the moving target of of a further D C 0 deadline two could be removed,

2639
03:02:42.350 --> 03:02:43.560
then at least, uh,
2640
03:02:43.840 --> 03:02:48.360
C L D N and other interested parties will know that the version of the, uh,

2641
03:02:48.680 --> 03:02:50.640
revised draft D C 0 submitted a deadline.
2642
03:02:50.660 --> 03:02:54.440
One is the target on which they then comment at deadline two in
their written

2643
03:02:54.440 --> 03:02:57.480
representation. Uh, otherwise we could all be going around in circles.

2644
03:03:01.700 --> 03:03:06.520
Mr. Greenwood, in terms of that draft D C 0 , um,
2645
03:03:08.780 --> 03:03:11.680
are, do, do you consider that at Deadline one,
2646
03:03:11.900 --> 03:03:16.840
you will have been able to make sufficient progress in the redraft for,

2647
03:03:16.840 \(\rightarrow\) 03:03:21.840
there's something to be, um, if you like, with meet on,
2648
03:03:22.660 --> 03:03:27.320
um, that the, you know, everybody can look at and comment on, um,
2649
03:03:27.780 --> 03:03:32.760
and that in effect vacate any sort of submission of a draft at Deadline

2650
03:03:32.860 --> 03:03:33.693
two.
2651
03:03:36.090 --> 03:03:40.320
Brian Greenwood for a b p. So sitting here today,
2652
03:03:40.700 --> 03:03:45.040
the intention is that we would be circulating something with sufficient meat.

2653
03:03:45.180 --> 03:03:49.600
It would not be the finished product, but, uh, taking all of the points,

2654
03:03:50.180 - 03:03:54.600
uh, and wishing to move this on, which is what a \(p\) p want to do,
```

2655
03:03:55.300 --> 03:03:57.920
we will give you something that is substantive. Uh,
2656
03:03:58.020 --> 03:04:00.640
as far as getting comments for the next round,
2657
03:04:03.920 --> 03:04:08.600
I think that would address certainly Mr. Owen's point, and it might
address, um,
2658
03:04:08.600 --> 03:04:09.640
something that Mr. Walker,
2659
03:04:09.760 --> 03:04:14.360
I think raised also on behalf of his client in terms of not too many
2660
03:04:14.790 --> 03:04:19.160
dcos floating around. Um, and, um,
2661
03:04:20.270 --> 03:04:23.600
yeah, potentially deadline, deadline one, deadline two, deadline
three.
2662
03:04:23.600 --> 03:04:28.520
They're all quite close together, so I think it's gonna assist
everybody.
2663
03:04:29.100 --> 03:04:33.200
If there wasn't a draft D C O that arrived at Deadline Two,
2664
03:04:34.340 --> 03:04:38.040
um, people will have the opportunity if, if one comes at deadline,
one,
2665
03:04:38.040 --> 03:04:41.840
they'll have the opportunity to have a good look at whatever the
redraft is,
2666
03:04:42.700 --> 03:04:44.440
and then the scope, uh,

```
```

2667
03:04:44.460 --> 03:04:48.600
to take on board any comment that comes in and look at maybe
deadline three or
2668
03:04:48.920 --> 03:04:52.480
deadline for another iteration. I,
2669
03:04:52.500 --> 03:04:56.400
if that proves necessary. Just before I ask, uh, Mr.
2670
03:04:56.400 --> 03:05:01.240
Walker for any observations he's got on protective provisions, um,
Mr.
2671
03:05:01.310 --> 03:05:05.800
Owen, in his oral submission a minute ago, mentioned train,
2672
03:05:06.260 --> 03:05:10.040
uh, the effect on the, um, train network. One thing that,
2673
03:05:10.140 --> 03:05:11.600
and I I pre-warn you now,
2674
03:05:11.600 --> 03:05:16.360
because it may be that both C L D N and the applicant need to go
away and,
2675
03:05:16.380 --> 03:05:19.080
and do a little bit of research, um,
2676
03:05:19.370 --> 03:05:23.960
train pathways for both C L D N, um, and,
2677
03:05:24.500 --> 03:05:27.840
um, At Ingham,
2678
03:05:28.630 --> 03:05:33.560
will it be possible for Thursday to have got a handle on who's got
what
2679
03:05:33.880 --> 03:05:36.200

```
```

pathways? Um, so that we've,
2680
03:05:36.200 --> 03:05:38.920
we've got an understanding of how many pathways there are,
2681
03:05:38.920 --> 03:05:43.720
what the utilization of those pathways are. Um, so we, again,
2682
03:05:43.720 --> 03:05:45.400
we've got a, um,
2683
03:05:46.430 --> 03:05:51.280
shouldn't have to spend time thinking about just what the
implications
2684
03:05:51.460 --> 03:05:56.360
for, uh, the use of the local rail work system is. Is,
2685
03:05:56.420 --> 03:05:59.600
is that something that from your perspective, um,
2686
03:05:59.870 --> 03:06:03.520
your team think you can go away and establish,
2687
03:06:04.580 --> 03:06:08.760
Uh, Robbie, Erin, c r d n? Um, I, I, I can certainly, uh,
2688
03:06:08.830 --> 03:06:10.920
take instructions on that, and we have the details,
2689
03:06:11.060 --> 03:06:15.800
so we will make sure that we come to the hearing on
2690
03:06:16.040 --> 03:06:18.200
Thursday with those details so that we can provide them
2691
03:06:20.220 --> 03:06:21.720
And Mr. Greenwood, Brian
2692
03:06:21.720 --> 03:06:26.200
Greenwood, uh, a b p. So if I can assist, um, the, the,

```
```

2693
03:06:26.660 --> 03:06:30.960
our project, as you realize, sir does not involve, uh, any train
movements. Mr.
2694
03:06:31.240 --> 03:06:36.040
Ern is quite right, and indeed, uh, Mr. Walker is also well aware
that, uh,
2695
03:06:36.380 --> 03:06:40.600
the railway track that runs through the Port of state is under the
control owned
2696
03:06:40.620 --> 03:06:41.480
by a V P,
2697
03:06:41.780 --> 03:06:46.400
but is also quite right that the line then feeds cross country, uh,
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03:06:46.780 --> 03:06:48.720
and others feed onto it. Uh,
2699
03:06:48.830 --> 03:06:53.240
what we are doing on the project will not affect any routes that
those,
2700
03:06:53.470 --> 03:06:57.320
that those train movements want. Uh, we are, there's a level
crossing and, uh,
2701
03:06:57.410 --> 03:06:59.600
train movements will be unaffected by the project.
2702
03:07:00.360 --> 03:07:04.360
I will speak with the engineers to make sure that is actually the
case for
2703
03:07:04.800 --> 03:07:05.180
Thursday,
2704
03:07:05.180 --> 03:07:08.480
but that is certainly the understanding and the way we have drafted

```
```

the
2705
03:07:08.480 --> 03:07:09.313
assessment.
2706
03:07:10.450 --> 03:07:14.080
Thank you, Mr. Walker.
2707
03:07:15.470 --> 03:07:19.040
Angu Angus Walker for D F T S, I don't really have much to add it,
2708
03:07:19.040 --> 03:07:21.640
but on protected provisions, I'm given that Mr.
2709
03:07:21.710 --> 03:07:25.480
Greenwood does not think we need any, I don't think he's going to
draft any,
2710
03:07:25.500 --> 03:07:28.200
so the onus is on us to produce those. Um,
2711
03:07:28.540 --> 03:07:32.520
we are obviously focusing this week on the hearings and,
2712
03:07:32.700 --> 03:07:37.280
but we will come up with something as soon as we can after
Thursday's hearing.
2713
03:07:41.030 --> 03:07:41.863
Thank you, Ms. Walker.
2714
03:07:51.780 --> 03:07:55.750
Does any other party wish to raise anything about protective
provisions?
2715
03:07:55.890 --> 03:07:57.750
I'm looking at the Harbor Authority
2 7 1 6
03:08:00.510 --> 03:08:02.390
Victoria Hutton for the Harbor Authority. No, sir.

```
03:08:19.510 --> 03:08:22.850
Um, we are almost at the end of the hearing.
2718
03:08:22.950 --> 03:08:24.530
If everybody is content,
2719
03:08:24.700 --> 03:08:28.290
we'll hopefully go on for about another 15 minutes.
2720
03:08:28.490 --> 03:08:32.490
I think that should bring everything to a conclusion in terms of
what we can
2721
03:08:32.490 --> 03:08:36.850
usefully do today. It may even be a little bit quicker than that.
2722
03:08:37.310 --> 03:08:40.330
Um, agenda item four is other,
2723
03:08:40.460 --> 03:08:44.650
other consent licenses and agreements, Mr. Greenwood,
2724
03:08:45.060 --> 03:08:48.530
other than the highway agreement, which you mentioned earlier,
2725
03:08:48.750 --> 03:08:49.770
is there anything else?
2726
03:08:55.580 --> 03:08:58.090
Brian Greenwood for, uh, a V p? Yes.
2727
03:08:58.090 --> 03:09:02.250
So the section 1 3 5 consent we will require from the Crown Estate,
but, uh,
2728
03:09:02.760 --> 03:09:06.690
that yes, yes, that, just those two,
2729
03:09:10.410 --> 03:09:12.910
And we touched on that one earlier. Um,
2730
```

```
03:09:13.490 --> 03:09:17.790
the sooner that can be resolved the better because, um, it,
2731
03:09:18.090 --> 03:09:21.350
that's an area that can linger if the crown, um,
2732
03:09:23.520 --> 03:09:27.030
isn't encouraged to come to the table early enough.
2733
03:09:27.490 --> 03:09:32.270
And it can cause difficulties, as I said earlier, in reporting, uh,
Mr. Vin,
2734
03:09:32.810 --> 03:09:36.070
Uh, Robbie, o c r d n, uh, can, can I just raise an a, a a,
2735
03:09:36.670 --> 03:09:41.470
a question about the licensing of works by the Conservancy
authority? Ms.
2736
03:09:41.470 --> 03:09:46.230
Hutton mentioned that earlier in that the Conservancy Authority has
the power to
2737
03:09:46.230 --> 03:09:46.930
license works,
2738
03:09:46.930 --> 03:09:51.870
and that is normally coupled with a prohibition on the construction
of works
2739
03:09:52.180 --> 03:09:55.920
without a license or indeed dredging. Um, I'm wondering,
2740
03:09:55.940 --> 03:09:58.280
and it may be I've missed it, and in which case I'm sure Mr.
2741
03:09:58.320 --> 03:09:59.480
A Greenman will point me out to it,
2742
03:09:59.480 --> 03:10:03.880
but article three of the D C O does not justly, uh,
```

2743
03:10:03.900 --> 03:10:08.800
so far as I can see, um, the, um, Humber Conservancy licensing regime.

2744
03:10:09.340 --> 03:10:14.320
Um, and if I'm right, that without a license works are prohibited,
2745
03:10:15.220 --> 03:10:15.890
um,
2746
03:10:15.890 --> 03:10:20.320
would that not need to be dealt with by a dis application in Article three?

2747
03:10:20.700 --> 03:10:24.600
Um, or, uh, alternatively, um, are,
2748
03:10:24.620 --> 03:10:28.920
are we to understand that a a works license will be obtained by
2749
03:10:29.760 --> 03:10:34.240
a B P from the Conservancy authority in addition to getting the D C 0 ?

2750
03:10:35.650 --> 03:10:36.483
Thank you.
2751
03:10:38.450 --> 03:10:40.520
Thank you, Mr. In fact, that's, uh,
2752
03:10:40.520 --> 03:10:44.560
anticipated the question we wanted to raise on Thursday. So I think, um,

2753
03:10:50.410 --> 03:10:52.250
I, sorry, are you, uh,
2754
03:10:52.320 --> 03:10:55.170
able to respond today or would you like to take that away?
2755

```
03:10:56.100 --> 03:11:00.370
Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood for the A B P I think I have an
answer, sir,
2756
03:11:00.370 --> 03:11:02.490
but I'd rather take it away just in case.
2757
03:11:05.140 --> 03:11:05.973
Thank you,
2758
03:11:06.630 --> 03:11:08.330
Ms. Hutton. Anything to comment?
2759
03:11:08.870 --> 03:11:11.010
Uh, uh, Victoria Haston for the Harbor Master,
2760
03:11:11.230 --> 03:11:15.610
so I may be able to assist certainly with our understanding is that
Article
2761
03:11:15.610 --> 03:11:20.410
34 of the draft order dis applies the relevant legislation, uh,
2762
03:11:20.550 --> 03:11:24.650
and then is effectively replaced with the protective provisions,
2763
03:11:25.350 --> 03:11:29.170
uh, in part one of Schedule four, particularly paragraph three.
2764
03:11:34.570 --> 03:11:37.910
So sir, it's, it's, um, section nine.
2765
03:11:38.100 --> 03:11:42.910
It's particularly Section nine of the Humber Conservancy Act 1899
and
2766
03:11:42.910 --> 03:11:46.790
Section six two, uh, of the Humber Conservancy Act 1905.
2767
03:11:47.240 --> 03:11:48.073
We're going to check,
```

2768
03:11:48.210 --> 03:11:53.030
but we think actually sections 25 and 26 of the 1852 Act
2769
03:11:54.040 --> 03:11:57.430
don't exist any longer, but I'm aware No,
2770
03:11:57.820 --> 03:11:58.310
They're
2771
03:11:58.310 --> 03:11:59.830
Not appeal, sorry? They're not
2772
03:11:59.830 --> 03:12:00.750
Applied to the,
2773
03:12:01.240 --> 03:12:03.230
Sorry. Yes, we, we'll, we'll, we'll check that point.
2774
03:12:03.290 --> 03:12:06.830
I'm afraid I get a slightly lost in the historic legislation, but we,

2775
03:12:07.250 --> 03:12:10.830
we will double check that, but that's how we understand the order to work.

2776
03:12:13.480 --> 03:12:17.630
Could we put that down as a double action, if you like, between, uh,
2777
03:12:17.630 --> 03:12:20.910
applicant and, uh, the Harper Authority? Thank you. Uh,
2778
03:12:23.290 --> 03:12:24.123
Mr.
2779
03:12:24.210 --> 03:12:28.910
Uh, robo and C R D N I, I think that's a classic illustration of
how, um,
2780
03:12:30.010 --> 03:12:33.350
the, the arrangement of the D C 0 needs to be, uh,

```
2781
03:12:33.350 --> 03:12:37.190
further considered because you would normally expect to see, uh,
2782
03:12:37.380 --> 03:12:41.670
what is Article 34 in Article three? 'cause it's, it's a dis
application. Uh,
2783
03:12:41.670 --> 03:12:45.590
and I would invite Mr. Greenwood to, um, consider, uh,
2784
03:12:45.590 --> 03:12:49.310
whether those two articles might be merged to provide clarity to,
2785
03:12:49.730 --> 03:12:54.240
to readers so that it's very clear and the expansion memorandum can,
uh,
2786
03:12:54.350 --> 03:12:57.840
make further comment on that, of course, as to what the regime's
gonna be,
2787
03:12:57.840 --> 03:13:00.960
because you've got similar dis applications in Article three,
2788
03:13:01.540 --> 03:13:04.600
and then you jump to 34, as we've just been told.
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03:13:05.940 --> 03:13:06.773
But you,
2 7 9 0
03:13:51.290 --> 03:13:55.850
But as far as, um, those other consents that you've,
2791
03:13:56.020 --> 03:13:58.290
there are no others that you're aware of, thank you.
2792
03:14:05.540 --> 03:14:08.890
Right. Turning to any other business and, um,
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03:14:10.090 --> 03:14:11.090
```

```
anything from the applicant,
2794
03:14:12.220 --> 03:14:15.130
Brian Greenwood for a b P? No, sir. Thank you,
2795
03:14:16.990 --> 03:14:19.330
Mr. Owen. Anything from C L D N? Uh,
2796
03:14:19.430 --> 03:14:22.890
Robbie Owen. C l d n. Uh, sir, just, uh, uh, uh,
2797
03:14:22.920 --> 03:14:26.090
item six referred to a written action list will be published.
2798
03:14:26.340 --> 03:14:27.850
We're gonna come to that. I beg pardon? Okay.
2799
03:14:27.850 --> 03:14:28.683
Sorry,
2800
03:14:31.440 --> 03:14:36.100
Mr. Walker, anything for D F D S? No, sir. And Ms. Hutton, anything?
2801
03:14:36.880 --> 03:14:37.940
No, thank you, sir. Okay.
2802
03:14:38.930 --> 03:14:42.460
That then brings us to agenda item six, which are,
2803
03:14:42.960 --> 03:14:46.340
or is the review of actions arising from what we've discussed this
afternoon,
2804
03:14:46.760 --> 03:14:47.593
Mr. Bradley?
2805
03:14:51.080 --> 03:14:55.020
Um, I've just got observation that there's, um,
2806
03:14:55.320 --> 03:14:57.860
27 action points that I've noted,
```

```
2807
03:14:58.920 --> 03:15:02.660
and at the moment they're all down for Deadline one. Um,
2808
03:15:02.890 --> 03:15:04.700
it's just an opportunity to say,
2809
03:15:05.520 --> 03:15:09.540
are there any of those that you've been keeping a note of that you
feel are
2810
03:15:09.980 --> 03:15:12.140
unreasonable for Deadline one? Um,
2811
03:15:12.360 --> 03:15:17.260
and could without Jeopardy to the smooth progress, um,
2812
03:15:17.880 --> 03:15:19.660
be deferred to Deadline two,
2813
03:15:19.840 --> 03:15:23.980
or should be in order to give you time to do,
2814
03:15:23.980 --> 03:15:25.500
Do you want to read your list and
2815
03:15:25.500 --> 03:15:26.333
Then,
2816
03:15:32.720 --> 03:15:36.660
Um, Brian Greenwood. A B P? Yes, sir. I've just had a quick chat,
uh,
2817
03:15:36.660 --> 03:15:38.820
discussion with my colleagues. Um,
2818
03:15:41.920 --> 03:15:46.660
the, obviously the, uh, draft of the,
2819
03:15:46.880 --> 03:15:49.920
uh, D C 0, the track change d c o is,
```

```
2820
03:15:50.020 --> 03:15:52.640
is critical for deadline one. Um,
2821
03:15:53.580 --> 03:15:58.160
is a comprehensive draft of the explanatory memorandum equally
2822
03:15:58.640 --> 03:16:02.200
critical, and I think you'll probably turn around and say yes, but,
uh,
2823
03:16:03.330 --> 03:16:07.080
could I raise that question with you, sir?
2824
03:16:08.400 --> 03:16:11.640
I, I obviously, uh,
2825
03:16:11.740 --> 03:16:15.560
the applicant's team is going to be under some pressure. Um,
2826
03:16:17.780 --> 03:16:19.240
it would be a nice to have,
2827
03:16:20.060 --> 03:16:25.040
but I think if what you could at least do is flag up the areas
2828
03:16:25.210 --> 03:16:28.080
where there's likely to be significant rewriting,
2829
03:16:28.600 --> 03:16:30.440
touching on what we've discussed this afternoon,
2830
03:16:31.020 --> 03:16:35.160
so at least everybody is clear, um,
2831
03:16:35.470 --> 03:16:39.000
that there is work to be done and that, and that we know, um,
2832
03:16:39.000 --> 03:16:42.160
that something is coming down the pipeline. Um,
```

```
2833
03:16:43.420 --> 03:16:47.840
you will probably pick up on some of the points anyway in whatever
2834
03:16:47.840 --> 03:16:52.440
post-hearing note you are going to produce following on from today.
Again,
2835
03:16:52.440 --> 03:16:54.360
that will be some sort of flag, um,
2836
03:16:54.740 --> 03:16:58.460
as to section whatever of the em, um,
2837
03:16:58.610 --> 03:17:03.540
explanatory memorandum is going to have radical rewrite or there'll
be a
2838
03:17:03.620 --> 03:17:07.020
little bit of a whatever. Um, does, does that assist?
2839
03:17:07.600 --> 03:17:10.980
Yes, Brian, Brian Greenwood for a b p. That's actually very helpful,
sir.
2840
03:17:10.980 --> 03:17:15.580
Obviously we'll be amending the explanatory memorandum as we go
along with the
2841
03:17:15.580 --> 03:17:16.400
draft E C 0,
2842
03:17:16.400 --> 03:17:20.940
but if I can excuse the precise drafting in best English
2843
03:17:21.200 --> 03:17:24.340
and, uh, highlight, uh, points that we're going to amend,
2844
03:17:24.490 --> 03:17:26.460
then that would ease the load.
2845
03:17:27.160 --> 03:17:31.620
```

The a a bullet point approach might. Yes, my desist and Mr. Owen, 2846
03:17:32.720 --> 03:17:35.620
Uh, sir, thank you Robbie Owen for C R D N. Um, I,
2847
03:17:35.700 --> 03:17:40.620
I apologize for introducing a contentious tone at this late
2848
03:17:40.620 --> 03:17:43.860
hour, but I, I have to say, I don't think that that is a tool satisfactory.

2849
03:17:43.980 --> 03:17:47.540
I think, uh, given that what we are to receive a deadline,
2850
03:17:47.640 --> 03:17:51.060
one is going to be crucial for, uh,
2851
03:17:51.160 --> 03:17:53.620
all interested parties in framing their written representations.
2852
03:17:53.860 --> 03:17:58.740
I do think it is important to receive not just a properly marked
2853
03:17:58.840 --> 03:18:00.020
up draft D C 0,
2854
03:18:00.520 --> 03:18:04.220
but a properly written revised draft expansion memorandum.
2855
03:18:04.420 --> 03:18:07.820
'cause you have to consider the two together to understand what the applicant is

2856
03:18:07.820 --> 03:18:11.300
proposing and, uh, react accordingly.
2857
03:18:11.440 --> 03:18:16.180
And surely the applicant will be, uh, as Mr. Green was of effectively said,

03:18:16.250 --> 03:18:21.060
writing the revised draft em at the same time as drafting the
2859
03:18:21.140 --> 03:18:24.420
revised draft D C 0. And therefore, I do think we need them together. It,

2860
03:18:24.490 --> 03:18:28.740
it's a nonsense to split them, uh, frankly, and, uh,
2861
03:18:28.860 --> 03:18:33.660
I would invite you to reflect on what I've said and come to a decision on that

2862
03:18:34.160 --> 03:18:35.780
in due course, uh, uh,
2863
03:18:35.960 --> 03:18:39.740
and obviously soon so that the applicant can know what is expected of,

2864
03:18:39.760 --> 03:18:44.660
of them because, uh, this is fundamentally, the, the em, as you've identified,

2865
03:18:44.660 --> 03:18:47.440
is not adequate at the moment in quite a few respects,
2866
03:18:47.500 --> 03:18:50.600
and it's gonna be changed anyway because of drafting that, uh, Mr.
2867
03:18:50.600 --> 03:18:55.160
Greenman has said he's, uh, undertaking in terms of revising proposals. And we,

2868
03:18:55.300 --> 03:18:59.800
we do need to see it. And I do do not think that a bullet list, uh,
2869
03:18:59.800 --> 03:19:01.240
bullet point list approach is,
2870
03:19:01.260 --> 03:19:04.800

```
is a tool satisfactory in the interest of the examination and the
position of
2871
03:19:04.800 --> 03:19:07.440
the interested parties and indeed yourselves. Thank you.
2872
03:19:08.410 --> 03:19:12.840
Thank you, Mr. Rowan. Um, I, I think just an opportunity for
clarification, uh,
2873
03:19:12.910 --> 03:19:15.800
from Mr. Greenwood here, because I thought that,
2874
03:19:15.840 --> 03:19:19.320
I heard that you were going to make best efforts with the
explanatory me
2875
03:19:19.320 --> 03:19:23.560
memorandum, but asked to be forgiven for some raggedness. This
2876
03:19:24.650 --> 03:19:28.880
Brian Green for a b P. Yes, sir. That is precise as so I,
2877
03:19:29.080 --> 03:19:33.880
I will do a best effort. I'm, it might not be Shakespearean English,
2878
03:19:34.140 --> 03:19:36.720
but it'll be as close as I can get, sir,
2879
03:19:36.720 --> 03:19:38.600
and it will be as comprehensive as I can get.
2880
03:19:42.150 --> 03:19:42.983
Mr.
2881
03:19:43.910 --> 03:19:46.810
Uh, Robio and C R D N. Um, I'm grateful to Mr. Greenwood.
2882
03:19:46.810 --> 03:19:47.930
Thank you for that clarification.
```

03:19:54.650 --> 03:19:59.510
Um, Ms. Scold is suggesting reading through the, uh, action list. Um,

2884
03:20:00.310 --> 03:20:04.070
I have been on examinations, which have had longer lists, but, um, 2885
03:20:04.410 --> 03:20:09.190
I'm also aware that the content of each item is, is quite significant here.

2886
03:20:09.680 --> 03:20:12.230
Would you like me to read through the list that I have?
2887
03:20:13.790 --> 03:20:14.350
I, I think
2888
03:20:14.350 --> 03:20:18.670
It's important so that we shall, I, shall I try and speed through it as,

2889
03:20:18.730 --> 03:20:19.670
as quickly as possible? It
2890
03:20:19.670 --> 03:20:20.950
Would be very helpful, sir. Thank you.
2891
03:20:21.890 --> 03:20:23.270
Uh, item one, um,
2892
03:20:24.270 --> 03:20:28.190
a note explaining this is prefaced by provide, uh,
2893
03:20:28.260 --> 03:20:31.670
note explaining the roles and responsibilities of the two statutory harbor

2894
03:20:31.670 --> 03:20:36.350
authorities with particular reference to safety governance roles and interfaces.

2895
03:20:37.830 --> 03:20:41.910

```
A plan identifying the geographic limits of the Port of Ingham
statutory harbor
2896
03:20:41.910 --> 03:20:43.390
authority responsibilities,
2897
03:20:44.860 --> 03:20:49.430
clarification on use of company rather than undertaker and
2898
03:20:49.560 --> 03:20:53.830
check consistency between D D C O and explanatory
2899
03:20:54.000 --> 03:20:54.833
memorandum
2900
03:20:57.330 --> 03:21:01.870
review definition and usage of local authority with regard to both
2901
03:21:01.870 --> 03:21:06.190
adjoining council's planning and highways branches. And, uh,
2902
03:21:06.430 --> 03:21:10.630
a plan identifying respective jurisdictions that's provide a plan,
2903
03:21:12.420 --> 03:21:14.430
provide expansion of the em,
2904
03:21:14.430 --> 03:21:19.310
explaining the rationale for the sections of the 1847 ACT clauses to
be
2905
03:21:19.310 --> 03:21:22.510
incorporated in the D C O provided.
2906
03:21:22.510 --> 03:21:27.350
Clarifying note on order limits and limits of deviation for the
Marine Works
2907
03:21:28.900 --> 03:21:33.590
article due to definition of vessel with regard to in
2908
```

```
03:21:33.840 --> 03:21:36.070
marks or placement in water, quote Marks
2909
03:21:37.710 --> 03:21:42.270
D F D S concerns regarding apparently over liberal definitions of
2910
03:21:42.790 --> 03:21:44.790
construct and maintain both in quote,
2911
03:21:47.490 --> 03:21:52.440
clarifying note on definition of row row unit numbers with regard to
multiple
2912
03:21:52.440 --> 03:21:53.920
vehicle transporters.
2913
03:21:55.710 --> 03:21:58.720
Article 6, 7, 10, and 16.
2914
03:21:58.720 --> 03:22:02.160
Further to observations by D F D SS at the I S H
2915
03:22:04.240 --> 03:22:07.960
C L D N query on apparent conflict between section
2916
03:22:07.960 --> 03:22:12.720
331847 act, open port duty, and D D C 0.
2917
03:22:12.720 --> 03:22:15.040
Article 22, power to appropriate
2918
03:22:17.460 --> 03:22:20.920
expand E M two, clarify article 28,
2919
03:22:22.100 --> 03:22:26.560
review articles 29 and 37 and EM precedent cited in
2920
03:22:26.560 --> 03:22:27.393
justification.
2921
03:22:30.060 --> 03:22:33.880
```

```
Submit Ming Dock bylaws to the examination library.
2922
03:22:35.660 --> 03:22:37.160
Uh, I haven't put a date on that.
2923
03:22:37.260 --> 03:22:42.080
Is there any necess necessity 1927 or whatever it was, this, so we
2924
03:22:42.080 --> 03:22:43.520
Can, no, we can do that
2925
03:22:43.520 --> 03:22:46.120
Very quickly. Okay. Uh, next one.
2926
03:22:46.560 --> 03:22:51.320
Consider an extra requirement to maintain a publicly accessible
record
2927
03:22:51.540 --> 03:22:56.000
of approval sought and gained with regard to R three and R seven,
2928
03:22:56.340 --> 03:22:59.880
et cetera. Uh, in other words, requirements three and seven,
2929
03:23:02.480 --> 03:23:06.160
schedule one extent of works areas for marine works to be clarified.
2930
03:23:07.800 --> 03:23:12.640
Schedule two restrictions on piling to be set out in one place only
to
2931
03:23:12.640 --> 03:23:15.760
avoid potential for inconsistency and confusion.
2932
03:23:18.030 --> 03:23:21.360
Requirement 10 to be reviewed regarding noise, insulation,
2933
03:23:21.460 --> 03:23:25.160
and clarification of definition of commencement.
2934
03:23:27.640 --> 03:23:32.280
```

```
Consider how the D D C O secures biodiversity net gain
2935
03:23:35.080 --> 03:23:37.640
consider securing in the D D C O and operation,
2936
03:23:38.300 --> 03:23:43.000
or I should say operation now. Traffic management plan.
2937
03:23:46.040 --> 03:23:50.080
Consider submission of a materials management plan into the
examination.
2938
03:23:51.600 --> 03:23:53.960
Consider if the C M P, uh,
2939
03:23:54.790 --> 03:23:59.280
Kemp being required in consider this, yeah,
2940
03:23:59.660 --> 03:24:00.493
uh,
2941
03:24:00.880 --> 03:24:02.440
Referred should be,
2942
03:24:04.980 --> 03:24:06.640
Uh, should be
2943
03:24:11.200 --> 03:24:15.640
required in only one of R eight or R 15.
2944
03:24:17.240 --> 03:24:18.570
Does that make sense? Yes,
2945
03:24:18.590 --> 03:24:19.423
Sir.
2946
03:24:22.210 --> 03:24:26.770
Consider a further requirement for the outline plans under R eight
and R 15 to
2947
03:24:26.770 --> 03:24:30.330
```

be developed into final plans to be submitted for approval by the relevant

2948
03:24:30.330 --> 03:24:33.490
authorities authority.
2949
03:24:37.330 --> 03:24:42.130
Consider redrafting of R 18 to identify the mechanism for triggering
2950
03:24:42.310 --> 03:24:47.160
the implementation of impact protection and agreement to timing constraints

2951
03:24:47.180 --> 03:24:49.440
for implementation if deemed required,
2952
03:24:51.890 --> 03:24:55.750
and reconsider the drafting of requirement 19.
2953
03:24:57.570 --> 03:24:59.070
And lastly,
2954
03:24:59.290 --> 03:25:03.910
review the drafting and potential rationalization of Article 34
2955
03:25:04.530 --> 03:25:09.470
in relation to Article three regarding the Humber Conservancy
consenting
2956
03:25:09.530 --> 03:25:11.870
regime. And that's, uh,
2957
03:25:11.870 --> 03:25:16.430
that last one is the one which we suggest is a joint, uh,
2958
03:25:16.810 --> 03:25:21.790
not necessarily joint, but is an action to both parties. Um,
2959
03:25:23.580 --> 03:25:25.360
you, you've perhaps had the op,
2960

03:25:25.360 --> 03:25:28.960
your colleague has perhaps had the opportunity to write better than I.

2961
03:25:29.220 --> 03:25:33.280
Is there anything that you feel that you have already taken away as an action,

2962
03:25:33.730 --> 03:25:35.080
which is not on that list?
2963
03:25:44.430 --> 03:25:46.250
Yes, sir. It's been pointed out to me that, uh,
2964
03:25:46.250 --> 03:25:49.210
you would also like some clarification, sorry, Brian Greenwood,
2965
03:25:49.370 --> 03:25:53.970
a b p clarification of railroad unit, uh, railroad vessel. And, uh,
2966
03:25:54.520 --> 03:25:57.730
that probably picks up with the transportation point you raised earlier though,

2967
03:25:57.730 --> 03:25:58.350
doesn't it?
2968
03:25:58.350 --> 03:26:01.450
Yes, we could perhaps I could pick that up and add it into the, uh,
2969
03:26:02.080 --> 03:26:04.730
that whole point about our railroad. Yes.
2970
03:26:04.800 --> 03:26:05.633
Okay.
2971
03:26:05.940 --> 03:26:06.773
Thank you
2972
03:26:10.760 --> 03:26:15.060
Mr. Owen, on a list that you might have that's not on that list.
Yes,

```
2973
03:26:15.140 --> 03:26:19.900
I was gonna say Rob, Erin, um, c r d n. Um, just a few things.
2974
03:26:20.200 --> 03:26:23.420
Um, first there was of course a commitment to,
2975
03:26:24.310 --> 03:26:26.980
which is a mutual commitment to discuss protective provisions,
2976
03:26:26.980 --> 03:26:29.580
which I think ought to be recorded. Um,
2977
03:26:31.900 --> 03:26:32.733
secondly,
2978
03:26:32.920 --> 03:26:37.380
we did agree to provide information on train paths for Thursday
2979
03:26:38.680 --> 03:26:42.100
for I s H two. Um,
2980
03:26:43.650 --> 03:26:44.483
thirdly,
2981
03:26:47.960 --> 03:26:49.400
I believe Mr.
2982
03:26:49.400 --> 03:26:53.520
Greenwood said that he would consider with a b p with,
2983
03:26:53.540 --> 03:26:57.400
to do about the, uh, if I can put it this way,
2984
03:26:57.420 --> 03:27:01.600
the outline nature of some of the management plans, particularly the
Kemp,
2985
03:27:01.940 --> 03:27:05.240
and whether in fact, uh, the applicant might be prepared to,
```

```
2986
03:27:06.820 --> 03:27:07.150
Uh,
2987
03:27:07.150 --> 03:27:12.000
Propose that before works start more detailed, plans are worked up
for approval.
2988
03:27:14.380 --> 03:27:15.280
Uh, and fourthly,
2989
03:27:15.840 --> 03:27:20.520
I think in relation to those items early on in your list, uh, Mr.
2990
03:27:20.520 --> 03:27:24.560
Bradley about plans, um, Mr. Greenwood did a, did agree to,
2991
03:27:25.340 --> 03:27:27.800
uh, include the, um,
2992
03:27:29.420 --> 03:27:33.640
interrelationship between the boundaries of the local authorities
and the crown.
2993
03:27:34.540 --> 03:27:37.440
Um, the, the, the, um,
2994
03:27:39.140 --> 03:27:41.080
the issue there being whether there is,
2995
03:27:41.990 --> 03:27:46.800
whether any part of the works are planned to be constructed in the
river
2996
03:27:46.930 --> 03:27:47.763
where,
2997
03:27:47.850 --> 03:27:52.600
which is below the boundary of the local authorities' jurisdiction
as local
2 9 9 8
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planning authority,
2999
03:27:53.540 --> 03:27:57.920
and therefore would not be covered by a local planning authority. Um,

3000
03:27:58.380 --> 03:28:01.720
but would purely be subject to the consent of the crown of state commissioners.

3001
03:28:01.780 --> 03:28:06.560
I'm assuming that is the relevant crown entity here. Um, this is in,
3002
03:28:06.580 --> 03:28:07.640
in accordance with, you know,
3003
03:28:07.640 --> 03:28:10.720
the general rule that local authorities jurisdiction ends at low watermark

3004
03:28:10.740 --> 03:28:12.360
except, uh,
3005
03:28:13.940 --> 03:28:16.600
in certain cases to do with esra. Um,
3006
03:28:16.600 --> 03:28:20.880
so I think we would be grateful for the applicant's written confirmation of what

3007
03:28:20.880 --> 03:28:24.480
it considers to be the boundary of the local authorities, uh,
3008
03:28:24.500 --> 03:28:28.960
in relation to the work. So we can consider the extent to which there may be a,

3009
03:28:29.680 --> 03:28:33.560
a gap there in, in, in, in control measures, in terms of planning control.

3010
03:28:34.740 --> 03:28:39.680

Um, I believe, um, That is,
3011
03:28:39.780 --> 03:28:42.400
uh, probably the sum total
3012
03:28:45.070 --> 03:28:45.930
of the commitments,
3013
03:28:45.930 --> 03:28:48.730
except I'm just being told that there may may have been something else about,

3014
03:28:49.470 --> 03:28:50.570
uh, order limits in the river.
3015
03:28:50.590 --> 03:28:52.530
Was there an action in relation to order limits in the river?
3016
03:28:54.330 --> 03:28:55.090
I think we've picked
3017
03:28:55.090 --> 03:28:56.050
That up. Okay. Thank you.
3018
03:28:57.570 --> 03:29:02.370
I think we've picked that up, but perhaps it needs a, a clarifying
word or two.
3019
03:29:02.900 --> 03:29:03.620
Thank you.
3020
03:29:03.620 --> 03:29:04.453
Thank you very much.
3021
03:29:08.030 --> 03:29:12.050
Mr. Walker, anything on the list that you may have been gathering?
3022
03:29:13.230 --> 03:29:16.090
Um, thank you sir. Angus Walker, D F T S, um,
3023
03:29:16.310 --> 03:29:20.530
merely that we have also undertaken to provide some protective provisions to the

3024
03:29:20.530 --> 03:29:25.130
applicant in due course. It'll be shortly after I s H two
3025
03:29:36.490 --> 03:29:39.390
And Ms. Hutton, anything that you might have been gathering on the list?

3026
03:29:41.080 --> 03:29:41.990
Thank you, sir. No.
3027
03:29:46.940 --> 03:29:51.710
Okay. Well,
3028
03:29:53.330 --> 03:29:56.830
Uh, Ms. Hewitson, is there anything from your angle that, uh,
3029
03:29:56.890 --> 03:29:59.070
you feel should be added to an action list?
3030
03:30:01.490 --> 03:30:02.323
No, thank you, sir.
3031
03:30:02.720 --> 03:30:03.553
Thank you. Then,
3032
03:30:07.860 --> 03:30:12.440
um, that then brings us to the close of this hearing, but I'm,
3033
03:30:12.540 --> 03:30:15.400
I'm gonna pick up on one point, um,
3034
03:30:15.890 --> 03:30:20.160
which goes back to the redaction issue that we were discussing earlier in the

3035
03:30:20.160 --> 03:30:23.400
day. Uh, at the preliminary meeting, uh, Mr.
3036

```
03:30:23.400 --> 03:30:27.840
Walker has written to a, as I understand it, a colleague, um,
3037
03:30:28.140 --> 03:30:28.973
in the inspector,
3038
03:30:29.060 --> 03:30:33.160
and we have got some clarification in respect of
3039
03:30:33.410 --> 03:30:37.280
hyperlinks and library documents. Uh,
3040
03:30:37.300 --> 03:30:41.200
and the clarification is yes, you can hyperlink,
3041
03:30:42.140 --> 03:30:46.760
uh, that seems to sit within the current instructions that
colleagues that do
3042
03:30:46.760 --> 03:30:47.593
redaction.
3043
03:30:47.980 --> 03:30:52.520
All I would say is by all means include any hyperlinks to library
3044
03:30:52.960 --> 03:30:53.793
reference documents,
3045
03:30:53.980 --> 03:30:58.440
but do not be surprised if when they do go through redaction
3046
03:30:59.240 --> 03:31:03.320
somebody perhaps in error takes them out because, um,
3047
03:31:04.140 --> 03:31:08.440
it does seem to be an, an area where there's a lot of confusion at
the moment,
3048
03:31:08.750 --> 03:31:10.760
just generally handing hyperlinks.
3049
```

```
03:31:10.980 --> 03:31:13.240
But as far as library documents are concerned,
3050
03:31:13.620 --> 03:31:17.160
if you feel you wish to put a blinking, put it in.
3051
03:31:17.340 --> 03:31:19.760
But I say I can't guarantee as I sit here today,
3052
03:31:20.100 --> 03:31:22.760
it will necessarily survive the redaction process.
3053
03:31:24.510 --> 03:31:25.720
Well, thank you very much. Sorry,
3054
03:31:25.780 --> 03:31:29.960
I'm amazed at the swift response for the planning inspector there.
Well,
3055
03:31:29.960 --> 03:31:30.960
perhaps I shouldn't be amazed.
3056
03:31:33.870 --> 03:31:37.520
Robo and C L D N, sir, thank you very much for that clarification
and indeed Mr.
3057
03:31:37.520 --> 03:31:40.600
Walker for procuring it. Um, that's very helpful. Uh,
3058
03:31:40.600 --> 03:31:44.120
there's one final quick matter, uh, uh, I'd like to raise, uh,
3059
03:31:44.120 --> 03:31:46.080
under a o b if I may Um,
3060
03:31:46.580 --> 03:31:51.480
and I think we'd just be grateful for the panel's guidance. Um, in
terms of the,
3061
03:31:52.140 --> 03:31:55.560
um, post, uh, uh, I s h submissions,
```

3062
03:31:55.560 --> 03:31:59.720
written submissions of oral cases made during today's hearing,
3063
03:32:00.270 --> 03:32:04.480
they are required, as you know, at Deadline One. Um, I,
3064
03:32:04.560 --> 03:32:07.360
I think it would be of great assistance, certainly to C R D M,
3065
03:32:07.360 --> 03:32:10.880
but I imagine other interested parties if you would be able to give some

3066
03:32:11.040 --> 03:32:16.040
guidance as to quite how detailed you'd wish the written submissions
3067
03:32:16.040 --> 03:32:19.080
of what we've said already today, to be not least,
3068
03:32:19.080 --> 03:32:24.000
because of course a lot of the discussion we've had about the draft D C 0, um,

3069
03:32:24.340 --> 03:32:27.360
Mr. Greenman has taken on board and we will wait to see what then happens. But,

3070
03:32:27.380 --> 03:32:27.920
you know,
3071
03:32:27.920 --> 03:32:32.200
I think the points have been made and understood by yourselves and by a b p and,

3072
03:32:32.420 --> 03:32:37.000
um, just the interest of minimizing, um, uh, uh, uh,
3073
03:32:37.300 --> 03:32:42.160
or rather focusing effort on where it's most productive. Um, if you,
3074
03:32:42.160 --> 03:32:45.200
if you would feel able to give some sort of guidance on how detailed you want

3075
03:32:45.500 --> 03:32:48.600
our submissions to be, that would be really helpful. Thank you.
3076
03:32:49.130 --> 03:32:50.800
Thank you, Mr. Owen. Yeah, there is,
3077
03:32:51.240 --> 03:32:56.200
I would suggest no real benefit in anybody,
3078
03:32:56.660 --> 03:32:57.493
um,
3079
03:32:59.760 --> 03:33:04.200
Regurgitating a lot of material that has already been made in relevant reps,

3080
03:33:04.940 --> 03:33:08.920
um, and or in, um, the procedural deadline, a submissions,
3081
03:33:08.920 --> 03:33:12.400
particularly those of you who have submitted pads. Uh, the,
3082
03:33:12.400 --> 03:33:15.920
the principle area of different summary statements, um,
3083
03:33:16.060 --> 03:33:18.400
really I think it's, it's,
3084
03:33:18.430 --> 03:33:22.400
it's key points that have arisen in discussion. Um,
3085
03:33:22.710 --> 03:33:27.320
perhaps some newer points and or more nuanced points that have
3086
03:33:27.320 --> 03:33:31.280
arisen during discussion today, um, where you need to,
3087
03:33:31.300 --> 03:33:34.440

```
to focus your efforts. Um,
3088
03:33:35.470 --> 03:33:37.680
because certainly from our perspective, it,
3089
03:33:37.740 --> 03:33:42.560
it doesn't help when we find that we start reading material and
actually we've
3090
03:33:42.560 --> 03:33:46.240
seen it once, twice, three times previously, somewhere else. Um,
3091
03:33:46.540 --> 03:33:51.320
if there is a particular point that you feel you just want
3092
03:33:51.340 --> 03:33:54.240
to highlight and it already exists in another document,
3093
03:33:54.910 --> 03:33:59.480
then just flag it up in the note that you, you produced, um, post-
hearing.
3094
03:34:00.070 --> 03:34:04.920
Does that help Mr. Ho Robo for C L D N?
3095
03:34:05.260 --> 03:34:09.120
Yes, sir. That does help. I'm grateful. Mr.
3096
03:34:09.120 --> 03:34:12.200
Greenwood is, is that a, a reasonable approach that, uh,
3097
03:34:12.200 --> 03:34:16.200
you'll be able to take from your perspective as well and perhaps
reduce some of
3098
03:34:16.200 --> 03:34:18.920
the burden, uh, on your side of the table? Uh,
3099
03:34:18.920 --> 03:34:22.080
Ryan Greenwell for a v p? That is exactly what I was thinking, sir.
3 1 0 0
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03:34:22.220 --> 03:34:23.400
I'm very grateful also,
3101
03:34:24.980 --> 03:34:29.360
Mr. Walker, I presume, uh, you're not going to, uh, take a country view? No,

3102
03:34:29.360 --> 03:34:30.193
Sir.
3103
03:34:30.260 --> 03:34:31.240
Uh, and Ms. Hutton?
3104
03:34:33.240 --> 03:34:34.580
Yes, that's fine with us. Thank you.
3105
03:34:41.210 --> 03:34:45.510
Are there any other housekeeping type matters before I formally close the

3106
03:34:45.510 --> 03:34:50.390
hearing from the applicant's side? No. No, sir. No. Mr. A?
3107
03:34:50.450 --> 03:34:51.830
No. Mr. Walker, anything?
3108
03:34:53.340 --> 03:34:55.350
Nothing further, sir. Thank you. Yes.
3109
03:34:55.860 --> 03:35:00.110
Okay. Well, thank you for your buoyance. We,
3110
03:35:00.170 --> 03:35:03.790
we have sat a little bit longer this afternoon, but I think it was useful, um,

3111
03:35:04.570 --> 03:35:08.870
to sit on a little bit longer. I would thank everybody for your contributions,

3112
03:35:10.090 --> 03:35:14.110
um, as is the normal recording and a written transcript, uh,

3113
03:35:14.210 --> 03:35:18.750
of the hearing will be made available as soon as possible, um,
3114
03:35:19.290 --> 03:35:21.790
via the, the website for this, this project.
3115
03:35:27.430 --> 03:35:28.870
I doubt. But I'll, I'll raise it.
3116
03:35:28.870 --> 03:35:33.270
If you've got any administrative type questions, uh, please raise them with Ms.

3117
03:35:33.340 --> 03:35:38.030
Robbins, um, rather than, um, either,
3118
03:35:38.330 --> 03:35:43.270
uh, Mr. Bradley, um, Mr. Harrison, or myself on the way out.
3119
03:35:44.250 --> 03:35:48.950
Um, thank you everybody for your attendance and this hearing is now closed.

3120
03:35:49.640 --> 03:35:50.230
Thank you.

