WEBVTT - This file was automatically generated by VIMEO 0 00:00:00.810 --> 00:00:04.980 Well, good afternoon everybody. It's now half past two. Um, 1 00:00:07.240 --> 00:00:09.700 and this issue, specific hearing issue, 2 00:00:09.940 --> 00:00:14.340 specific hearing one in relation to the application made by associated British 3 00:00:14.550 --> 00:00:19.140 ports for the proposed Ingham East RO terminal is now open. 4 00:00:20.120 --> 00:00:23.900 Uh, Ms. Robbins, can you confirm that live stream of the event is running? 5 00:00:24.720 --> 00:00:25.553 Yes. Yep. 6 00:00:27.050 --> 00:00:29.750 Um, can everybody in the room hear me? 7 00:00:31.410 --> 00:00:32.243 Yep. 8 00:00:34.080 --> 00:00:35.500 Uh, my name is Graham Gould. 9 00:00:35.560 --> 00:00:38.820 I'm a charter down planner and I'm an examine inspector with the planning 10 00:00:38.820 --> 00:00:39.653 inspector. 11 00:00:40.010 --> 00:00:44.100 I've been appointed by the Secretary of State to be the lead member of the panel

12 00:00:44.110 --> 00:00:48.140 comprising the examining authority that will be examining the, 13 00:00:48.500 --> 00:00:53.330 examining this application. Now, 14 00:00:53.330 --> 00:00:56.050 I'll ask my colleagues to introduce themselves, Mr. Bradley. 15 00:00:58.070 --> 00:01:02.090 My name is Steven Bradley. I'm an examining inspector and a member of this, uh, 16 00:01:02.690 --> 00:01:03.523 examining authority 17 00:01:07.620 --> 00:01:10.410 sound team. I'm not quite sure what this, uh, buzzing is. 18 00:01:21.380 --> 00:01:23.130 Soundcheck? No, it's not the camera. 19 00:01:34.310 --> 00:01:37.930 Uh, whilst we're waiting for the technology, um, uh, good afternoon. 20 00:01:38.190 --> 00:01:39.330 My name is Mark Harrison. 21 00:01:39.990 --> 00:01:43.210 I'm a chartered town planner and an examining inspector. 22 00:01:43.880 --> 00:01:46.930 I've also been appointed as a panel member of the xa. 23 00:01:54.790 --> 00:01:57.980 Thank you. At this point, I'd also like to introduce, um, 24 00:01:58.000 --> 00:02:01.740 the members of the planning specialist case team who are supporting us today.

25 00:02:02.440 --> 00:02:07.180 You may have already spoken with, uh, Ms. Lily Robbins, who's the case manager, 26 00:02:08.360 --> 00:02:12.300 uh, and Spencer Barman, who's a case officer here this afternoon. In addition, 27 00:02:12.560 --> 00:02:16.900 um, there are, uh, two sound technicians, stroke visual, um, 28 00:02:18.010 --> 00:02:19.900 tech, audio visual technicians here. 29 00:02:20.410 --> 00:02:24.340 They are assisting with the broadcast of the live stream, 30 00:02:25.280 --> 00:02:27.140 um, and also, um, 31 00:02:27.400 --> 00:02:32.340 the management of anybody that's participating online. Um, 32 00:02:32.760 --> 00:02:35.860 if at any stage you see us talking to either those gentlemen, 33 00:02:35.910 --> 00:02:40.180 it'll purely be in relation to technical matters. Uh, and that is all. 34 $00:03:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.480$ Sound check. Sound check. 35 00:03:18.920 --> 00:03:19.970 Okay. Sound check now. 36 00:03:20.630 --> 00:03:21.463 Yes. 37 00:03:41.810 --> 00:03:44.080 Sound check again. Yes. Thank you very much.

38 00:03:50.840 --> 00:03:55.060 Uh, before we move on to discuss the agenda items, um, 39 00:03:55.070 --> 00:03:58.580 there are a few housekeeping matters. Um, 40 00:03:58.880 - > 00:04:01.100I'm afraid this is gonna get a little bit repetitive. 41 00:04:01.420 --> 00:04:04.340 I went through most of these this morning, but of course, we, 42 00:04:04.620 --> 00:04:08.380 I think we do have, um, the Environment Agency online this afternoon. 43 00:04:09.320 --> 00:04:12.580 I'm not sure whether there's an anybody sitting at tables who are different, 44 00:04:12.580 --> 00:04:16.420 but anyway, I'll try and keep it as brief as possible if you would bear with me, 45 00:04:16.560 --> 00:04:19.180 um, if you've kind of heard some of this before, 46 00:04:22.800 --> 00:04:27.300 uh, toilets are to our right or my right through the door. Um, 47 00:04:27.400 --> 00:04:29.940 if the fire alarm should sound, uh, 48 00:04:30.040 --> 00:04:34.380 we will have to evacuate because there are no tests planned for today. Uh, 49 00:04:34.440 --> 00:04:37.820 we should gather out in the car part and then await for instructions from the

50 00:04:37.820 --> 00:04:41.380 hotel staff as to when it's safe to reenter the building. 51 00:04:46.800 --> 00:04:51.180 Um, the hearing this afternoon is being undertaken both in person and with some 52 00:04:51.180 - > 00:04:55.140pointed parties joining us online. Uh, 53 00:04:55.190 --> 00:04:58.580 we'll make sure that anybody that is attending online, um, 54 00:04:58.640 --> 00:05:03.140 has a fair opportunity to participate. Uh, the hearing is, 55 00:05:03.160 --> 00:05:05.060 is being live streamed and recorded. 56 00:05:09.830 --> 00:05:13.570 Um, for those participating online, 57 00:05:13.700 --> 00:05:18.130 could you please ensure, um, that you have devices and, 58 00:05:18.190 --> 00:05:22.770 and extraneous noise, um, controlled so that that doesn't, um, 59 00:05:22.880 --> 00:05:24.850 come through, uh, when you are speaking. 60 00:05:30.480 --> 00:05:33.460 Um, in terms of online participation, 61 00:05:33.880 --> 00:05:36.020 if and when you wish to speak to any item, 62 00:05:36.680 --> 00:05:41.620 can you just use the raise hand function in teams and either

00:05:41.820 --> 00:05:46.180 I or one of my colleagues or the case team? Uh, we'll make sure that, uh, 64 00:05:46.760 --> 00:05:49.060 the examining authority picks up that request and, 65 00:05:49.160 --> 00:05:52.020 and we'll bring you in at an appropriate time in the discussion. 66 00:05:57.420 --> 00:05:59.680 Um, I would remind everybody that there is, 67 00:05:59.960 --> 00:06:04.880 although there is a chat function in teams that has been disabled for the 68 00:06:04.880 --> 00:06:06.040 purposes of this hearing, 69 00:06:09.800 --> 00:06:11.440 a recording of today's hearing, uh, 70 00:06:11.440 --> 00:06:16.200 will be available on the website for this project hosted by the planning 71 00:06:16.350 --> 00:06:17.920 spectra. Um, 72 00:06:17.940 --> 00:06:21.880 and that recording will be posted as soon as practical following the hearing's. 73 00:06:21.880 --> 00:06:26.280 Conclusion, uh, with that in mind, 74 00:06:26.280 --> 00:06:30.640 would everybody please ensure that you speak clearly into a microphone stating 75 00:06:30.670 --> 00:06:35.560

your name, who you are representing each time, uh, you speak. 76 00:06:38.360 --> 00:06:40.540 Um, I don't think this is gonna apply, 77 00:06:40.640 --> 00:06:44.420 but if there is anybody that will be speaking later on this afternoon that is 78 00:06:44.420 --> 00:06:46.620 not sitting at the table, um, 79 00:06:46.620 --> 00:06:49.860 there is a roving mic that can be made available so that you can be heard by 80 00:06:49.860 --> 00:06:51.060 everybody. And 81 00:06:56.750 --> 00:06:59.890 If anyone wishes to use social media report, 82 00:06:59.960 --> 00:07:04.690 film or record today's hearing or any subsequent hearing that, that is fine. 83 00:07:05.230 --> 00:07:08.130 But please do that in a responsible manner, um, 84 00:07:08.310 --> 00:07:11.210 so that it does not become disruptive, uh, to the running the hearing. 85 00:07:13.470 --> 00:07:16.530 We aim to keep the hearing focused that it can be conducted efficiently. 86 00:07:18.670 --> 00:07:21.650 It should be noted that the early discussion of the draft, uh, 87 00:07:21.650 --> 00:07:26.170 development consent order should not be taken and as an indication

88 00:07:26.400 --> 00:07:30.770 that the examining authority has already reached a view about what its 89 00:07:30.770 --> 00:07:32.730 recommendation to the Secretary State will be. 90 00:07:37.310 --> 00:07:40.490 All information concerning the application, um, 91 00:07:41.030 --> 00:07:45.650 is available or will be available on the INSPECTORATES webpage, uh, 92 00:07:45.650 --> 00:07:46.483 for this project. 93 00:07:47.550 --> 00:07:51.730 And we strongly encourage everybody to familiarize yourself, um, 94 00:07:52.080 --> 00:07:54.450 with the information that's available on that website. 95 00:07:56.550 --> 00:08:01.530 I'm gonna quickly, um, now talk about, uh, G D P R, although, um, 96 00:08:02.110 --> 00:08:04.130 as I said this morning at the preliminary meeting, 97 00:08:04.570 --> 00:08:08.650 I think given the nature of the participation certainly in the room, 98 00:08:08.950 --> 00:08:13.850 and I would think also as far as the Environment Agency is concerned, 99 00:08:13.990 --> 00:08:16.970 uh, through their online participation, um, 100 00:08:17.410 --> 00:08:19.410 G D P R should not be too much of an issue,

101 00:08:19.510 --> 00:08:23.930 but just be wary of saying anything of a vague personal nature that you would 102 00:08:23.930 --> 00:08:25.330 not wish, uh, to be 103 00:08:26.920 --> 00:08:31.370 Said in a public arena that then is recorded and published thereafter. 104 00:08:38.940 --> 00:08:39.160 Uh, 105 00:08:39.160 --> 00:08:43.040 please bear in mind that the only official recording of today's hearing will be 106 00:08:43.040 --> 00:08:46.280 that, that the inspector posts on the website for this project. 107 00:08:52.330 --> 00:08:52.790 And again, 108 00:08:52.790 --> 00:08:56.320 I would remind everybody that at each occasion that you speak during the course 109 00:08:56.340 --> 00:08:59.920 of the hearing, you give your name, the party you are representing. 110 00:09:06.270 --> 00:09:10.340 Right. Gonna hand over to Mr. Bradley for a few other introductory remarks. 111 00:09:11.900 --> 00:09:13.380 I think just for the, uh, the, 112 00:09:13.380 --> 00:09:16.700 the form and indeed because we have different participation, uh,

113 00:09:16.700 --> 00:09:18.980 could we just quickly go around the room, uh, 114 00:09:19.280 --> 00:09:22.620 for those who will be speaking this afternoon? Can we start with Mr. Greenwood? 115 00:09:23.950 - > 00:09:27.260Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood for Associated British Reports. 116 00:09:28.670 --> 00:09:31.020 Thank you. And Mr. 117 00:09:34.110 --> 00:09:37.060 Thank you, sir. Uh, Robbie Owen, partner of the firm, 118 00:09:37.440 --> 00:09:41.260 Pinton Masons for C L D N Ports Killing Home Limited 119 00:09:43.440 --> 00:09:44.273 And round the room. 120 00:09:46.050 --> 00:09:47.260 Good afternoon. Um, 121 00:09:47.390 --> 00:09:51.940 Angus Walker from B D b Pitman's Solicitors representing D F D S, 122 00:09:51.980 --> 00:09:55.220 Seaway's, P L C or D F D S for short. 123 00:09:55.590 --> 00:09:56.423 Thank you. 124 00:09:57.530 --> 00:10:01.540 Good afternoon, sir. Victoria Hutton of council. That's uz, uh, 125 00:10:01.940 --> 00:10:03.820 representing the Harbor Master for the Humber.

00:10:05.910 --> 00:10:10.500 Thank you. Is there anybody else that whos voice we should recognize? 127 00:10:11.080 --> 00:10:15.300 Is there anybody at the back of the room he may wish to speak later on? 128 00:10:16.200 --> 00:10:17.380 No. Okay. 129 00:10:19.270 --> 00:10:20.290 Uh, Ms. Robbins, 130 00:10:20.350 --> 00:10:24.530 is there any further update as to whether or not I I 0 0T are going to be 131 00:10:24.530 --> 00:10:28.290 present? Uh, they certainly indicated they were going to be present. 132 00:10:30.480 --> 00:10:31.313 0kay. 133 00:10:35.800 --> 00:10:39.650 Good. I'll pass back to Mr. Gould. Now we can move on. I think, 134 00:10:46.370 --> 00:10:49.470 Um, I'm aware that we have a, 135 00:10:49.570 --> 00:10:52.190 an officer from the Environment Agency online. 136 00:10:55.880 --> 00:10:57.390 Could we just ask, uh, 137 00:10:57.580 --> 00:11:02.510 that environment officer to introduce yourself and how 138 00:11:02.510 --> 00:11:03.470 you would like to be addressed?

139 00:11:06.420 --> 00:11:08.910 Good afternoon, sir. My name is Annette Hewitson, 140 00:11:10.830 --> 00:11:15.590 representing the Environment Agency. Um, and I'm a mo Thank you. 141 00:11:16.600 --> 00:11:17.470 Thank you. And welcome. 142 00:11:24.240 --> 00:11:29.060 Uh, Ms. Robbins. Are there any other online attendees? No. 143 00:11:42.740 --> 00:11:46.610 Right. Turning to agenda item two, which is the agenda and the purpose meeting, 144 00:11:48.510 --> 00:11:49.890 or hearing, I should say. Sorry, 145 00:11:52.520 --> 00:11:57.040 the hearing will follow the agenda as, um, issued by the, um, 146 00:11:57.040 --> 00:12:01.560 inspector on the 14th of July. Um, 147 00:12:01.660 --> 00:12:06.280 it will be helpful if you have a copy of that agenda in front of you. Um, 148 00:12:06.300 --> 00:12:08.480 and can I ask for it to be displayed on screen please? 149 00:12:21.580 --> 00:12:22.413 Thank you. 150 00:12:33.060 --> 00:12:36.480 The examination of the application is primarily, uh, 151 00:12:37.800 --> 00:12:40.280 a written process, um,

152 00:12:42.260 --> 00:12:45.880 as we detailed this morning in the preliminary meeting. However, 153 00:12:46.020 --> 00:12:49.680 the purpose of of this hearing, uh, 154 00:12:50.020 --> 00:12:53.480 it is to enable the examining authority to raise questions specifically about 155 00:12:53.480 --> 00:12:58.280 some drafting points and the justification of articles requirements and 156 00:12:58.280 --> 00:13:02.400 other provisions included in the applicant's draft, uh, development, 157 00:13:02.400 --> 00:13:06.720 consent order, um, and also to seek any clarifying information, 158 00:13:08.340 --> 00:13:12.920 um, that we, we think can be better conveyed orally than, um, 159 00:13:12.940 --> 00:13:14.080 rather than in writing. 160 00:13:19.010 --> 00:13:22.600 There may also be some action points arising from the discussion this afternoon, 161 00:13:23.460 - > 00:13:27.520uh, which again, uh, we, we feel will be better dealt with. Um, 162 00:13:27.520 --> 00:13:28.480 having heard the, 163 00:13:28.480 --> 00:13:32.600 the cases of the various parties about elements of the order or the draft order. 164 00:13:33.500 --> 00:13:37.600

Um, rather than everything being done in writing at this, this stage, 165 00:13:39.980 --> 00:13:44.800 Our questions will be directed either at the applicant or other ipss with the 166 00:13:45.040 --> 00:13:45.590 opposing parties, 167 00:13:45.590 --> 00:13:49.120 then being given the opportunity to comment on the answers given by the other 168 00:13:49.190 --> 00:13:53.720 side. For that reason, 169 00:13:53.940 --> 00:13:57.840 we may wish to inquire in greater or lesser detail, uh, 170 00:13:57.940 --> 00:14:02.440 in respect of agenda items depending on the, the answers that we receive. 171 00:14:04.700 --> 00:14:09.560 Um, it's also important to note that if for any reason there are matters that we 172 00:14:09.560 --> 00:14:14.440 decide not to discuss on the agenda this afternoon, um, 173 00:14:15.510 - > 00:14:18.400that does not mean that those, those matters are not important. 174 00:14:18.460 --> 00:14:21.640 It just may well be that we, we've taken the view, um, 175 00:14:21.640 --> 00:14:25.720 that we don't need to pursue any oral questioning in regard to those matters. 176 00:14:44.460 --> 00:14:47.710

When the applicant or other ips are answering, um, 177 00:14:47.850 --> 00:14:49.350 the examinee author's questions, 178 00:14:50.050 --> 00:14:54.200 we would ask that you ensure you provide succinct answers, uh, 179 00:14:54.250 --> 00:14:57.840 where a question is deserving of a yes no type answer. 180 00:14:58.610 --> 00:15:03.360 Would you then, uh, respond accordingly and then provide any, 181 00:15:03.580 --> 00:15:05.480 um, amplification as necessary? 182 00:15:08.940 --> 00:15:13.160 Um, failing to answer a question is likely to result in us asking it again. 183 00:15:14.060 --> 00:15:17.520 Um, so trying to evade the question probably won't get very far. 184 00:15:21.820 --> 00:15:24.800 Um, I would also ask that you try and avoid 185 00:15:26.760 --> 00:15:29.600 anticipating what the next question might be. 186 00:15:29.710 - > 00:15:33.960It's always best to answer the question that's actually being put. Um, 187 00:15:34.200 --> 00:15:36.800 'cause sometimes anticipating the next question can, 188 00:15:36.940 --> 00:15:40.680 can lead to more difficulty, Um, 189 00:15:40.950 --> 00:15:43.080

depending on how things are progressing. Um, 190 00:15:43.780 --> 00:15:48.000 we will probably take a short mid-afternoon break at a convenient point. 191 00:15:53.790 --> 00:15:57.690 Um, before we head into agenda item three, 192 00:15:58.740 --> 00:16:01.370 which is the discussion of, of the draft order, 193 00:16:01.950 --> 00:16:05.570 are there any sort of procedural housekeeping matters that anybody wishes to 194 00:16:05.650 --> 00:16:07.250 raise at this point for the applicant? 195 00:16:08.870 --> 00:16:09.703 No, sir. No. 196 00:16:11.150 --> 00:16:11.983 Mr. Owen? 197 00:16:12.590 --> 00:16:13.040 No. 198 00:16:13.040 --> 00:16:18.010 Nothing for Mr. Walker. Nothing. Okay. Thank you. Um, Ms. Hewitson, 199 00:16:18.070 --> 00:16:20.250 are you content with the procedural side of things? 200 00:16:23.790 --> 00:16:26.880 Annette Hewitson for the Environment Agency? Yes. Thank you, sir. 201 00:16:37.640 --> 00:16:42.140 For agenda item three, I'm primarily going to be leading with the questions.

00:16:42.640 --> 00:16:42.960 Uh, 203 00:16:42.960 --> 00:16:47.740 it would be helpful if everybody has got a copy of the draft order in front, 204 00:16:48.720 --> 00:16:50.420 um, the explanatory memorandum. 205 00:16:51.240 --> 00:16:54.340 We may need to look at the application drawings, 206 00:16:54.340 --> 00:16:58.140 but I suspect we're going to be mainly focusing on the draft order and the 207 00:16:58.140 --> 00:17:01.540 explanatory memorandum. But amongst, um, 208 00:17:02.410 --> 00:17:04.980 everybody that's going to be actively participating, 209 00:17:05.200 --> 00:17:09.380 are there any other documents that you feel we should have to hand Mr. 210 00:17:09.380 --> 00:17:10.080 Greenwood, 211 00:17:10.080 --> 00:17:14.900 Uh, Brian Greenwood for, uh, a b p, sir? Uh, if it would assist, 212 00:17:15.200 --> 00:17:16.033 uh, 213 00:17:16.080 --> 00:17:20.340 you've asked a number of questions I was going to suggest I can offer, 214 00:17:20.520 --> 00:17:23.140 and I'm obviously in the X a's hands,

215 00:17:23.600 --> 00:17:27.420 we could put the clean copy of the D C O on screen. 216 00:17:28.240 --> 00:17:33.060 And I suspect you like this exercise to be very much a signposting exercise 217 00:17:33.160 --> 00:17:38.140 as well as answering your specific questions. I could take you through, uh, 218 00:17:38.360 --> 00:17:39.740 not in detail of drafting, 219 00:17:39.800 --> 00:17:43.660 but take you through those changes we are proposing to make and which you will 220 00:17:43.660 --> 00:17:48.460 see next week. Just so you are forewarned, if that would assist in so doing, 221 00:17:48.520 --> 00:17:48.740 we 222 00:17:48.740 --> 00:17:50.640 Would pick up all of your questions, of course, 223 00:17:53.790 --> 00:17:57.760 That that may be of assistance because there might be certain questions that 224 00:17:57.760 --> 00:18:02.440 I've got on my list that you will in effect preempt. Um, 225 00:18:02.780 --> 00:18:05.560 and therefore we avoid the need, um, 226 00:18:05.740 --> 00:18:10.520 to actually look at those questions that I had. Um, so yeah,

227 00:18:10.540 --> 00:18:13.720 if we can ask then for the draft order, 228 00:18:14.020 --> 00:18:17.880 that's a p p 0 1 3 to be put up on screen, 229 00:18:18.780 --> 00:18:21.120 but generally those particularly sitting around the table, 230 00:18:21.520 --> 00:18:26.200 I guess you've got copies of the order, um, to hand. 231 00:18:27.220 --> 00:18:29.760 And, um, Ms. Huson, 232 00:18:29.800 --> 00:18:33.000 I presume you've got a copy of the order fairly readily to hand as well? 233 00:18:36.990 --> 00:18:38.200 That is correct, sir. Yes. 234 00:18:38.310 --> 00:18:39.143 Yeah, good. 235 00:18:45.790 --> 00:18:48.080 What, what we had intended to do, uh, Mr. 236 00:18:48.080 --> 00:18:51.480 Greenwood is ask you in effect to give a, an explanation of, 237 00:18:51.620 --> 00:18:56.280 of your approach to the drafting of the order and the explanatory memorandum. 238 00:18:56.940 --> 00:19:01.920 Um, so if you'd like to lead off in effect, in a kindness summary, um, 239 00:19:02.390 --> 00:19:06.040 then if you want to take us through the drafting changes that,

240 00:19:07.460 --> 00:19:11.720 um, have been made or are in the process of being made, and then we'll, 241 00:19:11.730 --> 00:19:16.000 we'll come to my questions. Yep. If everybody's content with that. Mr. Owen, 242 00:19:16.090 --> 00:19:16.923 sorry, just before you, 243 00:19:17.060 --> 00:19:21.000 Robbie Owen for C L D N. Um, so if I could just, uh, 244 00:19:21.430 --> 00:19:26.360 make this point that before we come on to discuss particular 245 00:19:26.600 --> 00:19:30.440 articles in the draft, uh, D C O, uh, 246 00:19:30.670 --> 00:19:35.240 just as you've asked for the applicant to explain its approach to the drafting 247 00:19:35.240 --> 00:19:38.720 the D C O and the expansion memorandum, uh, 248 00:19:38.720 --> 00:19:41.800 certainly for C L D N, uh, I, 249 00:19:41.920 --> 00:19:46.280 I would appreciate the opportunity to give some general, uh, 250 00:19:46.280 --> 00:19:48.000 to give a general response, um, 251 00:19:48.100 --> 00:19:52.960 in terms of how we see the approach to the drafting the D C O, um, because,

252

00:19:53.220 --> 00:19:53.800 uh, I, 253 00:19:53.800 --> 00:19:58.440 I think that may assist and that'll be a general statement with a few 254 00:19:58.840 --> 00:19:59.460 examples. 255 00:19:59.460 --> 00:20:04.200 It won't be specific going through articles because that obviously comes later. 256 00:20:04.420 --> 00:20:04.840 But, uh, 257 00:20:04.840 --> 00:20:08.760 if there's an opportunity for us to respond in general terms to the overall 258 00:20:09.000 --> 00:20:12.490 approach to the drafting D C O, I'd appreciate that. Thank 259 00:20:12.490 --> 00:20:15.610 You. That sounds very easy. Mr. Walker, would you like the same opportunity? 260 00:20:16.630 --> 00:20:19.090 Uh, thank you sir. Angus Walker for D F T S? 261 $00:20:19.210 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.010$ I don't think we have any general points. I I would just ask that I, 262 00:20:23.170 --> 00:20:26.650 I note you have on the agenda some particular articles are mentioned. 263 00:20:27.230 --> 00:20:29.210 We have some points on other articles. 264 00:20:29.210 --> 00:20:32.490

Shall we just indicate in between the, 265 00:20:32.490 --> 00:20:34.490 your points that we want to raise them? 266 00:20:35.390 --> 00:20:39.690 Uh, yeah, I think we'll see how we're going. I I should have said in opening, 267 00:20:40.190 --> 00:20:41.023 um, 268 00:20:44.920 --> 00:20:49.440 What we've identified may look a bit random, a lot of, uh, 269 00:20:49.440 --> 00:20:52.840 the reason why, particularly in a hearing, um, well, 270 00:20:52.840 --> 00:20:57.800 certainly my approach is I'm always looking to fill in the gaps in terms of what 271 00:20:57.880 --> 00:21:02.760 I do or, or really don't understand from what I've seen in writing. Um, 272 00:21:03.060 --> 00:21:07.400 so that's why cer certain things might look, um, 273 00:21:08.140 --> 00:21:11.200 as I say, a little bit random. Um, but yeah, I'm, 274 00:21:11.340 --> 00:21:14.800 I'm content and I think my colleagues will be content that, um, 275 00:21:15.740 --> 00:21:18.000 if we'd start with the summary from the applicant, 276 00:21:18.390 --> 00:21:22.360 then we'll we'll go around the table in terms of if there are any ob obvious

277 00:21:22.360 --> 00:21:27.200 observations either, um, that Mr. Ion wishes to make or you Mr. 278 00:21:27.200 --> 00:21:31.920 Walker wish to make depending on what you hear. Um, but then yeah, if, if, 279 00:21:31.980 --> 00:21:35.000 if there's something obvious in an article, uh, and, 280 00:21:35.060 --> 00:21:38.160 and if I've gone say 10 to 20, but you wanted to say something about 15, 281 00:21:38.160 --> 00:21:40.800 then by all means thank you, chip in. Thank 282 00:21:40.800 --> 00:21:43.640 You, sir. And can I just double check through you that the, 283 00:21:43.740 --> 00:21:48.400 the version we're looking at is the application version rather than a subsequent 284 00:21:49.150 --> 00:21:50.560 amendment to it. Thank you. 285 00:21:50.830 --> 00:21:54.240 Yeah, certainly. I'm only working to an applicant 'cause that's all I've seen. 286 00:21:56.100 --> 00:22:00.680 And, um, similarly for the, the Harbor Authority, are you content to, 287 00:22:00.680 --> 00:22:01.840 to work on that sort of basis? 288 00:22:02.340 --> 00:22:04.800 Yes, uh, uh, Victoria Hartson Harbor Authority? Yes. 289

00:22:05.300 --> 00:22:06.800 And, uh, Ms. Heon, 290 00:22:07.180 --> 00:22:11.440 are there any particular aspects of the order from the Environment Agency's 291 00:22:11.440 --> 00:22:14.080 perspective that you'd like to focus on 292 00:22:16.720 --> 00:22:20.940 And hewitson for the Environment Agency? No, sir. There are no specific items, 293 00:22:21.050 --> 00:22:24.180 sort of over and above those we've made in our relevant representations. 294 00:22:24.330 --> 00:22:28.100 I'll just sort of join in if you require any clarification of, 295 00:22:28.120 --> 00:22:30.460 of the points that we've made. Thank you. 296 00:22:31.440 --> 00:22:34.060 Please, uh, feel free to chip in, um, 297 00:22:34.320 --> 00:22:39.140 at a point if it's of a concern or interest to the agency, 298 00:22:39.840 --> 00:22:40.673 um, 299 00:22:42.840 --> 00:22:46.660 you are suppose slightly at a disadvantage because you are the only participant 300 00:22:46.660 --> 00:22:51.060 online and it's easy for us to forget that you are there. Um, 301 00:22:51.160 --> 00:22:54.700 so make you do, make sure that, uh, you indicate and,

302 00:22:54.760 --> 00:22:57.020 and we will try and bring you in at an appropriate time. 303 00:22:58.260 --> 00:22:59.140 I will, sir. Thank you. 304 00:23:01.850 --> 00:23:03.350 Mr. Greenwood. If you'd like to, 305 00:23:03.670 --> 00:23:08.110 I think the best way is then if you summarize the approach to drafting, 306 00:23:08.970 --> 00:23:12.990 um, and then I think we'll, we'll come to Mr. Owen and any points that he's got. 307 00:23:13.850 --> 00:23:18.550 And then if you pick up, uh, where changes are, are likely, uh, to be made, 308 00:23:18.940 --> 00:23:21.110 does that seem a, a good way forward? 309 00:23:21.600 --> 00:23:25.830 Thank you, sir. I, I wonder whether it might be more benefit if Mr. Owen, 310 00:23:26.570 --> 00:23:29.830 uh, heard what I was going to say, uh, and when he, 311 00:23:29.940 --> 00:23:34.310 when I've concluded going through the D C O, um, I may have, uh, 312 00:23:34.980 --> 00:23:37.390 covered some of the points you wanted to make, but I, I'm, 313 00:23:37.450 --> 00:23:39.390 I'm in your hands in Mr. Owen's hands,

00:23:41.410 --> 00:23:44.830 Uh, Robbie Owens, c r d n. Uh, I, I think the, 315 00:23:44.830 --> 00:23:47.920 The points I wanted to make are some general observations that sort of 316 00:23:47.920 --> 00:23:51.840 cross-cutting points, uh, that pervade through the D C O. 317 00:23:51.860 --> 00:23:56.200 So I think it would be helpful for the hearing to, um, 318 00:23:56.430 --> 00:24:00.560 receive those points, uh, uh, at the stage that we discussed five minutes ago, 319 00:24:00.560 --> 00:24:03.680 rather than right at the end, as I think Mr. Greenwood might be suggesting. 320 00:24:06.630 --> 00:24:07.463 Okay. I think 321 00:24:08.940 --> 00:24:10.360 I'm, I'm perfectly happy. Yeah, 322 00:24:10.420 --> 00:24:10.650 Let, 323 00:24:10.650 --> 00:24:15.120 let's go through a summary of what was the general approach before you started 324 00:24:15.120 --> 00:24:19.840 looking at amendment. Once you've done that summary, uh, we'll ask Mr. 325 00:24:19.870 --> 00:24:24.480 Owen, uh, for any observations he's got, then we'll turn to, uh, 326 00:24:25.220 --> 00:24:29.320

the evolution or, uh, what is likely to be the evolution of the order, 327 00:24:31.460 --> 00:24:32.293 if you'd like to. 328 00:24:32.730 --> 00:24:36.040 Thank you sir. Brian Greenwood for a b p, sir, 329 00:24:36.100 --> 00:24:39.880 to begin on a positive and collaborative note, uh, Mr. 330 00:24:39.880 --> 00:24:41.920 Walker in particular, and also Mr. 331 00:24:42.030 --> 00:24:46.440 Owen have offered some very helpful comments on the drafting of the D C O, uh, 332 00:24:46.620 --> 00:24:48.200 one, two quite detailed notes. 333 00:24:48.500 --> 00:24:51.240 And I'm happy to say that nearly all of them we have in fact, 334 00:24:51.240 --> 00:24:55.800 incorporated and accepted. So, uh, I'm very happy with that. Uh, 335 00:24:55.860 --> 00:25:00.440 so in terms of drafting, uh, the draft D C o, 336 00:25:01.330 --> 00:25:04.680 we've been conscious of two elements really. 337 00:25:05.140 --> 00:25:09.880 The first is there are not many port dcos. So again, 338 00:25:10.060 --> 00:25:12.840 um, with a certain amount of thanks. Um, 339 00:25:13.260 --> 00:25:18.240

the two principle dcos that we have looked at are first one drafted by Mr. 340 00:25:18.240 --> 00:25:23.160 Walker a few years back for the, uh, Abel Marine Energy Park, 341 00:25:23.440 --> 00:25:27.400 D C O, and then most recently, one drafted by Mr. Owen, uh, 342 00:25:27.540 --> 00:25:28.920 for the Tilbury two project. 343 00:25:29.220 --> 00:25:33.200 And a lot of the provisions in those two dcos we have in fact 344 00:25:33.520 --> 00:25:38.280 incorporated the difficulty we have had in 345 00:25:38.950 --> 00:25:41.080 framing this D C o, sir, 346 00:25:41.420 --> 00:25:45.920 is a question of timing and delivery because, uh, 347 00:25:46.660 --> 00:25:51.400 whilst it may appear at the outset, a very simple, straightforward project, 348 00:25:52.210 --> 00:25:57.120 three new births in the marine environment associated development or within 349 00:25:57.180 --> 00:26:02.040 the port, the marine environment development, um, is, 350 00:26:02.100 --> 00:26:06.800 is a, a difficult area to satisfy the necessary regulators. 351 00:26:07.180 --> 00:26:11.240 So, for example, if you've looked, uh, in detail at the draft, uh, 352

00:26:11.980 --> 00:26:14.000 marine license, um, 353 00:26:14.820 --> 00:26:19.080 we are in fact having to accept a number of restrictions. 354 00:26:19.620 --> 00:26:21.920 Uh, I will come to it in more detail when we, 355 00:26:21.920 --> 00:26:26.040 when we go through or act in appropriate time later. But for example, 356 00:26:26.180 --> 00:26:28.560 we have agreed, uh, that, uh, 357 00:26:28.560 --> 00:26:32.600 there will be a restriction between the 1st of October and the 31st of March 358 00:26:32.670 --> 00:26:36.800 inclusive that there will be no marine construction activity 359 00:26:37.190 --> 00:26:41.200 located within 200 meters of the intertidal mudflat. 360 00:26:41.500 --> 00:26:45.000 And this is so that the, that the birds on the mud flat are not disturbed. 361 00:26:45.380 --> 00:26:48.320 So that is still part of a pending negotiation, 362 00:26:48.780 --> 00:26:53.200 but what we have had to do in framing the D C O is ensure 363 00:26:54.030 --> 00:26:57.880 that we can receive the necessary authorization, 364 00:26:58.060 --> 00:27:02.840 the necessary powers to construct the development while

365 00:27:02.970 --> 00:27:07.480 still delivering it within an appropriate timeframe. And, uh, 366 00:27:08.460 --> 00:27:12.760 in full compliance with the restrictions that are being proposed, suggested, 367 00:27:13.140 --> 00:27:14.800 and currently being negotiated, 368 00:27:15.540 --> 00:27:20.160 in particular with Natural England and the Marine Management organization. 369 00:27:21.910 --> 00:27:26.690 And sir, you will see that there are various parts of the draft order, 370 00:27:27.230 --> 00:27:28.063 uh, 371 00:27:28.320 --> 00:27:33.010 that to go to means whereby we can speed the 372 00:27:33.210 --> 00:27:37.410 delivery process whilst at the same time, uh, 373 00:27:37.640 --> 00:27:40.730 keeping in compliance with the wishes of the regulators. 374 00:27:41.870 - > 00:27:45.450So I think that's as far as I need go at this stage, 375 00:27:45.550 --> 00:27:48.610 unless there are any specific questions. And if you could hear from Mr. 376 00:27:48.640 --> 00:27:52.250 Owen and then I'll take you through as a signposting exercise. 377 00:27:54.500 --> 00:27:56.290

Thank you, Mr. Greenman. Mr. Owen, 378 00:27:58.150 --> 00:28:00.130 Uh, thank you sir. Rob Owen for C L D M 379 00:28:03.070 --> 00:28:03.630 Ss, 380 00:28:03.630 --> 00:28:08.610 Sir, in summary, uh, in terms of our overall observations on the, uh, 381 00:28:08.610 --> 00:28:09.890 draft development consent order, 382 00:28:09.990 --> 00:28:14.650 we do have serious concerns that it has not been drafted with 383 00:28:14.800 --> 00:28:19.730 appropriate, uh, rigor or precision, uh, uh, or with 384 00:28:22.570 --> 00:28:24.610 adequate regard to current advice and guidance. 385 00:28:28.110 --> 00:28:28.550 Uh, 386 00:28:28.550 --> 00:28:32.850 Mr. Greenwood referred to The two previous, uh, 387 $00:28:32.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.490$ port Dcos. Uh, 388 00:28:34.610 --> 00:28:38.090 I believe actually there was also another one for the Yorkshire Potash scheme, 389 00:28:38.150 --> 00:28:39.730 but be that as it may, uh, 390 00:28:39.730 --> 00:28:44.290

and I was indeed responsible for the most recent of those dcos for the expansion 391 00:28:44.290 --> 00:28:47.890 of the Port of Tilbury for the Tilbury two scheme. But the, the, 392 00:28:47.890 --> 00:28:52.530 the points I'm about to make are more general good practice points relating to 393 00:28:53.150 --> 00:28:55.090 the drafting of development consent orders and, 394 00:28:55.090 --> 00:28:59.170 and they're not harbor specific d c o points. So I, 395 00:28:59.250 --> 00:29:01.090 I don't think there's anything really much in what Mr. 396 00:29:01.090 --> 00:29:03.810 Green was said in that respect about there being, uh, 397 00:29:03.920 --> 00:29:06.130 very few harbor dcos. 398 00:29:08.700 --> 00:29:11.370 We've got a number of concerns, and I'll just give some examples in a moment. 399 00:29:11.910 --> 00:29:13.970 Um, but, but in summary, it, 400 00:29:13.970 --> 00:29:17.450 it word development consent to be granted in the form applied for. 401 00:29:19.220 --> 00:29:23.970 There is no certainty that the development would adhere to the parameters that 402 00:29:23.970 --> 00:29:26.210 have been assessed. Uh,

403 00:29:26.210 --> 00:29:30.730 and there is no certainty that the mitigation assessors being required would be 404 00:29:30.730 --> 00:29:31.563 delivered. 405 00:29:33.320 - > 00:29:37.850This manifests itself most obviously in relation to the requirements 406 00:29:38.190 --> 00:29:42.150 set out in schedule two to the draft D C O, but, 407 00:29:42.150 --> 00:29:45.590 but it's actually a common issue running throughout the whole document. 408 00:29:47.210 --> 00:29:50.670 And in relation to this lack of precision in the drafting, uh, 409 00:29:50.770 --> 00:29:53.150 I'd like to give just five examples. 410 00:29:56.530 --> 00:30:00.910 The first is there is lack of clarity in relation to vertical limits of 411 00:30:00.910 --> 00:30:02.270 deviation. In Article seven, 412 00:30:04.050 - > 00:30:08.910the reference point from which the power to deviate may be exercised is 413 00:30:08.910 --> 00:30:12.910 said to be the levels shown on the engineering sections, drawings and plans. 414 00:30:15.370 --> 00:30:17.910 But those levels are marked as indicative

415

00:30:19.570 --> 00:30:23.750 and there's no clear labeling telling the reader the maximum height of the 416 00:30:23.870 --> 00:30:24.703 structures 417 00:30:26.230 --> 00:30:30.970 or clarifying whether the levels are to be scaled from existing or 418 00:30:31.210 --> 00:30:35.480 proposed ground levels. Put simply, 419 00:30:35.480 --> 00:30:39.960 there is no firm point of reference to determine the starting point 420 00:30:40.740 --> 00:30:44.960 of the proposed two meters upwards limit of deviation. 421 00:30:51.770 --> 00:30:56.030 The second example is that there is a lack of precision or care taken with the 422 00:30:56.030 --> 00:31:00.430 drafting of the requirements themselves in schedule two to pick, 423 00:31:00.570 --> 00:31:05.270 to pick one example of many requirement four replies working 424 00:31:05.280 --> 00:31:08.430 hours to associated development, 425 00:31:09.770 --> 00:31:14.750 but nowhere is it spelt out. What development is the associated development. 426 00:31:15.740 --> 00:31:17.430 It's not defined in the requirements. 427 00:31:18.370 --> 00:31:22.990 And schedule one to the D C O does not seek to differentiate between the

428 00:31:22.990 --> 00:31:27.270 nationally significant infrastructure project and the associated development. 429 00:31:29.120 --> 00:31:32.530 There's a similar issue with requirement five that seeks to impose construction 430 00:31:32.530 --> 00:31:36.810 hours on quote Marine works, but again, 431 00:31:36.810 --> 00:31:38.050 that term is not defined. 432 00:31:41.080 --> 00:31:41.913 The third example 433 00:31:43.400 --> 00:31:46.700 is there is no clear definition of operational use 434 00:31:48.320 --> 00:31:50.220 to trigger operational mitigation. 435 00:31:51.440 --> 00:31:54.020 And the drafting currently employed 436 00:31:56.220 --> 00:31:59.700 conflicts with the definition of commence given in Article two one 437 00:32:01.120 -> 00:32:04.500and the definition of commence given in paragraph one of schedule two. 438 00:32:07.980 --> 00:32:12.670 Clearly the term commence need only be defined once and in the 439 00:32:12.670 --> 00:32:14.990 appropriate place in the D in the D C O. 440 00:32:23.390 --> 00:32:27.210

The fourth example is that the delivery of important mitigation is left to the 441 00:32:27.210 --> 00:32:31.450 applicant with no requirement for local planning authority or any other relevant 442 00:32:31.590 --> 00:32:35.690 organizational approval. C for example, requirement date, 443 00:32:36.540 --> 00:32:40.080 which only requires accordance with the construction environmental management 444 00:32:40.190 --> 00:32:41.023 plan. 445 00:32:43.340 --> 00:32:47.760 As we'll be expressing in detailed written representations that document has 446 00:32:47.760 --> 00:32:52.600 submitted is a high level summary falling far short of the 447 00:32:52.600 --> 00:32:57.240 detail necessary to have confidence or certainty that 448 00:32:57.420 --> 00:33:01.080 the right mitigation will be delivered at the right time. 449 00:33:08.290 --> 00:33:12.910 The fifth and for now final example of our serious 450 00:33:12.910 --> 00:33:17.390 concern with the draft in the order is the number of what are often called 451 00:33:17.580 --> 00:33:19.390 tailpiece provisions 452 00:33:21.560 --> 00:33:22.830 Given without reasoning.

453 00:33:22.890 --> 00:33:26.910 And these provisions would allow the applicant to circumvent the statutory 454 00:33:26.910 --> 00:33:29.750 processes for changing a development consent order, 455 00:33:29.960 --> 00:33:32.870 which is set out in the planning Act of 2008, 456 00:33:35.390 --> 00:33:38.450 for example, when there are lots of these. Article 21, 457 00:33:38.880 --> 00:33:43.690 paragraph two would allow the number of passengers permitted to depart 458 00:33:43.800 --> 00:33:46.690 from the harbor facilities to be increased 459 00:33:48.270 --> 00:33:50.370 if agreed with the relevant planning authority. 460 00:33:53.880 --> 00:33:58.460 Yet we are told in the expansion memorandum that throughout that throughput 461 00:33:58.460 --> 00:33:59.040 rather, 462 00:33:59.040 --> 00:34:04.020 is a key parameter that has been fixed to set the assessment of the 463 00:34:04.180 --> 00:34:06.220 envelope for the environmental impact assessment. 464 00:34:10.380 --> 00:34:12.950 This widespread use of tail pieces 465 00:34:14.500 --> 00:34:18.910

clearly departs from the very clear guidance given in this respect 466 00:34:19.650 --> 00:34:23.830 in section 17 of the planning Inspectorates advice note 15, 467 00:34:23.830 --> 00:34:27.110 which deals with the drafting of development consent orders. 468 00:34:30.050 --> 00:34:34.670 So I hope that gives the panel a flavor for where we 469 00:34:35.090 --> 00:34:39.550 are coming from in terms of our serious concerns with the 470 00:34:40.190 --> 00:34:45.070 drafting of the D C O, which, um, we, we, we find throughout the document. 471 00:34:45.770 --> 00:34:48.910 Um, and those are just a few examples. Thank you, 472 00:34:51.370 --> 00:34:54.790 Mr. Mr. Walker, 473 00:34:55.250 --> 00:35:00.030 did you want to say anything in that connection or do you wanna rest with what 474 00:35:00.030 --> 00:35:01.590 Mr. Owen has had to say? 475 00:35:02.280 --> 00:35:06.870 Angus Walker for DF d s um, um, I endorse much of what Mr. 476 00:35:06.870 --> 00:35:09.910 Owen has said and we have many examples, 477 00:35:10.050 --> 00:35:14.790 but on specific points rather than to address generally of a similar nature.

00:35:15.770 --> 00:35:19.110 And we have conveyed them in our relevant representation in on the whole. 479 00:35:22.040 --> 00:35:24.590 Thank you. Mr. Walker, anything from the Harbor Authority? 480 00:35:26.790 --> 00:35:31.230 Victoria Hutton for the Harbor Master? No, sir. No comment. Mr. Greenwood, 481 00:35:31.570 --> 00:35:32.750 do you want to respond? 482 00:35:34.280 --> 00:35:35.230 Brian Greenwood for 483 00:35:35.370 --> 00:35:37.240 Ab p I mean, just before you do, I mean there, 484 00:35:37.240 --> 00:35:41.520 there are a number of drafting type points that we've picked up. 485 00:35:42.420 --> 00:35:43.253 Um, 486 00:35:44.420 --> 00:35:49.360 and it's likely that in our first written questions you might be hit with, 487 00:35:50.580 --> 00:35:53.800 um, questions relating to drafting. 488 00:35:53.870 --> 00:35:58.200 Some of what I'm gonna touch on this afternoon in my questions also will touch 489 00:35:58.200 --> 00:36:02.880 on that, but it, depending on your response, um, 490 00:36:03.980 --> 00:36:08.800 as to whether or not you're gonna go away and do a quite a hefty

review of, 491 00:36:09.580 --> 00:36:11.960 if I first principle type drafting type cons, 492 00:36:12.440 --> 00:36:15.320 considerations might determine, sorry, 493 00:36:15.320 --> 00:36:19.440 determine whether or not certainly examining authority needs to produce a raft 494 00:36:19.440 --> 00:36:23.760 of questions or a question with an appendix, 495 00:36:23.870 --> 00:36:27.960 with a lots of picky little drafting type points. Uh, 496 00:36:27.980 --> 00:36:31.000 so given that background, uh, we'd, we'd like to respond to Mr. 497 00:36:32.030 --> 00:36:36.210 Okay, excuse me, Mr. Gold, if I may just chip in, um, 498 00:36:36.490 --> 00:36:38.250 a rider on that in that, uh, 499 00:36:38.510 --> 00:36:43.170 in drafting the questions such that they can be issued as soon as it's 500 00:36:43.770 --> 00:36:48.050 sensibly convenient. Um, ideally with the I R eight, uh, uh, 501 00:36:48.410 --> 00:36:49.770 roulette eight letter next week, 502 00:36:51.110 --> 00:36:55.290 we may be putting in questions which you have actually already 503 00:36:55.440 --> 00:36:58.210

anticipated and have addressed, 504 00:36:58.630 --> 00:37:02.250 in which case we'll be generally, um, uh, 505 00:37:02.520 --> 00:37:07.210 like covering our questions with, if not already addressed in response. 506 00:37:07.670 --> 00:37:11.330 So just as a, as a warning shot, we, we, 507 00:37:11.350 --> 00:37:13.210 we fear there may be some duplication there, 508 00:37:13.210 --> 00:37:16.810 but I think it's in the general interest of moving this examination forward very 509 00:37:16.810 --> 00:37:17.643 quickly. 510 00:37:20.380 --> 00:37:24.930 Thank you, sir. Uh, uh, Brian Greenwood for a b p, 511 00:37:25.190 --> 00:37:29.050 sir, I don't really think it would be helpful, uh, 512 00:37:29.150 --> 00:37:32.410 if I were to respond at this stage to Mr. Owens, uh, comments, 513 00:37:32.590 --> 00:37:35.850 not all of which I agree, but overall, uh, 514 00:37:36.370 --> 00:37:40.530 I take any comments received, uh, as very helpful. Thank you very much. 515 00:37:40.670 --> 00:37:44.850 And we look forward to seeing the written representations from both, uh,

516 00:37:45.450 --> 00:37:49.690 C L D N and D F D S, uh, to incorporate as appropriate. 517 00:37:50.430 --> 00:37:51.263 Uh, 518 00:37:51.740 --> 00:37:56.330 would it be best now if I start moving through the draft D C O, 519 00:37:56.590 --> 00:38:00.170 uh, and in so doing, I have marked all of the specific points, sir, 520 00:38:00.170 --> 00:38:04.490 that you have identified that you wish to discuss. And, uh, I will pause at, 521 00:38:04.550 --> 00:38:05.690 at that stage 522 00:38:08.560 --> 00:38:09.810 That would seem appropriate. Yep. 523 00:38:10.300 --> 00:38:12.970 Thank you sir. Well, sir, 524 00:38:13.300 --> 00:38:16.210 right at the beginning in the definitions, 525 00:38:16.550 --> 00:38:19.690 and this actually relates also to requirement 18, 526 00:38:20.350 --> 00:38:25.050 we are including a definition for the 527 00:38:25.470 --> 00:38:27.690 harbor master, uh, 528 00:38:27.980 --> 00:38:32.810 which does need a degree of clarification. And sir,

529

00:38:33.230 --> 00:38:38.040 uh, I was going to offer, if it would be helpful a note, um, 530 00:38:38.620 --> 00:38:39.453 by, uh, 531 00:38:39.680 --> 00:38:43.720 deadline one explaining the difference of powers, 532 00:38:43.780 --> 00:38:46.000 the jurisdiction and the, uh, 533 00:38:46.290 --> 00:38:49.080 separation between the Harbor Master and the Dock Master. 534 00:38:50.380 --> 00:38:55.040 Uh, you have kind of preempted what we were likely to be asking for later in the 535 00:38:55.040 --> 00:38:59.600 afternoon. If, if I just, um, take that point up. 536 00:39:00.380 --> 00:39:04.760 We certainly have been struggling to work out who does what, 537 00:39:05.250 --> 00:39:10.200 where the jurisdictions for who does what begins ends. Um, 538 00:39:10.540 --> 00:39:11.373 so yeah, 539 00:39:12.170 --> 00:39:16.960 definition of the role of Dock Master and what he or she 540 00:39:17.110 --> 00:39:21.880 does in terms of the operation of the port definition of 541 00:39:22.220 --> 00:39:25.280 Harbor Master for the Port of Immingham. 542 00:39:25.820 --> 00:39:27.320

If that is different to 543 00:39:29.020 --> 00:39:32.680 the Harbor Master for the Humber, um, 544 00:39:33.300 --> 00:39:35.960 it would also be helpful for us, 545 00:39:35.980 --> 00:39:40.040 but we may well touch on this anyway on Thursday. 546 00:39:41.420 --> 00:39:42.253 Um, 547 00:39:42.300 --> 00:39:47.280 as to what the relationship between the statutory Harbor master is 548 00:39:47.980 --> 00:39:49.720 and the parent company, 549 00:39:50.700 --> 00:39:55.280 we are particularly interested to know how much arm's length, 550 00:39:55.780 --> 00:39:59.360 um, involvement there is. Um, 551 00:39:59.620 --> 00:40:03.480 but certainly in dealing with those jurisdictional type things in terms of the 552 00:40:03.480 --> 00:40:07.920 various masters and what they do, 553 00:40:08.620 --> 00:40:11.640 uh, we'd also like to see that by reference to a plan. 554 00:40:11.820 --> 00:40:16.200 So it's clear that we understand what the jurisdictions are. 555 00:40:16.940 --> 00:40:21.440 Um, 'cause thinking forward, we may in our recommendation report,

556 00:40:21.580 --> 00:40:25.960 having need to convey some of this to the Secretary of State and 557 00:40:26.580 --> 00:40:29.040 the, the simpler we can make that process. 558 $00:40:29.260 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.040$ And often that will be a combination of words and plans that just 559 00:40:34.040 --> 00:40:36.200 makes it a lot easier. Um, 560 00:40:36.500 --> 00:40:40.560 so it might be what we're really asking for is some sort of technical guide 561 00:40:40.710 --> 00:40:44.600 note, um, that's got all of that information in one place. 562 00:40:44.960 --> 00:40:49.680 'cause it's often much easier certainly in reporting if we've got an aid 563 00:40:49.820 --> 00:40:53.920 memoir where we just keep on referring the reader to. 564 00:40:56.550 --> 00:40:57.383 Yeah. 565 00:40:58.410 --> 00:41:01.760 Again, Mr. Greenwood, if, uh, I may add a writer, uh, 566 00:41:01.830 --> 00:41:06.720 this is I think a useful opportunity to get a step ahead of the game from 567 00:41:07.080 --> 00:41:12.000 Thursday and, uh, that note that you so kindly offer, which we, 568 00:41:12.060 --> 00:41:14.040

we accept gratefully, uh, 569 00:41:15.520 --> 00:41:18.880 I think we'd very much appreciate if you could cover the safety governance, 570 00:41:18.900 --> 00:41:23.600 if we may embrace it as that, uh, as a, 571 00:41:23.980 --> 00:41:28.080 an explanation of where the interfaces are 572 00:41:28.630 --> 00:41:33.560 between the different, um, uh, uh, partly the different ssha, 573 00:41:33.700 --> 00:41:38.680 but actually trying to understand what the communications lines are. 574 00:41:39.260 --> 00:41:43.680 An OrganiGram might be a very helpful tool there. Um, 575 00:41:44.140 --> 00:41:45.120 and, uh, 576 00:41:45.400 --> 00:41:50.400 I think it would be very useful if you could also be considering in this the 577 00:41:51.400 --> 00:41:55.960 relationship of a project specific N R A 578 00:41:57.140 --> 00:42:00.680 and the N R A for the Port of England, uh, 579 00:42:01.100 --> 00:42:05.800 as extant and one assumes, uh, an N R A, 580 00:42:05.800 --> 00:42:10.640 which is updated at a period which you would perhaps like 581 00:42:10.640 --> 00:42:14.280

to indicate for our guidance. Um, 582 00:42:17.350 --> 00:42:19.330 and I think if that, um, 583 00:42:20.270 --> 00:42:24.810 is another opportunity to just ask ahead of, ahead of Thursday, 584 00:42:25.270 --> 00:42:27.410 we would be asking otherwise, um, 585 00:42:27.630 --> 00:42:32.210 if that port n r a could be submitted into the examination, 586 00:42:32.210 --> 00:42:35.850 if you could consider that and, uh, let us know if that would be acceptable. 587 00:42:37.140 --> 00:42:40.970 Brian Greenwood for a b p I will certainly ask my client, sir, 588 00:42:41.060 --> 00:42:42.610 there is a difficulty, 589 00:42:42.610 --> 00:42:45.850 which you would've seen in some of the correspondence as to confidentiality, 590 00:42:46.350 --> 00:42:49.090 but I will certainly try and assist as, as far as we can 591 00:42:49.350 --> 00:42:52.370 If you think it would help the examination. That is, yes, sir. Thank you. 592 00:42:53.360 --> 00:42:56.370 Also, on the point of governance, uh, 593 00:42:56.430 --> 00:43:00.490 it would assist us to understand what the relationship is between 594

00:43:01.790 --> 00:43:06.250 the harbor of th master and any role that the health and safety 595 00:43:06.360 --> 00:43:07.530 executive has got, 596 00:43:07.530 --> 00:43:11.970 particularly in connection with the operation of the I o T 597 00:43:12.480 --> 00:43:15.010 side of things. Um, 'cause again, 598 00:43:15.150 --> 00:43:19.850 we have been struggling a little to understand where the jurisdictional overlaps 599 00:43:20.510 --> 00:43:25.450 are, particularly as the Health and Safety executive. Um, 600 00:43:25.800 --> 00:43:30.530 I'll paraphrase seem reasonably content from what we've seen 601 00:43:31.070 --> 00:43:34.330 to this point. But again, we need to understand, 602 00:43:34.850 --> 00:43:39.650 particularly as iot have raised some concerns about their 603 00:43:39.800 --> 00:43:42.850 operation, what they have to do under the coma regulations. 604 00:43:44.270 --> 00:43:44.950 And again, 605 00:43:44.950 --> 00:43:49.850 we need to understand potentially what they are having to do versus 606 00:43:50.080 --> 00:43:53.170 what, uh, the Harbor Authority is having to do. 607 00:43:53.990 --> 00:43:57.010

And as, as, as Mr. Bradley indicated, 608 00:43:57.010 --> 00:44:01.370 where there may be overlaps trying to demystify all of that. 609 00:44:04.380 --> 00:44:06.890 Brian Greenwood for a b p. Uh, thank you sir. 610 00:44:07.070 --> 00:44:10.490 I'm really looking forward to drafting this simple, straightforward note. Um, 611 00:44:10.870 --> 00:44:13.410 but we have taken note of everything and sir, um, 612 00:44:13.650 --> 00:44:18.170 I hope deadline one's acceptable for, for this. And if I could just draw in, uh, 613 00:44:18.320 --> 00:44:20.530 council for the Harbor Master. 614 00:44:21.030 --> 00:44:25.330 We have been discussing the definition and the way forward and we have wondered 615 00:44:25.330 --> 00:44:28.210 whether it would also be helpful, and you haven't asked for this, 616 00:44:28.310 --> 00:44:31.050 but if we put together a statement of common ground between the, 617 00:44:31.140 --> 00:44:33.560 the two parties, uh, identifying the points. 618 00:44:33.720 --> 00:44:36.760 I dunno if Council for the Harbormaster wishes to add anything. 619 00:44:39.570 --> 00:44:42.370 Victoria Hutton for the Harbor Master of the Humber. Um,

00:44:42.910 --> 00:44:47.290 so we're ready and willing to do whatever would assist you best. Uh, 621 00:44:47.290 --> 00:44:51.330 we haven't obviously put in any representations thus far. Um, 622 00:44:51.590 --> 00:44:55.170 we were preparing to give you our 10 minute summary on Thursday of our position. 623 00:44:55.910 --> 00:44:58.610 If it would assist you to agree a statement of common ground, then we, 624 00:44:58.880 --> 00:45:01.090 we'd be more than happy to do so and, 625 00:45:01.190 --> 00:45:05.490 and equally to collaborate on any document to do with powers, et cetera. But I, 626 00:45:05.570 --> 00:45:09.690 I look forward to seeing the simple, simple note from Mr. Greenwood. 627 00:45:11.090 --> 00:45:12.810 I I think at this stage, 628 00:45:12.860 --> 00:45:16.050 we'll leave the issue of whether or not there needs to be a statement of common 629 00:45:16.050 - > 00:45:20.530ground, maybe until we've heard the submissions on Thursday. 630 00:45:21.390 --> 00:45:23.770 Um, because of course one of the things that will flow, 631 00:45:23.770 --> 00:45:26.090 particularly from Thursday, 'cause we've asked for it, 632 00:45:26.670 --> 00:45:29.970 is we are gonna need in terms of the summaries that are given by the various 633 00:45:29.970 --> 00:45:34.050 parties, um, written versions of those summaries, 634 00:45:34.050 --> 00:45:39.010 which will come in as examination documents that may cover a 635 00:45:39.010 --> 00:45:41.730 lot of the territory that might otherwise, uh, 636 00:45:41.790 --> 00:45:46.130 be included in a statement of common ground. Now, if for any reason 637 00:45:47.850 --> 00:45:52.530 disagreement develops between the Harbor Master and the 638 00:45:52.530 --> 00:45:56.930 applicant in particular during the debate or discussion on Thursday, 639 00:45:57.320 --> 00:46:00.290 then there may be the need for a statement of common ground. 640 00:46:00.490 --> 00:46:04.450 'cause that might then obvi be the obvious route to try and at least get the two 641 00:46:04.450 --> 00:46:05.370 sides, um, 642 00:46:07.960 --> 00:46:11.010 into dialogue and explaining their positions. Um, 643 00:46:11.210 --> 00:46:16.100 I think it's important that we should say at this point that, um, 644 00:46:16.760 --> 00:46:19.180 as far as the Harbor Authority is concerned, 645 00:46:20.320 --> 00:46:24.500 we feel they should not feel constrained

646 00:46:25.920 --> 00:46:30.540 in terms of saying whatever they feel they need to say in the interest of 647 00:46:30.860 --> 00:46:34.820 whatever statutory, uh, requirements they are filling, 648 00:46:35.370 --> 00:46:39.540 even if that may ultimately result in some sort of conflict, 649 00:46:40.400 --> 00:46:43.580 um, with, with the applicant, um, 650 00:46:43.580 --> 00:46:46.180 because they're obviously fulfilling a statutory role, 651 00:46:46.280 --> 00:46:48.820 we need to understand what that statutory role is. 652 00:46:51.800 --> 00:46:55.380 Uh, Victoria Hutton for the Hubber Master. So thank you. I mean, 653 00:46:55.380 --> 00:46:58.420 the hob master is here independently represented, uh, 654 00:46:58.440 --> 00:47:01.620 and takes his statutory duties very seriously indeed. 655 00:47:01.680 --> 00:47:06.180 So I can assure you that our representations, uh, will be made in, 656 00:47:06.180 --> 00:47:07.013 in that light. 657 00:47:09.870 --> 00:47:12.620 Thank you Mr. Greenwood, if you, 658 00:47:14.120 --> 00:47:14.953 Sir

659 00:47:17.520 --> 00:47:18.740 Mr. Ryan? Sorry. 660 00:47:19.040 --> 00:47:21.500 So just, just, uh, Robbie Owen for C R D N, just, uh, 661 00:47:21.520 --> 00:47:24.900 if I may just come back on some of that discussion. Um, 662 00:47:25.160 --> 00:47:28.900 it may be that the note Mr. Greenwood's kindly offered to provide a deadline. 663 00:47:28.900 --> 00:47:31.160 One, he's, we'll answer this, but 664 00:47:31.350 --> 00:47:34.160 He's sort of been hood winged rather than kindly offering, but 665 00:47:34.350 --> 00:47:36.840 Yeah. But, um, I, 666 00:47:36.920 --> 00:47:39.480 I would find it helpful if it could particularly deal with a, 667 00:47:39.560 --> 00:47:44.400 a couple of matters. The first, if, if, um, is it Mr. 668 00:47:44.640 --> 00:47:45.520 Cockrell could just, uh, 669 00:47:45.860 --> 00:47:50.760 scroll down to the definition of Humber Conservancy Commissioners, uh, 670 00:47:50.760 --> 00:47:55.200 which is referred to in the definition of the Harbor Master. Um, I, 671 00:47:55.300 --> 00:47:59.960 I'm not entirely clear on that definition 'cause it seems to be conflating,

672 00:48:00.580 --> 00:48:01.413 uh, 673 00:48:01.500 --> 00:48:06.320 the Conservancy Commissioners with the Port 674 00:48:06.440 --> 00:48:10.200 of Ingham statutory Harbor Authority. Um, uh, uh, 675 00:48:10.380 --> 00:48:13.600 and the answer may be because they are one and the same, 676 00:48:13.620 --> 00:48:17.720 but I think it'd be helpful if the note could confirm that. And, and, and also, 677 00:48:19.140 --> 00:48:21.560 uh, uh, as I think you touched on, but I wanted to be clear, 678 00:48:21.950 --> 00:48:26.720 what is the relationship between, uh, um, these bodies? Um, 679 00:48:26.980 --> 00:48:28.800 so the Humber Conservancy commissioners, 680 00:48:28.800 --> 00:48:32.440 the Port of Ingham statutory Harbor authority on the one hand, 681 00:48:32.740 --> 00:48:37.720 and the company as defined further up that same page on the other, um, 682 00:48:38.020 --> 00:48:41.080 yet, yet, what is the relationship? Is there an arms length relationship or not? 683 00:48:41.080 --> 00:48:45.840 Because, uh, it, it, it is not readily apparent to most of us, 684 00:48:45.960 --> 00:48:48.160

I suspect. And therefore, if Mr. 685 00:48:48.160 --> 00:48:52.000 Greenwood's note could provide some clarity in that respect, uh, I, 686 00:48:52.000 --> 00:48:53.160 for one will be very grateful. 687 00:48:55.340 --> 00:48:56.160 Mr. Greenman, Brian 688 00:48:56.160 --> 00:49:01.080 Greenwood for, uh, the a b p, uh, uh, just to put Mr. Owen's mind at rest, 689 00:49:01.330 --> 00:49:05.520 which is always my avowed intent, uh, the Humber, 690 00:49:05.580 --> 00:49:09.320 the term Humber Harbor Commissioners will disappear in the next draft. Sir, uh, 691 00:49:09.320 --> 00:49:12.160 that is one of the discussions we are having with the Harbor Master. 692 00:49:12.700 --> 00:49:16.600 It is actually, uh, an inaccurate out of date, uh, 693 00:49:16.630 --> 00:49:21.520 name and we will correct the record for the next draft and the other points that 694 00:49:21.520 --> 00:49:25.680 Mr. Owen has noted. Thank you. That they'll be taken on board in my short note. 695 00:49:26.460 --> 00:49:27.293 And 696 00:49:29.410 --> 00:49:33.040 where does HU S three services come? Is that in effect,

697 00:49:33.540 --> 00:49:38.120 in effect the new version of the commission or 'cause that was also something we 698 00:49:38.120 --> 00:49:39.480 were scratching our heads about, 699 $00:49:41.490 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.680$ Brian Greenwood for a v p. Um, yes, you, 700 00:49:44.740 --> 00:49:47.400 you did warn us earlier not to sidestep questions. 701 00:49:47.410 --> 00:49:51.360 Could I possibly sidestep that one and include it in the note? Yeah, it, it is, 702 00:49:51.380 --> 00:49:55.880 uh, um, an exactly, uh, pertinent question. 703 00:49:56.300 --> 00:49:58.600 Uh, Humber Esri services will be dealt with, 704 00:49:58.620 --> 00:50:03.120 but they are also not a name that will appear in the D C O when it's finalized. 705 00:50:04.720 --> 00:50:06.920 I, I think you are probably getting to do, 706 $00:50:06.980 \rightarrow 00:50:11.280$ all we want is as much clarity about who does what and where. 707 00:50:12.220 --> 00:50:15.800 Um, and it, we were certainly, uh, 708 00:50:15.840 --> 00:50:19.600 finding that quite difficult to get to grips with. Um, 709 00:50:19.940 --> 00:50:23.920 and that was part of the reason why for issue specific hearing two

710 00:50:25.440 --> 00:50:27.480 Thursday, we had asked for the, 711 00:50:28.020 --> 00:50:32.960 the various bits of the applicant and or the statutory bits 712 00:50:32.960 --> 00:50:36.960 of the applicant to be present so that we could tease out, um, 713 00:50:38.280 --> 00:50:40.820 who, as I say, who does what, where, and when sort of thing. 714 00:50:41.840 --> 00:50:44.340 But I think we're getting towards, um, 715 00:50:44.760 --> 00:50:48.620 or we will be getting towards a better understanding of all that. But I, 716 00:50:48.700 --> 00:50:51.660 I have to say from the application documentation, it was not clear 717 00:50:53.790 --> 00:50:58.060 Brian Greenwood for a b p, and I can only apologize for the lack of clarity. Uh, 718 00:50:58.160 --> 00:51:00.140 it is extremely complicated, 719 00:51:00.200 --> 00:51:03.180 and as you'll discover when you see my simple notes, sir, 720 00:51:03.230 --> 00:51:07.980 there is a degree of overlap as well, just to complicate matters. But 721 00:51:08.520 --> 00:51:13.220 We suspected that might be the case. And again, that may, you know,

722

on

00:51:13.220 --> 00:51:14.860 that may be no bad thing. 723 00:51:14.860 --> 00:51:19.340 That might just be where the legislation is because some of it is so old. 724 00:51:20.360 --> 00:51:24.500 Um, and of course it has been amended over time. Um, 725 00:51:24.880 --> 00:51:28.540 and it does then leave you, um, in a slightly difficult situation where yeah, 726 00:51:28.560 --> 00:51:31.500 who is actually ultimately responsible for what. 727 00:51:32.440 --> 00:51:36.820 And we are going to come to a question about Article four, um, 728 00:51:36.820 --> 00:51:41.180 which is the 1847 clauses, um, which, um, 729 00:51:41.280 --> 00:51:42.860 I'm gonna ask you about, but we'll, 730 00:51:42.860 --> 00:51:45.860 we'll get there later because that was again, causing some concern. 731 00:51:48.250 --> 00:51:49.950 Yes, sir? Brian? Oh, sorry, 732 00:51:50.570 --> 00:51:51.403 Mr. Walker 733 00:51:52.080 --> 00:51:53.430 Angus Walker for D F D S, 734 00:51:53.430 --> 00:51:55.910 I just had a brief point I was gonna raise under Article two,

00:51:55.910 --> 00:51:58.230 but it would seem to be convenient to raise it. 736 00:51:58.230 --> 00:52:03.230 Now is the definition of the port of I Immingham in Article two talks about 737 00:52:03.230 --> 00:52:07.790 the Port of Ingham statutory Harbor authority area. I think it, that, 738 00:52:07.900 --> 00:52:12.230 that is not defined further in the D C O and maybe it is defined in another 739 00:52:13.130 --> 00:52:14.550 Harbor Vision order or something, 740 00:52:14.550 --> 00:52:19.150 but it'd be useful to have a plan of that in your this now famous 741 00:52:19.440 --> 00:52:21.030 brief note, please. Thank you, 742 00:52:21.080 --> 00:52:23.310 Which is now run to three or four volumes. 743 00:52:26.780 --> 00:52:30.950 It's Brian Green was for a v p, and thank you Mr. Walker, that is noted as well. 744 00:52:31.890 - > 00:52:36.550Um, yes, sir. Uh, I'll, I'll move on. Uh, I'm, 745 00:52:36.650 --> 00:52:40.230 I'm glad that you haven't actually mentioned the term Competent Harbor 746 00:52:40.230 --> 00:52:43.590 Authority. Uh, that will come in the note as well, 747 00:52:43.690 --> 00:52:47.430

but we'll leave that one for another time. So, um, 748 00:52:48.010 --> 00:52:51.190 the very next point actually I have to raise is a point you've raised regarding 749 00:52:51.250 --> 00:52:55.790 the company. Uh, so if I could just explain our position, 750 00:52:56.610 --> 00:52:58.230 uh, we, 751 00:52:58.330 --> 00:53:02.990 we did question whether it we should be using the term company 752 00:53:03.170 --> 00:53:06.590 or the term undertaker. And indeed we did discuss this with, 753 00:53:06.660 --> 00:53:10.350 with pins as well when we submitted the draft D C O, uh, 754 00:53:10.370 --> 00:53:15.150 for their overview oversight. Um, again, uh, 755 00:53:16.470 --> 00:53:21.350 I, I have, uh, looked at the Tilbury two order and the able order, 756 00:53:21.650 --> 00:53:24.190 and Mr. Walker will no doubt, correct me if I'm wrong, 757 $00:53:24.330 \rightarrow 00:53:29.280$ but I think that Abel promoted that D C O and they were called the 758 00:53:29.280 --> 00:53:30.113 company, 759 00:53:30.300 --> 00:53:34.640 but it was for the benefit of the operator who would build out, 760 00:53:34.640 --> 00:53:38.800 and that was therefore the undertaker. Whereas for the Port of

Tilbury, 761 00:53:39.360 --> 00:53:43.160 I believe the Port of Tilbury was named the company. And, 762 00:53:43.420 --> 00:53:46.800 and using that in my defense, sir, 763 00:53:46.990 --> 00:53:50.280 because a B P is a statutory undertaker, 764 00:53:50.540 --> 00:53:55.400 it just struck us at the end of the day that for simplification, um, 765 00:53:55.460 --> 00:53:59.520 it would be better if we were described as the company, obviously, sir. Uh, 766 00:53:59.600 --> 00:54:03.080 I mean the X a's hands, and if you think it should be undertaker, 767 00:54:03.080 --> 00:54:06.040 then it will be undertaker. But, uh, 768 00:54:06.350 --> 00:54:08.800 that is our rationale for using that term. 769 00:54:13.290 --> 00:54:18.230 My sense is that the majority of made dcos are 770 $00:54:18.260 \rightarrow 00:54:21.270$ referring to undertaker. That's just an observation. 771 00:54:21.510 --> 00:54:25.310 I think it would be useful to have comment from the other councilor. 772 00:54:27.850 --> 00:54:32.350 Uh, thank you. So Robbie Owen, for C R D M, um, I, I don't think, uh, 773 00:54:33.190 --> 00:54:37.830

anything really turns on the use of the word the company instead of the more 774 00:54:37.830 --> 00:54:42.590 conventional, the undertaker, uh, per se, I mean, don't consent to orders. 775 00:54:42.650 --> 00:54:47.150 And indeed, uh, other similar statue instruments, uh, 776 00:54:47.280 --> 00:54:49.070 adopt different approaches. Um, 777 00:54:49.410 --> 00:54:54.150 and to a large extent it's down to personal drafting preference. Um, 778 00:54:55.850 --> 00:55:00.150 uh, I, I think, um, I mean there are a few, 779 00:55:00.810 --> 00:55:04.590 uh, issues we note, for example, that, um, the, uh, 780 00:55:04.590 --> 00:55:08.590 expansion memorandum does refer certainly in one place to the undertaker when, 781 00:55:08.590 --> 00:55:12.150 when I think it means the company. But I, I think what I would say is that, um, 782 00:55:12.690 --> 00:55:16.950 uh, It is a principle of good statute instrument drafting. 783 00:55:16.950 --> 00:55:21.790 The definitions should be descriptive, um, uh, and, and, and a clarity, 784 00:55:21.850 --> 00:55:24.030 but it shouldn't include operative, operative provisions. 785 00:55:24.130 --> 00:55:27.150 And certainly the references, uh,

786 00:55:27.570 --> 00:55:30.470 in the definition of the company to articles eight and nine, uh, 787 00:55:30.530 --> 00:55:34.590 are unnecessary, uh, I would say, uh, uh, 788 00:55:34.810 - > 00:55:39.070and could be removed. Um, but I think whether it's a company or undertaker, 789 00:55:39.070 --> 00:55:41.030 we don't have a view on that. Um, it's a, 790 00:55:41.030 --> 00:55:45.830 it's a matter for a b P to take a view and, um, it, it, 791 00:55:45.890 --> 00:55:48.910 it, as I say, nothing, nothing else really turns on on it as such. 792 00:55:52.480 --> 00:55:57.350 Thank you opportunity for Mr. Walker. I I don't disagree with that. 793 00:55:57.440 --> 00:55:59.510 Angus Walker for D F T S Hudson, 794 00:56:01.510 --> 00:56:04.350 Victoria Hut for the Harbor Master, we have no comment. Thank you. 795 00:56:05.750 --> 00:56:06.450 I think the, 796 00:56:06.450 --> 00:56:11.190 the key thing in that is undertaker is the norm. 797 00:56:12.650 --> 00:56:16.990 If the applicant wishes to use company instead, 798 00:56:17.780 --> 00:56:22.630 then the explanatory memorandum needs to give a clear explanation as to

799 00:56:22.650 --> 00:56:25.600 why the approach has been taken. Um, 800 00:56:25.940 --> 00:56:27.760 it doesn't do that at the moment. 801 $00:56:39.130 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.080$ Brian Greenwood for a b p. Thank you, sir. That is noted, 802 00:56:42.100 --> 00:56:44.720 and I should have said at the beginning and when, uh, 803 00:56:44.750 --> 00:56:48.280 this morning I was talking about circulating a draft, uh, 804 00:56:48.810 --> 00:56:51.440 track changed version of the D C O, there will also, 805 00:56:51.460 --> 00:56:54.800 of course be produced a track changed version of the explanatory memorandum. 806 00:56:58.780 --> 00:57:01.520 Sir, the next point you have raised, um, 807 00:57:02.340 --> 00:57:05.960 is the definition of relevant planning authority. Uh, 808 00:57:06.620 --> 00:57:10.120 so this was quite, oh, I see Mr. Richard Limmer isn't with us this afternoon. 809 00:57:10.620 --> 00:57:13.600 So the, in very simple terms, uh, 810 00:57:13.940 --> 00:57:16.040 the proposed development site, 811 00:57:16.890 --> 00:57:20.520 apart from that area that falls within the area of the crown of

state, uh, 812 00:57:20.700 --> 00:57:24.680 is all within the area of Northeast Lincolnshire Council. And indeed, 813 00:57:24.680 --> 00:57:29.080 the proposals we have to improvements of Eastgate will be with Northeast Re 814 00:57:29.080 --> 00:57:33.960 Council in their capacity as the Highway Authority North Lincolnshire Council. 815 00:57:34.580 --> 00:57:38.480 Um, the Port falls in their boundary, 816 00:57:38.540 --> 00:57:40.520 but outside, in fact, 817 00:57:40.520 --> 00:57:43.600 the boundary of the two local authorities bisex the Port. 818 00:57:43.790 --> 00:57:45.360 It's not quite as accurate as that. 819 00:57:45.980 --> 00:57:48.800 So I use relevant planning authority, 820 00:57:48.900 --> 00:57:51.400 but then defined it as Northeast Linkage to council. 821 00:57:51.500 --> 00:57:53.960 But you have questioned the drafting. 822 00:57:55.270 --> 00:58:00.120 Well, only in part because the drafting also refers to the Highway Authority. 823 00:58:00.940 --> 00:58:05.080 I'm just wondering whether it might be easier, um,

824 00:58:05.180 --> 00:58:09.560 to refer to the council having defined the council, 825 00:58:09.570 --> 00:58:12.040 which potentially is Northeast Lincolnshire Council. 826 00:58:12.980 --> 00:58:15.320 But is there any scope, 827 00:58:16.000 --> 00:58:19.960 particularly in respect of Highway Works? Um, 828 00:58:20.140 --> 00:58:25.040 and it might be signage or something that is required 829 00:58:25.220 --> 00:58:29.720 in North Re Council's area, because if, if that were necessary, 830 00:58:30.790 --> 00:58:34.680 then there would then need to be a definition that dealt with the neighboring 831 00:58:34.680 --> 00:58:35.513 local authority, 832 00:58:38.330 --> 00:58:42.960 Brian Greenwood, for a b p. So, uh, I can't really speak of course, 833 00:58:42.980 --> 00:58:47.640 for North Link re in their absence, but I, I can say that we have discussed, 834 00:58:47.820 --> 00:58:52.200 uh, this issue, uh, with North Linker Council and, uh, 835 00:58:53.030 --> 00:58:57.000 subject to, uh, the council wanting to correct anything I say. Now, 836 00:58:57.140 --> 00:59:01.120 my understanding is they are not concerned, uh, about, uh,

837 00:59:01.940 --> 00:59:05.080 the use of roads in their area. In fact, 838 00:59:05.100 --> 00:59:09.640 the main access way to the port was subject to A D C O a few years ago, 839 00:59:09.700 - > 00:59:13.840and it's dual carriageway, um, a, a very good road. Uh, 840 00:59:13.940 --> 00:59:16.640 so they're not worried about any additional transport. 841 00:59:16.900 --> 00:59:21.080 And when you get onto transport on Thursday, you'll, you'll, you'll see that, 842 00:59:21.140 --> 00:59:25.040 that the majority of the traffic will go into Northeast Lincolnshire Council's 843 00:59:25.040 --> 00:59:25.873 area. 844 00:59:28.650 --> 00:59:30.880 Thank you, Mr. Green. I think it, it's just a, 845 00:59:31.360 --> 00:59:35.720 a point we just asked you just to go away and review whether or not, um, 846 00:59:36.110 --> 00:59:39.480 council might be a better, uh, if, you know, we, 847 00:59:39.700 --> 00:59:43.720 you end up in the position that there is just Northeast Lincolnshire Council, 848 00:59:43.780 --> 00:59:47.760 but whether it would be better to refer to council having defined it,

849 00:59:47.810 --> 00:59:51.080 which then picks up both branches, the Planning Authority, 850 00:59:51.180 --> 00:59:53.920 and the Highway Authority. Uh, 'cause sometimes of course, 851 00:59:54.070 --> 00:59:58.520 they never shall twain, um, in terms of, than working together, 852 00:59:58.860 --> 01:00:00.080 um, it, it, 853 01:00:00.100 --> 01:00:04.560 it just seemed a little bit odd that the Highway Authority was being tagged onto 854 01:00:04.560 --> 01:00:06.440 the planning authority 'cause they are separate entities. 855 01:00:08.570 --> 01:00:12.160 Brian Greenwood for a b p, and thank you, sir. Yes, we'll take note of that and, 856 01:00:12.260 --> 01:00:16.280 uh, improve the drafting, sir, 857 01:00:16.580 --> 01:00:20.680 on the, uh, moving on, uh oh, yes, the 1846, uh, 858 01:00:20.680 --> 01:00:24.080 seven ACT is your, uh, your point. And sir, I'm, 859 01:00:24.180 --> 01:00:26.200 I'm grateful here to Mr. 860 01:00:26.200 --> 01:00:29.920 Walker who's looked at this particular provision. Um, 861 01:00:30.540 --> 01:00:35.120 the incorporation of this particular act is because it gives certain

862 01:00:35.300 --> 01:00:38.080 powers to ports the, uh, 863 01:00:39.580 --> 01:00:40.920 by incorporating the act, 864 01:00:40.940 --> 01:00:44.560 we don't need to repeat the powers that are in that act. Uh, 865 01:00:45.410 --> 01:00:50.000 there are various other a b P orders that have incorporated the act and 866 01:00:50.020 --> 01:00:53.640 indeed the, uh, April Marine Energy Park, uh, 867 01:00:54.160 --> 01:00:58.480 D C O also incorporated the act where it gets complicated, sir, 868 01:00:58.540 --> 01:01:02.400 is some of the sections in that ACT have been repealed, and, uh, 869 01:01:02.540 --> 01:01:07.200 I'm not actually sure that we have correctly identified all of the relevant 870 01:01:07.200 --> 01:01:11.360 sections as well. Uh, and Mr. Mr. Walker has hinted at that, 871 01:01:12.060 --> 01:01:14.960 um, certainly, uh, sir, 872 01:01:14.960 --> 01:01:19.760 section 71 of the 1847 Act, uh, is an offense, 873 01:01:19.860 --> 01:01:22.000 and that should have been included. There could be, we, 874 01:01:22.020 --> 01:01:25.640 we can't include that in the D C O and there may be other provisions.

875 01:01:25.880 --> 01:01:28.000 I can apologize for any confusion there, sir, 876 01:01:28.510 --> 01:01:32.960 what I will do is give you the correct numbering and it, 877 $01:01:33.180 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.120$ it may well be I'll, uh, ref reference Mr. 878 01:01:36.120 --> 01:01:39.080 Walker just to check that he's content with what we're, 879 01:01:39.320 --> 01:01:41.480 There is actually a slightly more fundamental question, 880 01:01:41.660 --> 01:01:42.493 Please. 881 01:01:44.070 --> 01:01:48.080 What would be the implications if the order were made, 882 01:01:48.220 --> 01:01:52.760 if there were no article four i e no incorporation of the 883 01:01:52.920 --> 01:01:54.080 1847 act? 884 01:01:55.010 --> 01:01:59.840 Would that in operational terms cause a difficulty 885 01:02:01.100 --> 01:02:04.440 or in the alternate, do all of the sections, 886 01:02:04.750 --> 01:02:08.440 what those that have been included, those that may need to be added, 887 01:02:09.780 --> 01:02:13.880 if some of those were included versus some that weren't? Again,

01:02:14.090 --> 01:02:17.040 would there be an operational implication? I mean, 889 01:02:17.190 --> 01:02:19.680 just looking through some of the, the, the, 890 01:02:19.700 --> 01:02:22.240 the sections in the old 1847 act, 891 01:02:22.310 --> 01:02:26.440 there's bits and pieces about approving getting plans approved. Of course, 892 01:02:26.540 --> 01:02:30.600 that's embedded in the D C O, um, through requirements. 893 01:02:31.500 --> 01:02:32.480 Um, it, 894 01:02:32.540 --> 01:02:36.720 it seemed that there are lots of the 1847 ACT 895 01:02:37.750 --> 01:02:42.560 that were written in a completely different age when there was a completely 896 01:02:42.560 --> 01:02:46.760 different, well, very little legislation, uh, 897 01:02:46.760 --> 01:02:49.640 particularly in terms of environmental protection. Now, 898 01:02:49.640 --> 01:02:53.720 what we are dealing with, um, as I say, is a, a very different environment, 899 01:02:54.540 --> 01:02:59.360 um, where there either is legislation that's not being misapplied, 900 01:02:59.900 --> 01:03:04.600 or there is the actual order if made, that would be, uh,

901 01:03:04.660 --> 01:03:08.160 in effect governing this development just before Mr. 902 01:03:08.330 --> 01:03:11.080 Owens given an indication to, to speak to that point. 903 01:03:12.050 --> 01:03:16.880 Thank you, sir. Robbie Owen? Um, I think it's important just to, uh, 904 01:03:16.990 --> 01:03:21.920 make the point that incorporation of certain provisions of the 1847 ACT is 905 01:03:22.120 --> 01:03:25.160 entirely standard practice. Uh, this is a practice that Mr. 906 01:03:25.160 --> 01:03:26.920 Greenwood is following. Um, 907 01:03:27.260 --> 01:03:30.280 that's not to say the particular section numbers are, uh, 908 01:03:30.620 --> 01:03:34.760 are necessarily right and we want to discuss that, but, um, in, in, 909 01:03:34.860 --> 01:03:36.120 in development consent orders, 910 01:03:36.180 --> 01:03:39.040 in all number of harbor revision orders and harbor empowerment orders, 911 01:03:39.110 --> 01:03:42.520 that is still the practice for good reason. I refer you, for example, 912 01:03:42.660 --> 01:03:46.680 to the Harbor Empowerment order and the harbor revision order relating to the 913 01:03:46.680 --> 01:03:50.880

port of killing home that we appended to, uh, CDNs relevant representation. 914 01:03:51.020 --> 01:03:55.320 You can see from that that, um, uh, that has been the practice there. 915 01:03:55.880 --> 01:03:58.920 I think there's one very important factor to bear in mind here, 916 01:03:58.930 --> 01:04:02.840 which is definitely an operational issue, I think was the, 917 01:04:02.860 --> 01:04:04.600 the way you expressed it, which is, um, 918 01:04:04.980 --> 01:04:08.520 the incorporation of section 33 of the 1847 Act, 919 01:04:08.520 --> 01:04:10.960 which is a very important provision, um, 920 01:04:11.140 --> 01:04:15.160 in effect imposing what's known as the open port duty 921 01:04:16.420 --> 01:04:20.680 on the facility that is, would be authorized by this, uh, order. 922 01:04:21.340 --> 01:04:23.160 Um, and that is a, 923 01:04:23.280 --> 01:04:28.120 a fundamental aspect of English port and harbor law that applies to 924 01:04:28.320 --> 01:04:30.680 most statutory harbor authorities, 925 01:04:31.380 --> 01:04:35.440 namely that on payment of relevant due and 926 01:04:35.990 --> 01:04:38.320

complying with bylaws and other provisions, 927 01:04:38.980 --> 01:04:43.640 the port or a a facility concerned shall be open to all traffic. 928 01:04:44.260 --> 01:04:48.720 Uh, so it's not a private facility, uh, and that is a fundamental point. 929 01:04:48.740 --> 01:04:49.380 And therefore, 930 01:04:49.380 --> 01:04:53.760 in incorporating section 33 of the 1847 act into this 931 01:04:54.170 --> 01:04:58.520 order, it is saying that this provision will be a public facility in essence, 932 01:04:58.980 --> 01:04:59.350 uh, 933 01:04:59.350 --> 01:05:03.520 subject to complying with the local legislation relating to the Port of Ingham. 934 01:05:04.220 --> 01:05:08.880 And, um, uh, uh, that I wanted just to, 935 01:05:09.420 --> 01:05:11.880 uh, make a clear point on because it's, it's, 936 01:05:11.880 --> 01:05:16.240 it's a fundamental point that needs to be understood. Um, if, 937 01:05:16.260 --> 01:05:19.720 if I could also, um, with apologies, 938 01:05:20.320 --> 01:05:24.240 I wasn't able to secure your attention, but whilst I'm speaking on that,

939 01:05:24.340 --> 01:05:28.440 on the last point about relevant planning authority c c, 940 01:05:28.460 --> 01:05:32.760 can I just make a point which I don't think was covered, um, which is that the, 941 01:05:33.420 --> 01:05:34.253 the, the, the, 942 01:05:34.300 --> 01:05:39.040 the definition there implies that there is another 943 01:05:39.650 --> 01:05:43.800 local planning and authority and highway authority for other parts of the order 944 01:05:43.830 --> 01:05:47.600 land. Because the definition we see, uh, it's not on the screen now, 945 01:05:47.600 --> 01:05:51.040 but the definition we see says that relevant planning authority means the local 946 01:05:51.240 --> 01:05:54.960 planning authority and highway authority for that part of the order land that 947 01:05:54.960 --> 01:05:58.680 falls within the administrative jurisdiction of Northeast Lincolnshire Council. 948 01:05:58.680 --> 01:06:01.920 Therefore, presumably there is another part of the order land that doesn't, 949 01:06:01.950 --> 01:06:05.920 therefore, in whose jurisdiction does that lie? Uh, so it, 950 01:06:05.980 --> 01:06:08.080 it may be that that can be covered as well by Mr.

951 01:06:08.080 --> 01:06:12.960 Greenwood in reconsidering the definition of this planning authority, um, 952 01:06:14.020 --> 01:06:16.480 uh, or this council, given it's not just planning functions, 953 01:06:16.480 - > 01:06:19.560but highway functions. Um, apologies for taking us back to that, 954 01:06:19.560 --> 01:06:21.400 but I thought it's an important point to make, sir. 955 01:06:24.370 --> 01:06:29.080 Thank you, Mr. Toro. I, I, I, I really, 956 01:06:29.120 --> 01:06:30.920 I think in terms of Article four, 957 01:06:31.550 --> 01:06:36.240 there's a bit more work that needs to be done in the explanatory memorandum 958 01:06:36.380 --> 01:06:39.040 as well as potentially, um, 959 01:06:39.110 --> 01:06:43.600 looking at which are the relevant sections that would need to be. But again, I, 960 01:06:43.760 --> 01:06:46.600 I certainly would come back to the point, um, 961 01:06:47.430 --> 01:06:51.600 that really only sections of the 1847 Act 962 01:06:52.600 --> 01:06:57.170 that really bite on the operation of the port and that need to be for the sort 963 01:06:57.170 --> 01:07:01.130

of reason that Mr. Owens just given should be in a made order. 964 01:07:01.710 --> 01:07:06.290 If there's anything in there, um, that is so antiquated, um, 965 01:07:06.630 --> 01:07:11.090 and is being dealt with either because of the consenting mechanism through the, 966 01:07:11.090 --> 01:07:12.850 the, or the draft order, or sorry, 967 01:07:13.010 --> 01:07:17.770 a made order itself or potentially other legislation that's 968 01:07:17.800 --> 01:07:21.610 overtaken events, then it really ought not be in there because it, 969 01:07:21.610 --> 01:07:23.450 it just seems to be adding, um, 970 01:07:24.200 --> 01:07:27.890 another dimension that just may not be relevant. 971 01:07:29.070 --> 01:07:29.730 Ms. Hutton, 972 01:07:29.730 --> 01:07:34.210 have you got any observations in from the statutory Harbor authority side of 973 01:07:34.210 --> 01:07:34.850 things, 974 01:07:34.850 --> 01:07:35.970 Victoria Hutton for the Harbor Master? 975 01:07:36.230 --> 01:07:38.650 So I think we will take that away and consider our opposition. 976 01:07:41.230 --> 01:07:42.063

Mr. Walker, 977 01:07:42.870 --> 01:07:47.370 Uh, Sarah Angus Walker for D F D Ss? I don't have any comments on Article four. 978 01:07:47.450 --> 01:07:51.730 I just hope we haven't left Article two behind because I had a couple more on 979 01:07:51.730 --> 01:07:56.610 that. And indeed on the agenda it talks about the interpretation of Roro unit, 980 01:07:56.610 --> 01:07:57.850 which I don't think we've covered. 981 01:08:00.230 --> 01:08:03.290 Now, I was going to come back, um, 982 01:08:04.310 --> 01:08:06.050 but Mr. Greenwood, 983 01:08:07.110 --> 01:08:10.610 Uh, thank you. Thank you, sir. Uh, and, and yes, uh, 984 01:08:10.890 --> 01:08:15.360 I did actually have section 33 of the 18 47 7 Act in front of me. And, um, 985 01:08:15.500 --> 01:08:20.480 I'm grateful for, uh, uh, Mr. Owen's explanation there as well. So, 986 01:08:20.490 --> 01:08:22.840 we'll, we'll look at the definition of council. The, 987 01:08:22.860 --> 01:08:24.880 the reason we chose the words, uh, as, 988 01:08:24.980 --> 01:08:28.880 as part that part of the order is that of course, uh,

989 01:08:28.940 --> 01:08:32.040 not all of the order land does fall within Northeastern Council. 990 01:08:32.150 --> 01:08:35.800 Some of it falls within the crown of state. Uh, so that, that was different, 991 01:08:35.800 --> 01:08:38.560 but we will look at that. And I apologized, sir, 992 01:08:38.680 --> 01:08:41.400 I did jump over row row units and row row vessels. So 993 01:08:42.110 --> 01:08:45.240 Just come back to that section. I think the, some of the, the, 994 01:08:47.020 --> 01:08:48.160 the questions and, um, 995 01:08:48.180 --> 01:08:52.520 the issues that are arise are because the explanatory memorandum, 996 01:08:53.540 --> 01:08:54.373 um, 997 01:08:54.580 --> 01:08:58.560 is not perhaps as full or as useful as it might be. 998 01:08:59.580 --> 01:09:02.920 Um, I've recently had cause to use, um, 999 01:09:03.070 --> 01:09:07.480 another explanatory memorandum for a completely different type of case work 1000 01:09:08.100 --> 01:09:10.440 and struggled. Um, 1001 01:09:11.380 --> 01:09:15.520 and so have a lot of lawyers in that particular case struggled with

1002 01:09:15.520 --> 01:09:18.360 interpretation, um, a lot largely, 1003 01:09:18.780 --> 01:09:23.120 and that's because the memorandum didn't really help anybody. Um, 1004 01:09:23.580 --> 01:09:26.600 and I think in, in, you know, particularly Article four, 1005 01:09:26.600 --> 01:09:30.200 there is a need to beef up the memorandum. Um, 1006 01:09:30.820 --> 01:09:34.760 but if there are sections that really aren't relevant, 1007 01:09:35.230 --> 01:09:39.160 then they ought not to be, I would suggest incorporated into any made order, 1008 01:09:40.620 --> 01:09:44.280 Uh, Brian Greenwood, the, uh, a V p, and that is entirely agreed. Sir. 1009 01:09:46.660 --> 01:09:51.120 Um, Mr. Greenwood, before we go back to article two, if we may, 1010 01:09:51.460 --> 01:09:52.293 um, 1011 01:09:52.300 --> 01:09:57.240 do you have any comment on that point regarding section 33 of the 1847 Act that 1012 01:09:57.300 --> 01:09:58.133 was made? 1013 01:09:58.870 --> 01:10:03.360 Well, Vanessa, the, as well, I agree entirely with everything Mr. Owen has said. 1014 01:10:03.360 --> 01:10:06.800

Section 33 is a critical section. Uh, 1015 01:10:07.030 --> 01:10:11.120 what we will do is, uh, in order of your request, 1016 01:10:11.220 --> 01:10:16.000 we will go through every single section and I will make sure that our 1017 01:10:16.400 --> 01:10:21.000 rationale for inclusion or not inclusion is detailed in explanatory memorandum 1018 01:10:21.060 --> 01:10:22.200 and, and deal with it that way. 1019 01:10:27.590 --> 01:10:28.423 Mr. 1020 01:10:28.910 --> 01:10:33.570 Robbie Owens, c c r d n, just briefly, sir. Um, I, I think, uh, 1021 01:10:34.470 --> 01:10:38.770 the, the, the, the, the plan, or I think it was a plan that Mr. 1022 01:10:38.770 --> 01:10:42.810 Greenwood said that his note on Harbor functions would, uh, 1023 01:10:43.590 --> 01:10:45.690 the note would come along with a plan. I, 1024 01:10:45.810 --> 01:10:47.650 I think it might be helpful if possible, 1025 01:10:48.150 --> 01:10:52.570 if that same plan could also set out the, uh, 1026 01:10:53.110 --> 01:10:56.690 administrative boundaries of the relevant local authorities. 1027 01:10:57.030 --> 01:11:01.770

And also, if there is, as I'm wondering whether this is what Mr. 1028 01:11:01.770 --> 01:11:06.250 Greenwood meant, um, in effect a gap from the low watermark, 1029 01:11:06.250 --> 01:11:10.690 which is normally the limited jurisdiction of a local authority. Um, 1030 01:11:11.190 --> 01:11:13.720 uh, and, and therefore, uh, 1031 01:11:13.830 --> 01:11:18.800 sort of seaward from that is whether that is just the crown of state or 1032 01:11:18.800 --> 01:11:23.680 whether the principle of Esthers applies and therefore the 1033 01:11:23.680 --> 01:11:26.880 jurisdiction of the local authorities goes to the middle of the estuary. Um, I, 1034 01:11:26.960 --> 01:11:30.800 I think, um, there's a maxim, isn't there, um, 1035 01:11:32.870 --> 01:11:37.280 land between claws or something in Latin, um, which I shouldn't attempt to, 1036 01:11:38.460 --> 01:11:41.400 uh, uh, give now. But, uh, it'd be helpful if, 1037 01:11:41.400 --> 01:11:44.880 if we could just see visually the various boundaries of jurisdiction in, 1038 01:11:44.880 --> 01:11:48.160 in terms of local harbor and conservancy functions on the one hand, 1039 01:11:48.180 --> 01:11:53.160 but also planning and highway functions and crown of state that might produce

1040 01:11:53.160 --> 01:11:54.080 quite a crowded plan. 1041 01:11:54.230 --> 01:11:57.280 It's obviously up to the applicant as to what they can do to provide clarity, 1042 01:11:57.300 --> 01:11:58.240 but I think it would really help 1043 01:12:00.700 --> 01:12:01.533 Mr. Greenman. 1044 01:12:02.250 --> 01:12:05.840 Thank, uh, thank you sir. Brian Greenwood for a v p. Uh, thank you, Mr. Owen. 1045 01:12:06.140 --> 01:12:08.720 I'd be delighted to add that into my short note. Uh, 1046 01:12:08.840 --> 01:12:12.480 and we have of course spoken to Northeast Lire Council as Do Boundaries, sir, 1047 01:12:12.480 --> 01:12:15.200 but yes, uh, I, I'm sure that would assist. So 1048 01:12:15.310 --> 01:12:16.020 Yeah, the, the, 1049 01:12:16.020 --> 01:12:20.840 the reason why I was smiling earlier in terms of water levels is I've previously 1050 01:12:21.120 --> 01:12:24.880 involved in it, uh, in my level of authority days where yes, 1051 01:12:24.980 --> 01:12:29.440 it became an issue is again, jurisdiction, uh, with, 1052

01:12:29.990 --> 01:12:32.680 well, what was the predecessor to M M O. 1053 01:12:32.700 --> 01:12:37.040 And it became very difficult to work out where the local authorities' 1054 01:12:37.040 --> 01:12:41.920 jurisdiction began in versus where their jurisdiction began in and who was 1055 01:12:42.360 --> 01:12:45.880 required to do habitat regulations assessments at various stages. 1056 01:12:46.020 --> 01:12:50.000 And it all got very messy. So yeah, 1057 01:12:50.240 --> 01:12:53.840 I think any plan that shows jurisdictions would be of assistance because it's 1058 01:12:53.840 --> 01:12:57.920 sounding like we, we do have quite a confused regime. 1059 01:12:59.180 --> 01:13:02.480 Um, in terms of the interpretation of Roro Union, 1060 01:13:02.480 --> 01:13:06.240 really the point that I was seeking to raise, uh, 1061 01:13:06.240 --> 01:13:09.320 through putting the matter on the agenda, um, 1062 01:13:09.860 --> 01:13:13.520 is that Article 21 talks about, um, 1063 01:13:14.100 --> 01:13:18.800 660,000 units per annum. Um, 1064 01:13:18.900 --> 01:13:23.120 and really what was behind my concern, um,

1065 01:13:23.540 --> 01:13:27.480 is the actual definition of unit in the act, 1066 01:13:27.620 --> 01:13:30.800 the planning Act 2008 at section 24 6, 1067 01:13:32.260 --> 01:13:37.200 and where any car importation or exporting may sit, 1068 01:13:38.070 --> 01:13:40.800 because as I understand it, a vehicle 1069 01:13:42.620 --> 01:13:47.280 self-propelled when they're, when they're loaded onto a ship, 1070 01:13:47.280 --> 01:13:50.400 whether that's, um, departing or for that matter, 1071 01:13:50.620 --> 01:13:54.840 if they arrive and they're therefore being imported, that would be, 1072 01:13:54.860 --> 01:13:58.880 as I understand it, a unit that however, 1073 01:13:59.660 --> 01:14:02.960 um, once they're being, if they're, 1074 01:14:03.380 --> 01:14:07.520 the vehicles are being delivered to the port for onward trans, uh, transmission, 1075 01:14:07.550 --> 01:14:11.280 they would come on a transport, I would presume. So you might get six, eight, 1076 01:14:11.280 --> 01:14:15.840 however many vehicles on an inbound vehicle. And similarly on the way out, 1077 01:14:16.660 --> 01:14:21.640 if they're being, um, for, I got that the wrong way around, export,

1078 01:14:21.790 --> 01:14:25.960 come in on a transporter, import, go out on transporter, 1079 01:14:27.180 --> 01:14:27.780 um, 1080 01:14:27.780 --> 01:14:32.560 to me it was unclear quite how that 660,000 1081 01:14:32.700 --> 01:14:37.160 number had been derived. Whether if, um, 1082 01:14:37.210 --> 01:14:39.880 there is any intention to move vehicles, 1083 01:14:40.900 --> 01:14:43.520 if there is any intention to move vehicles, 1084 01:14:43.710 --> 01:14:48.680 what implication does that have for the 660,000 unit 1085 01:14:48.820 --> 01:14:53.120 number? Because the unit number definition, as I say in the act, 1086 01:14:53.770 --> 01:14:58.200 seems to be more open than potentially the unit definition that you've got in 1087 01:14:58.200 --> 01:14:59.033 the draft order. 1088 01:15:00.690 --> 01:15:03.520 Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood, A B P, and you are entirely right to, 1089 01:15:03.620 --> 01:15:07.000 we did spend some considerable time, uh, on, 1090 01:15:07.060 --> 01:15:09.720 on these definitions for the very reason that you, you, you,

1091 01:15:09.720 --> 01:15:13.840 you've just explained. Sir, I would quite like to, um, 1092 01:15:14.390 --> 01:15:19.280 discuss your question with the potential operator of, of, uh, the, the, 1093 01:15:19.300 --> 01:15:24.160 um, three births in that I want to make sure that I don't say anything that they 1094 01:15:24.680 --> 01:15:29.400 disagree with. Would you be, uh, happy if I put that in my, uh, response, 1095 01:15:29.700 --> 01:15:31.480 uh, for deadline one? 1096 01:15:32.750 --> 01:15:35.360 Yeah, as a, as a, in effect, postscript to 1097 01:15:35.920 --> 01:15:39.760 I, there may be one or two, maybe one or two others, uh, and I, I will, uh, 1098 01:15:39.760 --> 01:15:41.000 yeah, note them more 1099 01:15:41.570 --> 01:15:44.760 There. I mean, you will be producing a note, no doubt, uh, 1100 01:15:44.760 --> 01:15:48.000 following on from this hearing. So yeah, that's something, um, 1101 01:15:48.080 --> 01:15:51.520 I think that would be appropriate. But it was just something that, you know, 1102 01:15:51.520 --> 01:15:55.480 when I was reading the order firsthand and got the act out,

1103 01:15:55.840 --> 01:15:59.800 I couldn't quite get the act and what was said in the order to tally. 1104 01:16:03.820 --> 01:16:06.520 So did, uh, Brian Greenwood for a b p, did Mr. 1105 01:16:06.520 --> 01:16:11.000 Walker have any additional points, uh, having drawn attention to, uh, 1106 01:16:12.090 --> 01:16:13.830 Interpretation Article two. 1107 01:16:14.650 --> 01:16:18.710 Um, thank you Mr. Greenwood. Um, yes, Angus Walker for D F T Ss. 1108 01:16:18.750 --> 01:16:23.390 I have a couple of points on article, further points in Article two, 1109 01:16:24.130 --> 01:16:27.990 no D C O hearing would be complete without a discussion of the definition of 1110 01:16:28.350 --> 01:16:32.910 construct and maintain. And so to make this not an exception, 1111 01:16:33.410 --> 01:16:33.850 um, 1112 01:16:33.850 - > 01:16:38.790we are concerned that construct includes replacing and 1113 01:16:39.350 --> 01:16:43.350 maintain includes reconstruct, um, 1114 01:16:44.720 --> 01:16:47.910 which would appear to go beyond the initial construction of the facility. 1115 01:16:49.050 --> 01:16:54.030

And we expect this D c O only to authorize that it to 1116 01:16:54.030 --> 01:16:54.990 be constructed once. 1117 01:16:55.050 --> 01:16:59.870 And the environmental statement assumes presumably that is only beina 1118 01:16:59.870 --> 01:17:04.310 constructed and not then subsequently replaced or reconstructed. So 1119 01:17:06.710 --> 01:17:10.800 I think we would hope that the definitions would be restricted to anything that 1120 01:17:10.800 --> 01:17:13.960 was assessed in the environmental statements and not go beyond that. 1121 01:17:15.300 --> 01:17:19.720 The other one other point, and this may seem a little trivial, 1122 01:17:19.720 --> 01:17:23.560 but there's something behind this, is the definition of order limits, 1123 01:17:25.260 --> 01:17:26.093 um, 1124 01:17:27.100 --> 01:17:31.640 is the limits of deviation and the limits of construction activity shown on the 1125 01:17:31.640 --> 01:17:35.000 works plans. Um, if you look at the works plans, 1126 01:17:36.580 --> 01:17:38.600 you don't necessarily need to put them on the screen now, 1127 01:17:38.980 --> 01:17:42.640 it just has a line saying all the limits. It's a bit of a circular,

1128 01:17:43.940 --> 01:17:48.000 uh, definition. But, uh, while onshore, 1129 01:17:48.140 --> 01:17:50.520 the order limits shown on the works, 1130 01:17:50.520 --> 01:17:54.360 plans do go around all the limits of deviation for the individual works 1131 01:17:54.840 --> 01:17:57.760 offshore. There is some area of the, um, 1132 01:17:58.280 --> 01:18:02.880 Humber that is outside the limits of deviation of the 1133 01:18:02.880 --> 01:18:03.800 individual works, 1134 01:18:04.900 --> 01:18:08.880 and we weren't sure what was exp what was going to happen in that area. Um, 1135 01:18:09.740 --> 01:18:13.320 the only thing I I can think of is that in the deemed marine license, 1136 01:18:15.250 --> 01:18:20.160 there is a set of coordinates, let me just go down to it. You, 1137 01:18:20.260 --> 01:18:23.760 uh, here we are, no, 1138 01:18:26.420 --> 01:18:29.720 yes at, uh, paragraph five of schedule three, 1139 01:18:30.730 --> 01:18:35.520 which is where the license holder may carry out a licensed activity. Um, 1140 01:18:36.900 --> 01:18:39.880

and those points in that art, uh, 1141 01:18:39.880 --> 01:18:43.760 that paragraph seem to correspond to the edges of the order limits in the river 1142 01:18:43.960 --> 01:18:44.793 Humber. So 1143 01:18:45.980 --> 01:18:50.960 we were just wondering why the order limits were wider than the limits 1144 01:18:51.020 --> 01:18:53.520 of deviation of all the works, and that, 1145 01:18:53.630 --> 01:18:56.200 that was the only explanation we could find. 1146 01:18:58.650 --> 01:19:00.520 Thank you, Mr. Walker. I, I, 1147 01:19:00.570 --> 01:19:05.320 those questions do overlap with questions we were otherwise going to pose. Um, 1148 01:19:05.800 --> 01:19:08.400 I think it's opportunity just for Greenwood, 1149 01:19:08.740 --> 01:19:11.480 do you have an immediate answer or is it something you'd like to take away and 1150 01:19:11.930 --> 01:19:12.763 respondent 1151 01:19:12.850 --> 01:19:16.280 Brian Greenwood for, uh, a b P? So I'd like to take that away. Uh, 1152 01:19:16.420 --> 01:19:20.840 I'm not sure I've fully understand the, the differentiation, uh,

1153 01:19:20.840 --> 01:19:24.560 but obviously Mr. Walker has got the, got the point. So, um, uh, 1154 01:19:24.780 --> 01:19:29.160 we will come back and, and give, uh, give a response to that as well. I, 1155 $01:19:29.280 \rightarrow 01:19:32.920$ I may cross refer to Mr. Walker, uh, after the examination. 1156 01:19:33.210 --> 01:19:35.640 Thank you. That seems entirely sensible. Um, 1157 01:19:36.080 --> 01:19:40.600 I think the may or may not be any other points of 1158 01:19:40.620 --> 01:19:45.040 interpretation is, uh, anything else. We, I've, 1159 01:19:45.120 --> 01:19:49.320 I have one, uh, if I may just to slip in here. And that is, um, 1160 01:19:50.340 --> 01:19:52.520 interpretation of vessel. Um, 1161 01:19:53.350 --> 01:19:57.160 it's different to that used in multiple, um, 1162 01:19:57.210 --> 01:20:01.720 other made dcos, uh, with marine elements. Um, 1163 01:20:02.140 --> 01:20:05.520 it includes the wording or placement in water, 1164 01:20:06.810 --> 01:20:11.640 which doesn't appear in at least some other dcos. 1165 01:20:11.720 --> 01:20:16.560 I can't claim to be an authority on all of the made dcos. Um,

1166

01:20:17.110 --> 01:20:21.040 does that have the potential to include fixed structures 1167 01:20:22.190 --> 01:20:24.120 such as piles or cassons 1168 01:20:25.290 --> 01:20:28.720 Brian Greenwood for a b p? That's certainly not the intention, sir. 1169 01:20:28.880 --> 01:20:32.560 I would have another look at the definition and if there's any ambiguity, 1170 01:20:32.720 --> 01:20:33.410 I will correct it. 1171 01:20:33.410 --> 01:20:34.243 Thank you. 1172 01:20:46.880 --> 01:20:51.630 Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood for a b p. So moving on, sir. Uh, on, uh, 1173 01:20:51.970 --> 01:20:56.710 and I'm only picking up major changes, uh, in the, in the draft, uh, 1174 01:20:57.690 --> 01:20:59.030 Mr. Walker and, and Mr. 1175 01:20:59.030 --> 01:21:02.270 Owen have already drawn attention to limits of deviation. Mr. 1176 01:21:02.270 --> 01:21:04.190 Walker has pointed out that, uh, 1177 01:21:04.730 --> 01:21:08.150 the way the limits of deviation in Article seven were drafted, 1178 01:21:08.170 --> 01:21:12.510 we could actually, uh, take our dredge pocket down to, uh, 1179

01:21:13.290 --> 01:21:17.030 New Zealand or wherever. Uh, we've have corrected that. So it is, uh, 1180 01:21:17.030 --> 01:21:21.390 limited to the, uh, the anticipated maximum depth. 1181 01:21:22.330 --> 01:21:26.470 Um, sir, moving on just to part three, 1182 01:21:26.650 --> 01:21:31.310 powers of acquisition, and I dunno how much you would like to hear. Um, again, 1183 01:21:31.820 --> 01:21:36.750 this is a, uh, hopefully a positive note. Uh, 1184 01:21:37.070 --> 01:21:41.350 bearing in mind you will be scheduling hearings. Uh, you, 1185 01:21:41.410 --> 01:21:43.710 you will see that in the book of reference. Uh, 1186 01:21:44.130 --> 01:21:48.030 we are looking at potential compulsory purchase and compulsory purchase of 1187 01:21:48.050 --> 01:21:52.350 rights. Uh, all of the bodies involved, sir, 1188 01:21:52.530 --> 01:21:56.710 are tenants of A B P A B P is the, uh, 1189 01:21:57.560 --> 01:22:01.590 freeholder statue undertaker, and all of the bodies concerned are, 1190 01:22:02.410 --> 01:22:07.270 uh, tenants, uh, either holding on dcs or licenses. Uh, the one exception, 1191 01:22:07.270 --> 01:22:11.230 of course, sir, is the crown state. Um, but sir,

1192 01:22:11.350 --> 01:22:13.990 I could just go through and give you a, a, 1193 01:22:14.190 --> 01:22:18.750 a very quick summary of the latest position. Uh, the conclusion, by the way, 1194 01:22:18.750 --> 01:22:22.790 of the latest position is that we are very hopeful that, uh, 1195 01:22:22.930 --> 01:22:25.590 in due course, during course of the examination, 1196 01:22:25.770 --> 01:22:30.630 we will be able to say that all of the necessary agreements with the 1197 01:22:30.630 --> 01:22:34.990 tenants have been completed and that we will no longer be seeking any power of 1198 01:22:34.990 --> 01:22:39.190 comp poultry acquisition. I can't say that as yet, sir, 1199 01:22:39.690 --> 01:22:44.430 but for example, um, PK Construction jurors and Malcolm West, 1200 01:22:45.290 --> 01:22:48.790 uh, they are tenants of the port, uh, 1201 $01:22:49.500 \rightarrow 01:22:54.110$ very close and adjacent to the internal bridge. And we are, uh, 1202 01:22:55.380 --> 01:22:57.710 because we are seeking to, uh, 1203 01:22:57.820 --> 01:23:02.470 make a change to the length of the internal bridge that would affect 1204 01:23:02.680 --> 01:23:06.800 their potential future landholding, which when we get to, uh,

1205 01:23:06.820 --> 01:23:11.320 the changes notification you'll see will be to the tenant's benefit. Uh, 1206 01:23:11.380 --> 01:23:14.720 so hopefully we will be able to agree leases there. Uh, 1207 01:23:14.860 --> 01:23:19.520 origin are named or we are doing there, sir, is, uh, 1208 01:23:19.760 --> 01:23:24.040 amending their right of way, and a lease is almost complete on that. 1209 01:23:24.780 --> 01:23:27.200 Uh, vw uh, 1210 01:23:27.470 --> 01:23:31.480 they occupy the Western storage area. Uh, 1211 01:23:31.620 --> 01:23:33.360 we are in negotiating, uh, 1212 01:23:33.830 --> 01:23:38.320 negotiation negotiations with them, positive negotiations, 1213 01:23:39.670 --> 01:23:43.800 network rail and DV cargo that was just changing, uh, 1214 01:23:43.880 --> 01:23:48.640 a right of access and that has as good as been agreed so they can drop away. 1215 01:23:49.460 --> 01:23:53.640 Um, Exum, uh, that was a, uh, 1216 01:23:54.440 --> 01:23:57.040 question of a potential rights. They have pipes there. Uh, 1217 01:23:57.380 --> 01:23:59.120 we are in negotiation with them,

1218 01:23:59.420 --> 01:24:02.600 and I'm confident that that would be settled because we're not, 1219 01:24:02.600 --> 01:24:06.000 in fact interfering with, with their pipes. Um, 1220 $01:24:07.480 \longrightarrow 01:24:10.240$ H O T T and the crown of state, uh, 1221 01:24:11.800 --> 01:24:16.320 H 0 T T have a mooring boy, and that is located in the water, 1222 01:24:16.860 --> 01:24:20.080 uh, where we wish to construct our three births. 1223 01:24:20.780 --> 01:24:24.160 We are entering into an agreement, which is, 1224 01:24:24.360 --> 01:24:26.600 I understand almost complete, 1225 01:24:26.830 --> 01:24:29.880 whereby the mooring bay will be relocated. 1226 01:24:30.550 --> 01:24:34.400 This does affect the Crown states because technically, uh, 1227 01:24:34.650 --> 01:24:39.280 there H O T T have a lease or license with the Crown Estate. 1228 01:24:39.500 --> 01:24:44.440 So we've had to draw the Crown State into the circle. But once h o t are happy, 1229 01:24:44.510 --> 01:24:49.120 then that aspect of the Crown estate compulsory purchase falls away. And, 1230 01:24:49.340 --> 01:24:53.480 uh, the other details, sir, that we've listed open reach, uh,

1231 01:24:53.940 --> 01:24:57.360 Virgin Cadence, they just have rights existing in the port, 1232 01:24:57.360 --> 01:25:01.560 and we're protecting those through protective provisions. So, sir, I, 1233 01:25:01.720 --> 01:25:06.320 I cannot undertake that this will all be complete by the September hearings, 1234 01:25:06.320 --> 01:25:09.840 and I know you'll want to, uh, schedule a hearing, but, um, 1235 01:25:10.280 --> 01:25:13.080 I will keep you very fully informed, uh, 1236 01:25:13.100 --> 01:25:16.960 at all deadlines as to where we stand on the negotiations of all of the 1237 01:25:16.960 --> 01:25:17.793 interested parties. 1238 01:25:18.260 --> 01:25:22.160 And certainly it is our intention that everything will have been settled by the 1239 01:25:22.160 --> 01:25:22.993 time we close. 1240 01:25:25.380 --> 01:25:27.000 Uh, thank you Mr. Greenwood. I mean, 1241 01:25:27.940 --> 01:25:32.720 the need for compulsory acquisition hearing largely will only 1242 01:25:33.210 --> 01:25:37.280 arise if an affected person requests the hearing.

1243

01:25:38.180 --> 01:25:39.320 Uh, we might, 1244 01:25:39.500 --> 01:25:44.320 of course need some sort of light touch hearing for us to 1245 01:25:44.320 --> 01:25:46.200 go through, um, 1246 01:25:46.500 --> 01:25:50.720 the actual powers that you may or may not be seeking, um, 1247 01:25:50.860 --> 01:25:53.040 at the relevant time, um, 1248 01:25:54.020 --> 01:25:58.480 and testing those powers against policy and guidance, et cetera. 1249 01:25:58.820 --> 01:26:03.000 But that, that's useful to know. Um, but yes, 1250 01:26:03.140 --> 01:26:07.800 if you're able to keep us appraised of where you think you are going and with 1251 01:26:07.800 --> 01:26:08.633 negotiations, 1252 01:26:08.950 --> 01:26:12.760 that would assist particularly in us determining where that hearing, 1253 01:26:13.780 --> 01:26:18.400 um, might need to be slotted into what might otherwise be potentially quite busy 1254 01:26:18.430 --> 01:26:21.280 week, uh, during that September session. 1255 01:26:23.090 --> 01:26:26.120 Brian Green for the ex, uh, for the A B P? Yes, certainly, sir. 1256

01:26:26.120 --> 01:26:27.800 We'll make sure you're kept fully informed. 1257 01:26:29.220 --> 01:26:31.520 So I think next two points, 1258 01:26:31.660 --> 01:26:34.880 one point is a point that actually has been a drawn trial. Sorry, 1259 01:26:34.880 --> 01:26:36.440 Can I just, Mr. Walker has his hand up. 1260 01:26:37.400 --> 01:26:41.600 I Angus Walker for D F T S. I do have some points on some earlier articles. 1261 01:26:41.600 --> 01:26:43.720 We're going a bit fast here. 1262 01:26:44.200 --> 01:26:48.040 We're already on part three in according to Mr. Greenwood, but I still, 1263 01:26:48.160 --> 01:26:51.960 I have some points on part two. Shall I raise them now? 1264 01:26:55.180 --> 01:26:57.280 It would probably seem appropriate so we don't lose them. 1265 01:26:58.100 --> 01:27:01.960 So thank you, sir. Um, article six, 1266 01:27:02.630 --> 01:27:05.720 maintenance of the authorized development six. 1267 01:27:05.820 --> 01:27:09.680 One says the company may at any time maintain the authorized development, 1268 01:27:09.680 --> 01:27:12.640 accept the extent that this order or an agreement provides otherwise.

1269 01:27:13.940 --> 01:27:15.960 I'm not sure what sort of agreement would 1270 01:27:17.550 --> 01:27:21.040 involve if it's stopping the company from maintaining the authorized 1271 01:27:21.040 --> 01:27:21.873 development, 1272 01:27:22.060 --> 01:27:26.000 but if it's contemplating that someone else is going to be allowed to maintain 1273 01:27:26.000 --> 01:27:28.960 it, I think that would be outside the powers of such an agreement. 1274 01:27:29.820 --> 01:27:34.680 So I didn't really understand the purpose of Article 6 1 6 2. Actually, 1275 01:27:34.680 --> 01:27:37.960 going back to my question on the definition of maintain, 1276 01:27:38.030 --> 01:27:40.880 that might cure the issue about maintain, 1277 01:27:40.900 --> 01:27:45.480 but it doesn't cure the issue about construct, which still includes replace, 1278 01:27:46.580 --> 01:27:50.520 um, then on Article seven. 1279 01:27:51.220 --> 01:27:55.080 So unless, oh, Mr. Row would like to say something about that, 1280 01:27:57.090 --> 01:27:57.860 Sorry, 1281 01:27:57.860 --> 01:28:02.680

May I just come in? Thank you Robbie, C L D N on Article six one, um, before Mr. 1282 01:28:02.680 --> 01:28:05.480 Walker moves on, um, I, I, 1283 01:28:05.560 --> 01:28:10.520 I can understand why that exception in paragraph one of Article six would 1284 01:28:10.520 --> 01:28:15.200 be required if the preceding words impose an obligation to 1285 01:28:15.440 --> 01:28:16.440 maintain, but they don't. 1286 01:28:17.230 --> 01:28:21.360 It's just the power to maintain the company may at any time maintain. 1287 01:28:21.420 --> 01:28:25.480 So I don't understand why you then need to accept from that power, 1288 01:28:26.340 --> 01:28:27.173 um, 1289 01:28:28.180 --> 01:28:31.680 the circumstances that are then set out in the rest of the paragraph one. So, 1290 01:28:32.140 --> 01:28:34.600 um, I can understand why there's, why there's a, 1291 01:28:34.720 --> 01:28:38.320 a wish to have a statutory power to maintain. That's perfectly normal. Um, 1292 01:28:38.590 --> 01:28:41.840 because it, it is, but it, but because it would be just a power, not a, 1293 01:28:41.840 --> 01:28:43.920

not a duty, um, 1294 01:28:44.470 --> 01:28:48.800 then I would think that the applicant could consider finishing it halfway 1295 01:28:48.800 --> 01:28:51.240 through the sentence. Thank you. 1296 01:28:57.180 --> 01:28:58.050 Shall I continue? 1297 01:28:58.250 --> 01:28:59.650 I think the best, yeah, if we let Mr. 1298 01:28:59.750 --> 01:29:04.680 Walker continue with his list and then we'll ask Mr. Greenwood to respond. 1299 01:29:05.580 --> 01:29:09.720 Um, Angus Walker for D F T S. So moving on to article seven, uh, 1300 01:29:09.720 --> 01:29:11.080 limits of deviation, Mr. 1301 01:29:11.080 --> 01:29:16.000 Greenwood has acknowledged the the dredge pocket issue that we raise in our 1302 01:29:16.240 --> 01:29:20.000 relevant representation. A second point is that, um, 1303 01:29:21.590 --> 01:29:25.480 article seven a, uh, no, sorry, 1304 01:29:25.480 --> 01:29:28.520 seven B refers to the engineering sections, 1305 01:29:28.520 --> 01:29:33.040 drawings and plans for the vertical deviation. Um,

1306

01:29:33.580 --> 01:29:38.120 in the second iteration of the application after the first one was withdrawn, 1307 01:29:38.700 --> 01:29:41.960 an additional document called a building schedule was added, 1308 01:29:41.970 --> 01:29:46.960 which is reference a p P oh seven eight, which has some heights in it. 1309 01:29:47.140 --> 01:29:48.200 But the, 1310 01:29:48.230 --> 01:29:52.160 this article still continues to refer to the engineering drawings, 1311 01:29:52.860 --> 01:29:57.600 so I dunno if it is, should be updated to refer to this new building schedule. 1312 01:29:59.630 --> 01:30:02.120 That was my Article seven point. Um, 1313 01:30:03.020 --> 01:30:07.240 if my next one is Article 10, which we mentioned, uh, already, 1314 01:30:07.980 --> 01:30:10.240 powers of acquisition. Um, 1315 01:30:12.680 --> 01:30:17.460 the way Article ten one is expressed is very unusual that just the 1316 01:30:17.460 --> 01:30:21.380 company may acquire such rights as maybe required without really 1317 01:30:21.950 --> 01:30:26.260 specifying whether it's outright acquisition or anything less than that. 1318 01:30:27.560 --> 01:30:30.940 One is left wondering what rights are involved.

1319 01:30:31.040 --> 01:30:35.770 And perhaps that means that the maximum power is, 1320 01:30:35.830 --> 01:30:39.450 is to acquire outright, but it's not that clear. Um, 1321 $01:30:41.540 \rightarrow 01:30:46.220$ articles tend to excludes from acquisition, 1322 01:30:46.840 --> 01:30:50.100 um, the land held by the crown, but in fact, 1323 01:30:50.100 --> 01:30:54.860 you still need Crown consent for acquiring other people's interests in 1324 01:30:54.870 --> 01:30:59.260 Crown land. Just to note that. Um, and finally, 1325 01:31:00.360 --> 01:31:03.900 the book of reference, which is a p p 0 1 6, 1326 01:31:05.480 --> 01:31:06.313 um, 1327 01:31:06.660 --> 01:31:11.580 excludes compulsory acquisition for some parcels seven, eight a, 1328 01:31:11.950 --> 01:31:13.900 eight B 10, and 13. 1329 01:31:15.240 --> 01:31:20.180 But this article would still allow them to be acquired despite what it might say 1330 01:31:20.180 --> 01:31:24.660 in the book of reference. It doesn't, um, refer to that. 1331 01:31:25.840 --> 01:31:30.220 Um, my next one is on article 16.

1332 01:31:30.380 --> 01:31:33.180 I might as well, it's very short. It's a very, 1333 01:31:33.250 --> 01:31:37.540 just a drafting issue that Article 16 um, 1334 01:31:37.850 - > 01:31:40.620says subject to the provisions of paragraph two, 1335 01:31:40.680 --> 01:31:43.300 but it doesn't have a paragraph two, so I dunno if that was missing. 1336 01:31:45.680 --> 01:31:50.580 Um, my next ones are after your next point on the agenda of Article 21. 1337 01:31:50.580 --> 01:31:52.220 So I won't say anymore at this point. 1338 01:31:54.270 --> 01:31:58.780 Thank you, Mr. Walker. Mr. Green, with any response? Brian 1339 01:31:58.840 --> 01:32:01.040 Greenwood for a v p? No, sir. I, I, 1340 01:32:01.480 --> 01:32:05.000 a lot of these points have already been taken, taken up and, uh, I, 1341 01:32:05.300 --> 01:32:09.400 I'm grateful for Mr. Walker. I take it as all very helpful comments and, uh, 1342 01:32:10.380 --> 01:32:12.280 any points that we do not agree with, 1343 01:32:12.440 --> 01:32:17.240 I will of course note in our written representations. So, 1344 01:32:17.420 --> 01:32:22.320 sir, uh, moving on, and that does take me to Article 21 where,

1345 01:32:22.460 --> 01:32:25.520 uh, Mr. Walker and indeed Mr. Owen, uh, 1346 01:32:25.520 --> 01:32:30.040 have both been concerned about tail pieces. Uh, they're quite correct, uh, 1347 01:32:30.040 --> 01:32:33.840 that will be amended and that we amending to cover, to cover that point. Uh, 1348 01:32:35.380 --> 01:32:38.320 you have also made the point about H s E earlier on, sir, 1349 01:32:38.500 --> 01:32:41.040 and I will make sure that you are given a note, uh, 1350 01:32:41.310 --> 01:32:45.480 whether that will be in the same note as the Def D separation of powers. 1351 01:32:45.710 --> 01:32:47.800 I'll certainly reference H S E, 1352 01:32:47.820 --> 01:32:52.460 but h ss e are also need, need to be looked at on their own as, 1353 01:32:52.460 --> 01:32:54.060 as an, an important key body, 1354 01:32:54.260 --> 01:32:58.660 particularly if they're not going to participate in, in the examination. But I, 1355 01:32:58.690 --> 01:33:00.060 I'll, I'll deal with that. Sir. 1356 01:33:01.600 --> 01:33:02.433 Uh, 1357

01:33:03.200 --> 01:33:05.500 Sir, you've drawn attention to, uh, 1358 01:33:05.500 --> 01:33:05.940 Article 1359 01:33:05.940 --> 01:33:06.773 22 1360 01:33:07.440 --> 01:33:10.740 Before you move on, please. 21. Related to that, of course, 1361 01:33:10.740 --> 01:33:15.620 is what the definition my ultimately be for RO 1362 01:33:15.620 --> 01:33:17.300 unit in Article two. 1363 01:33:18.480 --> 01:33:22.020 Yes, sir. I'm, I'm very conscious of that. Brian Greenwood, a b P. 1364 01:33:23.600 --> 01:33:28.380 So sir, moving on to, um, article 22. 1365 01:33:28.600 --> 01:33:32.220 You've raised a question about this, this, in fact, sir, 1366 01:33:33.370 --> 01:33:37.900 goes back to Mr. Owen and my favorite section, 1367 01:33:38.160 - > 01:33:43.100uh, which, which, uh, Mr. Owen has referenced, uh, which is the open port, 1368 01:33:43.480 --> 01:33:47.740 uh, power in the 1847 Act. And the, 1369 01:33:47.800 --> 01:33:51.660 the way it works is with an open port provided the, uh, 1370 01:33:52.040 --> 01:33:55.740

vessel wishing to enter the port pays the correct use, uh, 1371 01:33:55.770 --> 01:33:59.140 then a b P has to allow that vessel to enter the port. 1372 01:33:59.730 --> 01:34:04.500 What this provision does is, despite section 33, 1373 01:34:04.640 --> 01:34:08.100 it does allow a b p effectively to say, no, 1374 01:34:08.210 --> 01:34:12.700 this part of the port can only be used for such and such person. And, 1375 01:34:12.720 --> 01:34:14.420 and that is why that has been included. 1376 01:34:16.640 --> 01:34:20.140 But did you have a specific question on that article, sir? N 1377 01:34:20.380 --> 01:34:23.380 N not expressed other than did it need to be there? 1378 01:34:23.620 --> 01:34:26.900 I think from what's being said, it does need to be done, 1379 01:34:26.920 --> 01:34:31.020 but the explanatory memorandum needs to explain why it needs to be there, 1380 01:34:31.470 --> 01:34:33.940 which is, um, a shortcoming at the moment. 1381 01:34:38.720 --> 01:34:40.220 Mr. First and then Mr. Walker, 1382 01:34:41.480 --> 01:34:46.260 Uh, Robbie o in the c r d n. Thank you, sir. Um, just on article 22, 1383 01:34:46.600 --> 01:34:50.420

uh, our, our point on on it is this, 1384 01:34:50.420 --> 01:34:53.980 that it is indeed a standard provision, um, 1385 01:34:54.480 --> 01:34:57.600 to allow Harbor authorities, uh, 1386 01:34:57.660 --> 01:35:02.400 in effect to delegate from the entitlement that section 1387 01:35:02.400 --> 01:35:06.320 33, if incorporated, uh, confers on, 1388 01:35:07.220 --> 01:35:12.160 uh, prospective Harbor users. Um, the issue here though is, 1389 01:35:12.300 --> 01:35:17.200 is this is, so, you know, the starting point, uh, 1390 01:35:17.340 --> 01:35:20.400 and I take it that this won't change, is that, you know, 1391 01:35:20.400 --> 01:35:23.080 article four incorporates section 33. 1392 01:35:23.660 --> 01:35:28.320 So the open port duty would apply to what is defined as the authorized 1393 $01:35:28.320 \rightarrow 01:35:32.080$ development. So in effect, what the D C O is there to authorize. 1394 01:35:32.540 --> 01:35:33.520 So in the one hand, 1395 01:35:33.940 --> 01:35:37.720 you are giving an open port obligation through incorporation Section 33, 1396 01:35:38.390 --> 01:35:42.640

article 22, then immediately allows, uh, 1397 01:35:43.540 --> 01:35:47.880 the company to, um, uh, take that away, um, by, 1398 01:35:48.420 --> 01:35:50.800 uh, exercising a power to appropriate. And 1399 01:35:52.350 --> 01:35:56.720 that is understandable in the context of, um, a, 1400 01:35:56.840 --> 01:36:00.720 a normal port harbor where you've got a number of different births and other 1401 01:36:00.720 --> 01:36:05.360 facilities, and some are used for regular ferry services, for example. 1402 01:36:05.380 --> 01:36:07.960 And that's exactly what this, uh, 1403 01:36:08.130 --> 01:36:10.360 power to probate allows the Harbor authority to do, 1404 01:36:10.420 --> 01:36:14.280 to set aside certain births for certain regular services. But here, 1405 01:36:15.380 --> 01:36:20.320 you, you, you, you, you, you've got a D C O seeking to authorize a scheme, 1406 01:36:20.610 --> 01:36:25.080 which we're told is intended for the benefit of stainer. Um, and, 1407 01:36:25.660 --> 01:36:27.960 uh, uh, and, and, and yet, um, 1408 01:36:28.740 --> 01:36:33.320 and that is presumably why Article 22 would allow the whole scheme that the D C

1409 01:36:33.320 --> 01:36:37.680 O authorizes to be appropriated for the benefit of stainer. Um, 1410 01:36:38.140 --> 01:36:41.920 and, and yet you've got the open port duty in Article four. So it, it, 1411 $01:36:41.920 \longrightarrow 01:36:45.240$ it doesn't really make sense in the context of A D C O for this sort of scheme 1412 01:36:45.250 --> 01:36:49.760 where, uh, uh, you've got one development, which is the subject of the D C O, 1413 01:36:50.010 --> 01:36:52.400 which is on the one hand covered by open port duty, 1414 01:36:52.400 --> 01:36:55.800 then that's being taken away immediately by the power to appropriate. 1415 01:36:55.900 --> 01:37:00.440 And that's very different from a situation where you've got a, a, a a, 1416 01:37:00.980 --> 01:37:04.000 an instrument like a D C O or a Harbor Vision order applying to, 1417 01:37:04.000 --> 01:37:05.680 to the whole of a, of a port or harbor. 1418 01:37:06.100 --> 01:37:09.680 And then individual facilities within it may be appropriated under the 1419 01:37:09.680 --> 01:37:13.000 equivalent of the power of Article 22. So, uh, uh, and, 1420 01:37:13.000 --> 01:37:16.360 and whilst Article 22 is worded to say that the company may from time to time

1421 01:37:16.460 --> 01:37:20.120 set, set apart and appropriate any part of the authorized development, 1422 01:37:20.120 --> 01:37:24.600 it refers to part, um, and again, in paragraph two of Article 22, 1423 01:37:24.760 --> 01:37:29.360 I think the intention we understand, but, but maybe a B P can clarify, 1424 01:37:29.430 --> 01:37:33.960 this is actually the power in Article 22 will be used to appropriate the whole 1425 01:37:34.260 --> 01:37:36.600 of the authorized development for the benefit of Stainer. 1426 01:37:37.350 --> 01:37:38.840 That that is what we understand, 1427 01:37:38.840 --> 01:37:42.040 given that appears to be in the motivations for, for the, uh, 1428 01:37:42.060 --> 01:37:46.400 for the entire application. And it'd be helpful to understand from a b p if, if, 1429 01:37:46.400 --> 01:37:47.680 if we've understood that correctly. 1430 01:37:52.700 --> 01:37:54.560 Mr. Green, uh, 1431 01:37:55.280 --> 01:37:57.710 Sorry, sir, I, I thought Mr. Walker also raised 1432 01:37:57.770 --> 01:38:00.390 His hand. Mine is on Article 21, so perhaps you could.

1433

01:38:02.330 --> 01:38:06.070 So, uh, and, and thank you for that comment. Uh, again, um, 1434 01:38:06.590 --> 01:38:08.990 I I would quite like to, uh, 1435 01:38:10.160 --> 01:38:12.310 leave the answer to that question, uh, 1436 01:38:12.840 --> 01:38:15.870 after I've been able to discuss the details with my client, 1437 01:38:16.010 --> 01:38:19.470 and you'll get a response, uh, in our written representation, sir. 1438 01:38:24.000 --> 01:38:27.230 Thank you, uh, Mr. Walker, going back to 21. 1439 01:38:27.230 --> 01:38:31.870 Thank, thank you, sir. Um, Angus Walker for D F T S, um, 1440 01:38:31.950 --> 01:38:35.950 article 21, this isn't something we put in our relevant representation. 1441 01:38:36.100 --> 01:38:38.670 It's arisen from our transport assessment, essentially, 1442 01:38:39.170 --> 01:38:44.030 is that this contains the cap of 660,000 row row units a year, 1443 01:38:45.290 --> 01:38:47.510 but it's not clear how anyone will know 1444 01:38:49.330 --> 01:38:51.030 is going to be monitoring that, 1445 01:38:51.090 --> 01:38:55.350 or is this entirely up to the applicant to count and, 1446 01:38:55.530 --> 01:38:59.430

and keep to itself how many there are or is it going to report it to anyone? Or, 1447 01:39:00.370 --> 01:39:03.590 we wondered how this was going to be policed to this article 1448 01:39:14.370 --> 01:39:16.590 And sorry, kind of allied to that. 1449 01:39:16.590 --> 01:39:21.030 It was the point that I made earlier that if there is vehicle importation, 1450 01:39:21.030 --> 01:39:23.470 that makes it even more difficult to, to police it. 1451 01:39:26.330 --> 01:39:28.870 Yes, sir. Brian Greenwood for a b p, sir, 1452 01:39:29.200 --> 01:39:32.310 there will be meth methodologies in place. Um, 1453 01:39:33.360 --> 01:39:37.990 could I possibly ask that this is covered in the transport 1454 01:39:38.020 --> 01:39:42.750 session for I S H two? Um, you, uh, 1455 01:39:42.890 --> 01:39:47.350 for I s H two, you haven't actually asked for a summary, but, uh, 1456 01:39:47.930 --> 01:39:52.510 we were proposing to give you an outline and we would include answers for that, 1457 01:39:52.690 --> 01:39:56.110 uh, on, on Thursday morning. If, if that would be, uh, 1458 01:39:57.910 --> 01:39:58.743 sufficient, 1459

01:40:02.280 --> 01:40:03.113 That'll be fine. 1460 01:40:03.440 --> 01:40:04.273 0kay, 1461 01:40:12.810 --> 01:40:17.630 So Brian Greenwood for a v p. Uh, so some of the points that Mr. 1462 01:40:17.630 --> 01:40:19.350 Walker probably wants to make, um, 1463 01:40:19.780 --> 01:40:23.870 regarding points he's already raised in relevant representations I have actually 1464 01:40:23.870 --> 01:40:28.230 taken on board. Uh, so I won't go through those. Um, but, 1465 01:40:28.690 --> 01:40:29.523 um, 1466 01:40:32.650 --> 01:40:36.670 the next question for you, sir, from you sir, is, uh, 1467 01:40:37.260 --> 01:40:40.430 article 28 agreement with Highway Authorities. 1468 01:40:40.570 --> 01:40:44.470 Unless there's something I, Mr. Walker does have one or two points before that, 1469 01:40:44.530 --> 01:40:46.190 but I have taken those on board. So, 1470 01:40:47.890 --> 01:40:48.830 Um, and Mr. 1471 01:40:48.830 --> 01:40:53.760 Walker for the F D s I will await to see the revised draft 1472

01:40:53.960 --> 01:40:56.440 D C O in that case and won't, won't need to raise. 1473 01:40:56.720 --> 01:40:59.880 I I wouldn't mind a break soon, if that's all right with you. 1474 01:41:06.740 --> 01:41:08.000 We are literally coming up to guarter pass. 1475 01:41:08.000 --> 01:41:12.080 Would this be a convenient point to take the break? Um, 1476 01:41:13.170 --> 01:41:15.880 we'll re resumption at half past four, 1477 01:41:15.880 --> 01:41:20.160 so that's about 17 minutes be okay. So, okay, 1478 01:41:20.260 --> 01:41:23.120 we adjourn then to half past four. Thank you very much. 1479 01:41:26.430 --> 01:41:31.000 Well, it looks like everybody in the room is back, so it's half past four. 1480 01:41:31.140 --> 01:41:34.000 The hearing is resumed. Uh, Ms. Hutson, 1481 01:41:34.000 --> 01:41:36.640 can I just check that you are still online? 1482 01:41:39.940 --> 01:41:41.240 Yes, sir, I'm still here. 1483 01:41:46.270 --> 01:41:50.610 Uh, Mr. Green, I think you are about to talk to, um, 1484 01:41:50.760 --> 01:41:53.770 article 28. Really, 1485 01:41:53.870 --> 01:41:57.010

if I can circumvent maybe what you're about to say. Really, 1486 01:41:57.010 --> 01:42:00.970 the query was what agreements, uh, 1487 01:42:01.340 --> 01:42:03.570 might lie behind Article 28. 1488 01:42:05.820 --> 01:42:10.450 Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood, A B P. And sir, thank you. Uh, 1489 01:42:11.190 --> 01:42:15.530 the agreement will be a section 2 7 8 agreement, uh, with the, uh, 1490 01:42:15.600 --> 01:42:17.130 highway authority, uh, 1491 01:42:17.130 --> 01:42:21.890 Northeast li of council negotiations are already underway and the intention 1492 01:42:22.110 --> 01:42:26.410 is to complete the Section 2 7 8 agreement so that, uh, 1493 01:42:26.410 --> 01:42:30.410 you can take it away with you by the close of the examination. 1494 01:42:30.830 --> 01:42:34.490 And that is all in relation to the proposed improvements to Eastgate. 1495 01:42:42.270 --> 01:42:46.610 And is that intended to be the only agreement under Article 28, 1496 01:42:49.580 --> 01:42:52.970 Brian Greenwood for a v p? Yes, as far as this case is concerned, 1497 01:42:52.970 --> 01:42:54.930 that was the only agreement that Buber required, 1498 01:42:55.560 --> 01:42:57.290

Then perhaps, uh, 1499 01:42:57.290 --> 01:43:01.770 there needs to be a slight redrafting that just refers to the singular 'cause. 1500 01:43:01.770 --> 01:43:04.650 It was begging the question as to what might have, uh, Mr. Tarone 1501 01:43:06.760 --> 01:43:10.050 Robo for C L D N. Uh, thank you, sir. We, we, 1502 01:43:10.050 --> 01:43:14.010 we also were slightly perplexed by, uh, this article, um, 1503 01:43:14.870 --> 01:43:19.530 in the, its drafting is relatively standard and is included in numerous dcos. 1504 01:43:19.840 --> 01:43:24.650 However, it's, it's not clear why it's been included in this draft. 1505 01:43:24.810 --> 01:43:26.130 D C O, uh, 1506 01:43:28.150 --> 01:43:31.610 in indeed the only provision of this draft order that contains powers in 1507 01:43:31.810 --> 01:43:34.450 relation to streets is this article. Um, 1508 01:43:34.870 --> 01:43:38.770 and therefore we're not clear what power then is proposed to be 1509 01:43:39.850 --> 01:43:44.770 exercise pursuant to an agreement made under subparagraph one A and 1510 01:43:44.790 --> 01:43:46.090 two A. And, and the, 1511

01:43:46.390 --> 01:43:49.930 the explanatory memorandum doesn't really help with this question. We, we, 1512 01:43:49.950 --> 01:43:53.080 we note that the consents and agreements position statement, 1513 01:43:53.080 --> 01:43:56.920 that's a P P 1 1 0 in table one, 1514 01:43:57.060 --> 01:43:58.480 row seven on page 12, 1515 01:43:58.530 --> 01:44:02.480 makes reference to entering into agreements under the Highways Act with 1516 01:44:02.750 --> 01:44:06.160 Northeast Link Re Council. Um, which, 1517 01:44:06.160 --> 01:44:09.760 which it said are required to allow any works to public highway. 1518 01:44:11.100 --> 01:44:15.320 And presumably this is a reference to works in the highway to mitigate the 1519 01:44:15.320 --> 01:44:17.680 adverse transport effects of the proposed scheme. 1520 01:44:18.900 --> 01:44:22.040 But those measures aren't included within, within the order. 1521 01:44:22.220 --> 01:44:26.880 And so would potentially require planning permission under the 1522 01:44:27.080 --> 01:44:32.080 Tana Country Planning Act 1990 and assessment of the environmental effects of 1523 01:44:32.080 --> 01:44:34.320 those highway works. Uh,

1524 01:44:34.470 --> 01:44:38.760 they would potentially require the 1980 Act, 1525 01:44:39.460 --> 01:44:42.160 uh, agreements to be entered into, as Mr. Agreement has said, and 1526 01:44:43.670 --> 01:44:48.320 potentially would require a B P to secure interest in land 1527 01:44:48.320 --> 01:44:51.000 necessary to carry out the works. We, we, we don't know, 1528 01:44:51.000 --> 01:44:55.160 and it just calls into question to our mind the, uh, uh, uh, 1529 01:44:55.380 --> 01:44:59.640 AB P's ability to deliver the mitigation necessary for the scheme, 1530 01:45:00.300 --> 01:45:03.640 uh, and indeed the adequacy of the environmental mitigation we've been presented 1531 01:45:03.640 --> 01:45:04.473 with in this respect. 1532 01:45:04.500 --> 01:45:09.040 So I think some clarity dealing with those matters I've raised would be 1533 01:45:09.470 --> 01:45:11.080 much appreciated. Thank you, 1534 01:45:31.930 --> 01:45:33.470 Mr. Greenwood. Any response? 1535 01:45:33.640 --> 01:45:35.590 Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood, a b 1536 01:45:35.670 --> 01:45:36.270 P, uh,

1537 01:45:36.270 --> 01:45:39.550 Certainly we will provide clarity. We we're not in fact doing, uh, 1538 01:45:40.360 --> 01:45:42.430 works in the public highway, uh, 1539 $01:45:43.730 \rightarrow 01:45:47.950$ in relation to this development apart from a small sliver of land, 1540 01:45:47.950 --> 01:45:50.870 which is actually next door to the Eastgate, uh, 1541 01:45:50.870 --> 01:45:54.750 because we're going to have to widen the bell mouth and access. And that is, 1542 01:45:54.750 --> 01:45:58.790 that is what is about what we would do, sir, is explain in our written reps, 1543 01:45:58.790 --> 01:46:01.710 and I will expand the explanatory memorandum to cover that point. 1544 01:46:03.400 --> 01:46:04.190 Thank you. 1545 01:46:04.190 --> 01:46:08.950 I think if you like to save duplication in submissions, 1546 01:46:09.540 --> 01:46:13.510 certainly full matters, um, that need further explanation, 1547 01:46:13.570 --> 01:46:17.990 the probably the best place to put it will be the explanatory memorandum. Uh, 1548 01:46:18.050 --> 01:46:22.190 and then in your, if you like, post-hearing summary, um, 1549 01:46:22.660 --> 01:46:25.710

just flag that the matter's been dealt with, but it's, 1550 01:46:25.780 --> 01:46:27.830 it's been dealt with in the explanatory memorandum, 1551 01:46:27.830 --> 01:46:32.110 I think it just reduces the volume of stuff that people have to read. 1552 01:46:33.760 --> 01:46:36.470 Brian Green for a v p. Thank you very much, sir. That's very helpful. 1553 01:46:36.770 --> 01:46:41.030 It also reduces the amount of stuff that I have to write, so, uh, yes. 1554 01:46:42.130 --> 01:46:47.030 So sir, um, carrying on your next question, um, 1555 01:46:47.890 --> 01:46:52.640 is regard to Article 37 procedure in relation to appeals under the 1556 01:46:52.640 --> 01:46:53.760 Control of Pollution Act 1557 01:46:59.540 --> 01:47:02.280 To assist. Shall I put the, the question in the concern? 1558 01:47:02.560 --> 01:47:04.720 'cause it might be easier, um, 1559 01:47:06.830 --> 01:47:10.680 Because this has certainly cropped up in a few cases that I've dealt with. 1560 01:47:11.980 --> 01:47:12.630 Um, 1561 01:47:12.630 --> 01:47:17.320 what you are seeking to do under Article 37 is in effect in

1562 01:47:17.640 --> 01:47:18.800 response to, um, 1563 01:47:19.670 --> 01:47:23.160 what shouldn't an appeal arise out of either, 1564 01:47:24.460 --> 01:47:28.760 um, a notice being served under Section 60 or, 1565 01:47:29.820 --> 01:47:30.300 uh, 1566 01:47:30.300 --> 01:47:34.400 in effecti consent being given under Section 61 of the Control of Pollution Act, 1567 01:47:35.220 --> 01:47:39.880 you are seeking to have any necessary appeal dealt with by the Secretary of 1568 01:47:39.880 --> 01:47:44.560 State rather than via the magistrate's court. Um, 1569 01:47:45.140 --> 01:47:50.000 now that potentially raises a difficulty in that 1570 01:47:50.500 --> 01:47:54.320 if that appeal is dismissed. Um, 1571 01:47:54.740 --> 01:47:58.840 the matter then has to be referred to the magistrate's court if, 1572 01:47:59.980 --> 01:48:04.320 um, the local authority wishes to pursue a prosecution, 1573 01:48:04.320 --> 01:48:09.200 which all starts to get rather convoluted where it 1574 01:48:09.200 --> 01:48:13.080 is. If the appeal goes directly to the magistrates,

1575 01:48:13.940 --> 01:48:15.080 as I understand it, 1576 01:48:15.190 --> 01:48:19.360 they will deal with the appeal and concurrently deal with 1577 01:48:19.980 --> 01:48:24.920 any penalty if the appeal is dismissed. Um, 1578 01:48:25.220 --> 01:48:29.160 and certainly, um, if I recall correctly, 1579 01:48:29.270 --> 01:48:33.880 when M 25 Junction 10 a three, uh, 1580 01:48:33.880 --> 01:48:37.080 which is a made d c o was dealt with, uh, 1581 01:48:37.080 --> 01:48:41.120 the examining authority of which I was a part, we recommended, um, 1582 01:48:41.310 --> 01:48:46.120 that this appeal mechanism should not be included and it was written 1583 01:48:46.300 --> 01:48:48.560 out. Um, I then, 1584 01:48:48.830 --> 01:48:53.320 when I dealt with the Little Crow Solar Farm, encountered a similar issue, 1585 01:48:54.180 --> 01:48:55.720 um, and, um, 1586 01:48:56.200 --> 01:49:00.560 persuaded the applicant to remove the provision, um, 1587 01:49:00.910 --> 01:49:02.960 from what was their final draft order.

1588

01:49:03.420 --> 01:49:06.160 And there is no such provision in the made order. 1589 01:49:08.380 --> 01:49:12.920 You might be guessing what might be coming down the line if it remains in the 1590 01:49:12.920 --> 01:49:15.600 draft order. Uh, interviews Mr. Greenwood, 1591 01:49:15.980 --> 01:49:17.800 Uh, Brian Greenwood for a v p. 1592 01:49:17.980 --> 01:49:22.040 So your comments are noted and will be taken forward. So, we'll, 1593 01:49:22.040 --> 01:49:25.800 we will have a look and, uh, if necessary, apply the red pen. 1594 01:49:31.470 --> 01:49:32.303 Mr. Owen. 1595 01:49:32.950 --> 01:49:36.650 Uh, thank you sir. Robbie Owen for C L D N. Um, can I just, uh, uh, 1596 01:49:36.910 --> 01:49:38.730 add our two pennyworth here? Uh, 1597 01:49:38.850 --> 01:49:43.010 'cause it is a article of concern to us in that, um, as you say, 1598 01:49:43.010 --> 01:49:48.000 it's intended to modify the appeals procedures under section 60 and 61 of the 1599 01:49:48.000 --> 01:49:52.400 Controller Pollution Act, uh, 1974. So that appeals are determined by, 1600 01:49:52.940 --> 01:49:56.800 uh, the central state and not the magistrate's court. Uh, we, we,

1601 01:49:56.800 --> 01:49:59.280 we note that the expansion memorandum, uh, 1602 01:49:59.300 --> 01:50:03.840 at paragraph 10.21 cites the thas water 1603 01:50:04.510 --> 01:50:07.960 utilities, uh, the Thames Tideway Tunnel order, uh, 1604 01:50:08.420 --> 01:50:12.520 2014 as a precedent. Uh, it, it should be noted of course, 1605 01:50:12.520 --> 01:50:17.360 that the Thames Tideway project is a 25 kilometer super sewer 1606 01:50:17.360 --> 01:50:21.520 cutting under the densely populated capital city of London. And, and it, 1607 01:50:21.660 --> 01:50:25.960 we don't think it's, it's right to say this is, you know, this is this, 1608 01:50:26.030 --> 01:50:29.640 this is a standard article routinely included in dcos. And, 1609 01:50:29.640 --> 01:50:33.240 and I think what you've just said, sir, bears that out. The, the, the, 1610 $01:50:33.240 \rightarrow 01:50:37.920$ the expansion memorandum does not explain why its inclusion in this draft order 1611 01:50:37.920 --> 01:50:41.920 is justified in the circumstances of this project. And indeed, 1612 01:50:42.000 --> 01:50:46.960 a concern we have is that what we regard as being excessive provisions 1613

01:50:46.960 --> 01:50:51.480 relating to noise nuisance are a running theme in the drafting of the order. 1614 01:50:52.460 --> 01:50:56.960 See, for example, article 29, paragraph three, which, uh, 1615 01:50:56.960 --> 01:50:59.960 includes novel drafting, which we're told, um, 1616 01:51:00.580 --> 01:51:05.480 by paragraph 10.4 of the expansion memorandum has been 1617 01:51:05.790 --> 01:51:07.800 adopted in various rail schemes, 1618 01:51:07.860 --> 01:51:11.760 not least phase one of HSS two and 1619 01:51:12.460 --> 01:51:17.200 indeed the current phase two B bill currently 1620 01:51:17.200 --> 01:51:19.280 before Parliament. And again, 1621 01:51:19.280 --> 01:51:22.560 that provision has the effect of further curtailing the ability of local 1622 01:51:22.870 --> 01:51:27.200 environmental health officers from bringing proceedings under the Environmental 1623 01:51:27.200 --> 01:51:31.680 Protection Act 1990 for statutory noise nuisance. And again, 1624 01:51:31.700 --> 01:51:35.400 we say that is not a provision routinely included in development consent orders 1625 01:51:35.460 --> 01:51:40.440 for schemes of the nature of this project. And I, I think it'd be

helpful, 1626 01:51:41.460 --> 01:51:45.120 um, as part of any, uh, 1627 01:51:45.620 --> 01:51:49.040 red pen exercise that Mr. Greenwood referred to, uh, if, 1628 01:51:49.100 --> 01:51:53.480 if in relation to any remaining provisions of this nature that the expansion 1629 01:51:53.480 --> 01:51:58.480 memorandum could explain, uh, why, uh, 1630 01:51:58.480 --> 01:52:02.800 measures to avoid public health authorities taking action to abate noise 1631 01:52:03.120 --> 01:52:07.120 nuisance are justified in the public interest in the case of this project. 1632 01:52:08.460 --> 01:52:09.293 You know, 1633 01:52:10.000 --> 01:52:13.440 I I just conclude by saying this development is very different in character 1634 01:52:13.440 --> 01:52:17.440 quite clearly to the large scale, linear, uh, 1635 01:52:18.030 --> 01:52:22.600 largely not entirely railway projects cutting through, you know, 1636 01:52:22.600 --> 01:52:25.520 density populated areas that are cited as precedents. Um, 1637 01:52:25.780 --> 01:52:30.440 so if that could be reconsidered, we'd be very grateful. Thank you,

1638 01:52:33.580 --> 01:52:34.340 Mr. Greenwood. 1639 01:52:34.340 --> 01:52:38.920 Any observations given the examining authority is concerned anyway? Yes, 1640 01:52:39.020 --> 01:52:43.040 No, sir. Uh, I, I've noted your comments, I've noted the helpful comments of Mr. 1641 01:52:43.040 --> 01:52:47.480 Owen, and we'll take that one forward, sir. So sir, 1642 01:52:47.500 --> 01:52:49.040 moving on. Um, 1643 01:52:49.430 --> 01:52:53.880 just ready to note for the record that when you do see the track change, d c o, 1644 01:52:54.220 --> 01:52:59.040 um, articles 38 to 41 do involve Trinity House. 1645 01:52:59.180 --> 01:53:03.720 And as I mentioned earlier, we've been in discussions with Trinity House. Uh, 1646 01:53:04.030 --> 01:53:06.200 they have made certain proposals to us, 1647 01:53:06.200 --> 01:53:09.160 which we have accepted in their entirety. Um, 1648 01:53:09.610 --> 01:53:12.720 their lawyers are just checking with their client to make sure there is nothing 1649 01:53:12.720 --> 01:53:14.160 else, but hopefully, uh, 1650

01:53:14.190 --> 01:53:18.000 that will mean that those provisions are accepted by Trinity House and Trinity 1651 01:53:18.000 --> 01:53:22.200 House will not wish to participate further in the examination. 1652 01:53:24.300 --> 01:53:28.000 And sir, that then takes me, um, to Crown rights, 1653 01:53:28.000 --> 01:53:32.720 and I believe this is a point that you've raised as well. If I could set, uh, 1654 01:53:32.720 --> 01:53:36.160 the position in context, sir. Um, uh, ab Sorry, 1655 01:53:36.160 --> 01:53:40.360 Sorry, Mr. Green, there may just be an easier, uh, response. 1656 01:53:40.460 --> 01:53:45.200 Really what I was seeking here, uh, was confirmation, 1657 01:53:45.580 --> 01:53:50.040 um, that the dialogue is ongoing. There is reference, I think to, 1658 01:53:50.500 --> 01:53:54.320 uh, in the application documents that Crown Estate are in agreement. 1659 01:53:55.340 --> 01:53:57.680 Um, is, 1660 01:53:57.860 --> 01:54:00.760 is that agreement in any form of writing as of yet, 1661 01:54:01.770 --> 01:54:06.720 Brian Greenwood, uh, of a b p? No, sir. It is not in writing as yet. Uh, 1662 01:54:06.980 --> 01:54:07.960 in that, uh,

1663 01:54:08.480 --> 01:54:13.440 A B P is dealing obviously with the Crown State almost on a weekly, uh, process, 1664 01:54:13.840 --> 01:54:15.840 bearing in mind that they own the Humber. Uh, 1665 $01:54:15.840 \rightarrow 01:54:19.960$ there are negotiations that are going, uh, on at the moment. Uh, 1666 01:54:20.500 --> 01:54:25.040 the Crown State have instructed their lawyers and we are in negotiation with 1667 01:54:25.040 --> 01:54:27.920 their lawyers. When it comes down to its, uh, 1668 01:54:27.940 --> 01:54:31.480 it is a standard agreement that a p p has with the Crown State, uh, 1669 01:54:31.500 --> 01:54:34.200 has had on a number of occasions, it involves, uh, 1670 01:54:34.680 --> 01:54:38.680 a B P entering into an agreement and then a b p paying, uh, 1671 01:54:38.900 --> 01:54:43.120 to the Crown State a certain amount of money for permission to construct, uh, 1672 $01:54:43.220 \rightarrow 01:54:47.800$ on their land that that is underway and, uh, will be dealt with, 1673 01:54:48.100 --> 01:54:52.040 uh, very quickly, I hope in that, uh, 1674 01:54:52.210 --> 01:54:56.600 pin's advice I do note is that matters with the crown of state should readily 1675 01:54:56.630 --> 01:55:00.640

have been dealt with earlier, and I have reminded the crown of state of that, 1676 01:55:00.820 --> 01:55:02.720 but it hasn't happened, I'm afraid. 1677 01:55:05.040 --> 01:55:08.160 I think what we would ask is regular updates, um, 1678 01:55:08.160 --> 01:55:10.600 because if it's a lingering issue, it, 1679 01:55:10.980 --> 01:55:15.840 it will create a problem potentially at reporting. And of course, 1680 01:55:15.950 --> 01:55:19.360 once the report leave, well, once the examination closes, um, 1681 01:55:20.410 --> 01:55:24.640 we've got no further sway in in, in trying to push things along. 1682 01:55:25.820 --> 01:55:29.120 Uh, Brian Greenwood for a b p sir, if it is a lingering issue, 1683 01:55:29.130 --> 01:55:32.800 it'll be a serious problem for myself, so I will certainly keep you informed. 1684 01:55:34.210 --> 01:55:35.043 Thank you. 1685 01:55:57.510 --> 01:55:58.343 Uh, 1686 01:55:59.390 --> 01:55:59.910 It should, 1687 01:55:59.910 --> 01:56:03.570 Oh, I do, I do apologize. Brian Greenwood for, uh, 1688 01:56:03.770 --> 01:56:08.050

a B p I believe we've moved to the schedules now, sir. Um, 1689 01:56:09.470 --> 01:56:11.850 and I think your question, or you, 1690 01:56:11.910 --> 01:56:15.930 you tabbed a point on amendments to approved details else. 1691 01:56:22.070 --> 01:56:24.890 Yes. And this really actually touches on the point, I think it was Mr. 1692 01:56:24.890 --> 01:56:29.890 Walker made earlier, um, or it might have been Mr. Owen, 1693 01:56:29.960 --> 01:56:34.730 forgive me, um, in effect, 1694 01:56:35.390 --> 01:56:37.610 um, revising the scheme and, 1695 01:56:37.630 --> 01:56:42.290 and where does that sit in terms of the worst case that's been assessed within 1696 01:56:42.590 --> 01:56:46.090 the application or the, the environmental statement? I think actually it was Mr. 1697 01:56:46.090 --> 01:56:50.170 Walker that raised the point. It, it, it really, 1698 01:56:50.210 --> 01:56:53.490 I think it's a question of looking at the drafting, um, 1699 01:56:53.910 --> 01:56:58.770 seeing whether it needs to be tightened, uh, to ensure that there is no, um, 1700 01:56:59.650 --> 01:57:02.370 movement away from what's been assessed in the R statement.

1701 01:57:04.860 --> 01:57:07.570 Brian Green for a v p. Thank you for that, sir. Um, 1702 01:57:08.630 --> 01:57:11.890 that's a very important point which we will be looking at, uh, 1703 01:57:11.890 --> 01:57:16.730 particularly in the context of our changes in that. Uh, uh, 1704 01:57:16.910 --> 01:57:20.850 the, the third element of the changes I mentioned involve the UK border force. 1705 01:57:21.430 --> 01:57:22.450 Uh, if, 1706 01:57:22.550 --> 01:57:27.490 if we haven't actually refined the total details for, for that building, 1707 01:57:27.630 --> 01:57:32.530 we may be wanting to, uh, go through the local authority to approve details. Uh, 1708 01:57:32.690 --> 01:57:37.530 I would tie that requirement together so with also 1709 01:57:37.850 --> 01:57:42.210 a requirement, uh, seven, uh, in fact, 1710 01:57:42.410 --> 01:57:47.250 I might rearrange and put, uh, our requirement seven in front of, 1711 01:57:47.350 --> 01:57:50.770 uh, requirement three. That might be a logical placement, but, uh, uh, 1712 01:57:50.770 --> 01:57:53.330 you'll see that in the, uh, 1713 01:57:53.420 --> 01:57:56.570

track change D c o and the explanatory memorandum. 1714 01:58:06.060 --> 01:58:07.000 So sorry, 1715 01:58:07.000 --> 01:58:11.960 Just if, if you are going to changing the running order of the requirements, 1716 01:58:12.700 --> 01:58:17.160 uh, I think it would be useful for everybody if that's done sooner rather than 1717 01:58:17.210 --> 01:58:18.043 later, 1718 01:58:18.340 --> 01:58:22.920 so that we do don't get too wedded with the running order that we've qot 1719 01:58:23.140 --> 01:58:25.520 now, and then suddenly four months later, 1720 01:58:25.530 --> 01:58:28.200 we're all starting to talk about a slightly different running order, 1721 01:58:28.200 --> 01:58:30.000 which might get rather confusing. 1722 01:58:31.730 --> 01:58:35.320 Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood, a b P that's fully understood and uh, 1723 01:58:35.520 --> 01:58:39.160 I hope that will be the only change in running order I will be suggesting, 1724 01:58:41.180 --> 01:58:46.000 sir, I think that then takes us to noise insulation. Oh, sorry, sir. 1725 01:58:47.840 --> 01:58:50.300 May I just hold you for a second while we just confirm

1726 01:59:13.580 --> 01:59:17.640 Mr. Greenwood, uh, just before we pass too quickly on, um, 1727 01:59:17.870 --> 01:59:21.600 article 44, IMing Dock bylaws 1929, 1728 $01:59:22.820 \rightarrow 01:59:27.520$ um, forgive me if we've missed them, but are they in the examination library? 1729 01:59:27.880 --> 01:59:31.880 I, I sense not, and question I think for you is, 1730 01:59:32.170 --> 01:59:35.800 would it be helpful to the examination to have them in, 1731 01:59:37.060 --> 01:59:40.920 Uh, Brian Green would a b p and sir, they are not in the library, 1732 01:59:41.360 --> 01:59:44.640 I believe looking at my colleagues shaking head, uh, 1733 01:59:44.640 --> 01:59:49.040 they certainly would be helpful. So sir, if I may, I I will pass 'em to, uh, 1734 01:59:49.660 --> 01:59:52.000 uh, pins and get them included. 1735 01:59:52.090 --> 01:59:52.923 Thank you. 1736 01:59:57.700 --> 02:00:01.800 So, sir, that takes me to, sorry, you're still writing, so may I, 1737 02:00:02.900 --> 02:00:07.800 oh, no it doesn't, uh, 1738 02:00:07.980 --> 02:00:08.880 Mr. Row first.

1739 02:00:10.730 --> 02:00:13.880 Thank you. So may, may I just come in on requirement three before we move on. 1740 02:00:14.460 --> 02:00:18.320 Um, we, we have a different point here in that, um, 1741 02:00:18.820 --> 02:00:23.400 whilst the drafting of this requirement is relatively standard, uh, um, 1742 02:00:24.740 --> 02:00:25.340 We, we, we, 1743 02:00:25.340 --> 02:00:29.440 we think placed in the context of the drafting of this schedule of requirements, 1744 02:00:29.460 --> 02:00:34.040 it is problematic in that there is no obligation within any of the 1745 02:00:34.040 --> 02:00:38.840 requirements for a B P to maintain a publicly accessible register 1746 02:00:39.740 --> 02:00:44.080 of requirements recording what has been approved under them. 1747 02:00:45.220 --> 02:00:49.800 And if there is no accessible record of determinations made under requirements, 1748 02:00:50.140 --> 02:00:50.500 um, 1749 02:00:50.500 --> 02:00:55.440 it would we think inhibit the practical ability of the local planning 1750 02:00:55.440 --> 02:00:59.760 authority and those adversely affected by the proposed development from

1751 02:00:59.760 --> 02:01:04.400 monitoring compliance and taking enforcement action where appropriate. Um, 1752 02:01:06.020 --> 02:01:09.920 and it's not just a matter for the local planning authority. Uh, 1753 02:01:09.920 --> 02:01:14.760 they're not the only body obliged to consider a b submissions 1754 02:01:14.760 --> 02:01:18.280 under these requirements, for example, requirement 18, paragraph three, 1755 02:01:18.740 --> 02:01:20.280 impact protection measure measures, 1756 02:01:20.280 --> 02:01:24.520 which we come on to discuss requires the consent of the Harbor Master and 1757 02:01:24.520 --> 02:01:25.280 requirement nine, 1758 02:01:25.280 --> 02:01:29.080 dealing with surf surface water drainage requires the approval of the drainage 1759 02:01:29.090 --> 02:01:31.360 board. And we do think, uh, 1760 02:01:31.380 --> 02:01:35.200 as you see in other development consent orders and, and, uh, 1761 02:01:35.310 --> 02:01:38.720 certainly the chair of the panel will know from his experience of National 1762 02:01:38.720 --> 02:01:43.000 Highways dcos that, um, that there is, uh,

1763 02:01:43.600 --> 02:01:43.960 a need, 1764 02:01:43.960 --> 02:01:48.360 we think in the interest of good administration and effective enforcement for 1765 $02:01:49.040 \rightarrow 02:01:53.440$ a B P to be obliged under the D C O to keep a record of all determinations 1766 02:01:54.190 --> 02:01:58.800 made under these requirements to maintain that record and make it publicly 1767 02:01:58.800 --> 02:02:03.200 available preferably via, um, a, a website at least, 1768 02:02:03.380 --> 02:02:07.760 and maybe also through physical means for those who aren't able to access the 1769 02:02:07.960 --> 02:02:08.793 internet. Um, 1770 02:02:09.100 --> 02:02:11.960 so that would be the point we'd make on requirement three so that people can 1771 02:02:11.960 --> 02:02:15.040 track, um, uh, 1772 02:02:15.270 --> 02:02:20.240 both approvals given under requirements and insofar as those approvals might 1773 02:02:20.240 --> 02:02:25.000 then be changed and reapproved, you know, what, what's been, what's, 1774 02:02:25.140 --> 02:02:28.160 you know, what the current position is in relation to each of the requirements,

1775 02:02:28.160 --> 02:02:31.880 where they are in their discharge journey, if I can put it that way. Thank you, 1776 02:02:39.610 --> 02:02:40.990 Mr. Greenwood. Uh, 1777 02:02:41.320 --> 02:02:44.150 Brian Greenwood, a b p certainly, sir. Thank you for that. 1778 02:02:44.170 --> 02:02:47.950 We will look at precedents and, and, uh, adopt something if it is, uh, 1779 02:02:48.390 --> 02:02:49.223 considered appropriate. 1780 02:02:59.880 --> 02:03:00.713 Mr. Walker? 1781 02:03:01.230 --> 02:03:05.860 Thank you sir. Angus Walker for D F D S, um, if I can go back to schedule one, 1782 02:03:06.680 --> 02:03:10.220 um, I have a couple of points on the extent of the works. 1783 02:03:10.480 --> 02:03:12.460 I'm not sure if that's appropriate for this hearing. 1784 02:03:13.360 --> 02:03:17.100 We think work number two is too big and work number three is too small, but 1785 02:03:19.870 --> 02:03:22.340 maybe we should raise that at another hearing, uh, 1786 02:03:22.340 --> 02:03:26.900 because it's not really a drafting issue. Um, however, 1787

02:03:27.440 --> 02:03:31.460 um, if you'd like to, if you would like us to make that point, 1788 02:03:32.280 --> 02:03:34.220 it might be worth looking at the works plans, 1789 02:03:34.230 --> 02:03:38.100 which are a p p double zero seven. 1790 02:03:43.170 --> 02:03:46.750 I'm sorry, I didn't mention that. I would be relying on this plan earlier. 1791 02:03:49.190 --> 02:03:53.750 I wonder whether that might be something that you can more usefully make in 1792 02:03:53.750 --> 02:03:56.030 written submission, uh, 1793 02:03:56.130 --> 02:04:00.670 and the applicant can then respond in writing if we feel there's something that 1794 02:04:00.670 --> 02:04:04.830 we need to, to take up orally, uh, we can do that, uh, 1795 02:04:04.930 --> 02:04:05.910 on another occasion. 1796 02:04:07.740 --> 02:04:11.350 Okay, well works plans are there. If, if you just want to look at page one 1797 02:04:12.020 --> 02:04:13.510 Okay. As we're, as we're sheet one. 1798 02:04:17.410 --> 02:04:21.750 Yes. So work number two is the large green area. 1799 02:04:21.810 --> 02:04:25.630 That's the, um, dredge pocket. It's so large,

1800 02:04:25.730 --> 02:04:29.790 it extends and overlaps with the eastern jetty on the left hand side there, 1801 02:04:29.920 --> 02:04:33.670 which seems excessively large to, um, 1802 02:04:33.940 --> 02:04:38.800 it's that little gray pontoon you can see on the left hand side. 1803 02:04:39.300 --> 02:04:40.133 Um, 1804 02:04:40.310 --> 02:04:44.800 that seems excessively large to be solely for the purposes of building the, 1805 02:04:45.700 --> 02:04:50.600 and maintaining the, this new development. On the other hand, work number three, 1806 02:04:50.600 --> 02:04:54.440 which is the dashed and dotted pink area in the right hand corner. 1807 02:04:56.140 --> 02:05:00.920 It is impact protection for that finger pier and the I o t trunk way, 1808 02:05:01.260 --> 02:05:03.720 but only extends halfway along the finger pier. 1809 02:05:03.720 --> 02:05:08.360 The most exposed part of it seems to be not able, 1810 02:05:08.540 --> 02:05:12.000 not going to be protected. Um, and we wondered why that was. 1811 02:05:13.980 --> 02:05:16.840 So that was that point. Going back to, um,

1812

02:05:17.980 --> 02:05:20.200 the D C O and Schedule one, 1813 02:05:22.510 --> 02:05:23.343 this is a, 1814 02:05:23.360 --> 02:05:27.720 a fairly common point raised by examining authorities and others. 1815 02:05:27.940 --> 02:05:31.520 The ancillary works listed at the end after all the works, 1816 02:05:32.690 --> 02:05:36.520 which say in connection with any of the works and then lists a whole lot of 1817 02:05:36.540 --> 02:05:40.840 things, but it's clear that many of those are not going to be, 1818 02:05:41.900 --> 02:05:46.800 um, required for all of the works. Not going to have, 1819 02:05:47.900 --> 02:05:48.733 um, 1820 02:05:49.380 --> 02:05:54.200 an expanded fire water system when you're talking about the dredge pocket, 1821 02:05:54.340 --> 02:05:58.130 for example. So we, 1822 02:05:58.430 --> 02:06:02.770 we think it's inappropriately wi wide that could apply to any of the works. 1823 02:06:02.830 --> 02:06:05.570 The list of ancillary works at the end of the works, 1824 02:06:05.830 --> 02:06:10.770 and we suspect they have not been environmentally assessed to apply to all

1825 02:06:10.770 --> 02:06:13.570 of those works if indeed they're mentioned at all in the environmental 1826 02:06:13.570 --> 02:06:14.403 statement. 1827 02:06:16.950 --> 02:06:19.850 So that's our points on schedule one. 1828 02:06:20.480 --> 02:06:24.610 Then if we just do the beginning of schedule two up to where we've got, um, 1829 02:06:28.860 --> 02:06:29.350 Uh, 1830 02:06:29.350 --> 02:06:34.320 requirement five has various restrictions on piling in terms of 1831 02:06:34.320 --> 02:06:35.360 dates and so on. 1832 02:06:36.990 --> 02:06:41.880 Requirement six requires the piling to be done in accordance with the 1833 02:06:41.880 --> 02:06:42.960 deemed marine license. 1834 02:06:45.290 --> 02:06:49.910 And requirement eight requires the construction to be constructed in accordance 1835 02:06:49.910 --> 02:06:52.150 with the construction and environmental management plan. 1836 02:06:52.800 --> 02:06:57.390 Those three requirements and the contents of the, the SEM Kemp, 1837

02:06:57.930 --> 02:06:58.763 um, 1838 02:06:59.210 --> 02:07:03.750 all have different restrictions on piling expressed in slightly different ways. 1839 02:07:03.850 --> 02:07:08.110 And duplicated, sometimes schedule three, 1840 02:07:08.210 --> 02:07:12.670 the marine license has similar restrictions to those listed in the 1841 02:07:13.110 --> 02:07:17.910 ssp. Um, and sometimes in that earlier paragraph five as well. 1842 02:07:18.570 --> 02:07:21.830 So it would be a lot simpler if it was only they were, 1843 02:07:21.830 --> 02:07:23.550 these were only set out once. 1844 02:07:25.170 --> 02:07:29.870 We don't mind where to avoid duplication and 1845 02:07:30.270 --> 02:07:32.670 conflict and confusion as to where to look to find, 1846 02:07:32.780 --> 02:07:36.240 find these different provisions. Um, 1847 02:07:39.890 --> 02:07:42.070 we don't have anything else before paragraph 10, 1848 02:07:42.070 --> 02:07:44.710 which is I think the next one on your agenda. Thank you, sir. 1849 02:07:53.460 --> 02:07:55.800 Mr. Greenwood, uh, I mean in terms of schedule one, 1850 02:07:55.800 --> 02:07:58.120

is that something that you potentially, um, the, the, 1851 02:07:58.180 --> 02:08:02.280 the extent of the work areas that you potentially need to go away, um, 1852 02:08:02.820 --> 02:08:07.640 and have a chat with various members of the team as to how those areas 1853 02:08:07.750 --> 02:08:08.760 have been defined? 1854 02:08:10.460 --> 02:08:12.640 Yes. So Brian Greenwood for a v p, uh, but Mr. 1855 02:08:12.640 --> 02:08:15.920 Walker kindly did raise this point in his, uh, red representations. 1856 02:08:16.180 --> 02:08:19.960 I'm not sure we necessarily agree, but certainly we will, uh, 1857 02:08:20.110 --> 02:08:22.120 clarify the position. And, 1858 02:08:22.220 --> 02:08:27.200 and if I could move on quickly also to the timing and, uh, the, 1859 02:08:27.340 --> 02:08:32.320 the hours of the restrictions, um, certainly it would be, 1860 02:08:32.380 --> 02:08:35.480 uh, extremely good idea if we can clarify, uh, uh, 1861 02:08:35.580 --> 02:08:38.160 and simplify the problem we have, sir, 1862 02:08:38.260 --> 02:08:42.840 is that negotiations with Natural England and the marine management organization

1863

02:08:42.860 --> 02:08:43.800 are still ongoing. 1864 02:08:44.300 --> 02:08:48.240 And until we know and hear from them that everything is agreed, uh, 1865 02:08:48.420 --> 02:08:50.440 I'm afraid it's a bit of a moving feast, 1866 02:08:50.580 --> 02:08:53.040 but the the point is well made and it will be clarified. 1867 02:08:54.040 --> 02:08:57.680 I, I, I think the key thing particularly that Mr. Walker was focusing, 1868 02:08:57.770 --> 02:09:00.440 there needs to be consistency. Um, 1869 02:09:00.820 --> 02:09:03.200 and it would be useful, yeah, 1870 02:09:03.260 --> 02:09:07.560 for things like hours of construct to be in one place, if, um, 1871 02:09:07.700 --> 02:09:10.320 as you say, it's going to take a little bit of time to get resolution, 1872 02:09:11.000 --> 02:09:14.560 probably hopefully around the submission of the statement of common ground, 1873 02:09:15.710 --> 02:09:20.600 what might be useful if you are redrafting the order in the meantime and 1874 02:09:20.600 --> 02:09:25.040 have come to a view as to, um, how you think the running orders should, 1875 02:09:25.040 --> 02:09:26.000

should run it. 1876 02:09:26.020 --> 02:09:30.000 It might be that if a couple of the requirements are going to be merged, 1877 02:09:30.000 --> 02:09:34.040 for example, you do the merging, but you hold one, 1878 02:09:34.230 --> 02:09:38.840 whichever is then the vacated, um, requirement just as a, 1879 02:09:39.020 --> 02:09:39.880 in it a blank, 1880 02:09:41.020 --> 02:09:45.600 so that at least the numbering remains consistent up until such time as you are 1881 02:09:45.600 --> 02:09:50.160 then able to finalize it again so that we can all follow, um, 1882 02:09:50.970 --> 02:09:54.880 where things have gone. Um, there's nothing worse. Uh, 1883 02:09:55.040 --> 02:09:58.600 I used to remember my local authority days when we were dealing with lists of 1884 02:09:58.600 --> 02:10:02.560 conditions and trying to get them agreed amongst colleagues that you start 1885 02:10:02.560 --> 02:10:03.760 pulling some out in the middle, 1886 02:10:03.900 --> 02:10:06.080 and if you've got two or three different pairs of eyes looking, 1887 02:10:06.220 --> 02:10:11.200 it becomes extremely difficult to work out where you've got to. So Ι,

1888 02:10:11.320 --> 02:10:15.160 I always tend to use a holding number, um, even if it's being vacated. 1889 02:10:15.230 --> 02:10:19.000 just to keep the number running consistently until we get to the end of things. 1890 02:10:20.890 --> 02:10:24.200 Brian Greenwood for a b p, certainly. So we, we will do that, uh, 1891 02:10:24.300 --> 02:10:28.160 should prove necessary. So sir, um, 1892 02:10:28.200 --> 02:10:32.160 subject to comments from anybody else, I think we go to your next query, 1893 02:10:32.290 --> 02:10:34.480 which was on noise insulation. 1894 02:10:37.820 --> 02:10:41.440 If, if I can make the point, um, 'cause hopefully again, 1895 02:10:41.440 --> 02:10:45.600 that might assist really how is article, 1896 02:10:46.370 --> 02:10:50.440 sorry, requirement 10 going to be enforced, um, because it says, 1897 02:10:51.820 --> 02:10:56.760 um, in effect an offer to insulate will be made, 1898 02:10:57.860 --> 02:11:00.560 um, but it looks like as drafted, 1899 02:11:00.700 --> 02:11:05.200 it would be wholly self policed, uh, and it's unclear, 1900 02:11:06.060 --> 02:11:10.450

um, in effect, 1901 02:11:10.550 --> 02:11:14.650 how would the applicant be compelled to offer the package, uh, 1902 02:11:14.670 --> 02:11:18.170 of insulation measures if there were any disputes, 1903 02:11:18.400 --> 02:11:23.130 then what happens that that isn't really dealt with at all 1904 02:11:23.390 --> 02:11:24.223 as drafted? 1905 02:11:25.980 --> 02:11:30.450 Brian Greenwood for a b p. Thank you for that, sir. Um, yes, uh, 1906 02:11:31.400 --> 02:11:36.210 this is quite turning out to be quite a complicated issue in that we're offering 1907 02:11:36.220 --> 02:11:41.210 noise insulation to residential occupiers of Queens Road. 1908 02:11:41.890 --> 02:11:46.170 A number of those occupiers are vacating premises and, uh, 1909 02:11:46.590 --> 02:11:47.770 we will be, uh, 1910 $02:11:47.930 \rightarrow 02:11:52.290$ writing to those who are still there and visiting and making the offer. 1911 02:11:52.840 --> 02:11:56.250 What we were intending, sir, is that, uh, 1912 02:11:58.000 --> 02:12:01.570 depending how they wish to respond to the offer of noise installation, 1913

02:12:01.590 --> 02:12:03.770 we will enter into legal agreements. If, 1914 02:12:03.950 --> 02:12:08.410 if that is not clear in the requirement, then it clearly should be, and, uh, 1915 02:12:08.890 --> 02:12:11.290 I will look at that, sir and amend it accordingly. 1916 02:12:13.620 --> 02:12:14.410 Thank you, Mr. Green. 1917 02:12:14.410 --> 02:12:18.290 Certainly my first reading was there was just nothing in there, um, 1918 02:12:18.680 --> 02:12:22.930 that would compel and or as I say, it all seemed to be rather selfpolicing, 1919 02:12:22.990 --> 02:12:27.970 so yeah, it does seem to need a redraft Mr. Walker. 1920 02:12:28.780 --> 02:12:32.090 Thank you Sarah Angus Walker for D F T S. Yes, we agree that the, 1921 02:12:33.070 --> 02:12:35.330 the offer made to the residents, 1922 02:12:35.330 --> 02:12:40.290 it doesn't have to have any purpose of achieving a certain 1923 02:12:40.300 --> 02:12:42.410 noise level or anything. You could just give them a, 1924 02:12:42.410 --> 02:12:45.730 offer them a pair of ear plugs or something and that would fulfill the 1925 02:12:45.760 --> 02:12:47.570 requirement. Um,

1926 02:12:48.030 --> 02:12:51.010 it surely has to be linked with reducing the noi, 1927 02:12:51.010 --> 02:12:54.650 the internal noise level to below a certain level. 1928 02:13:07.150 --> 02:13:07.983 Mr. 1929 02:13:09.590 --> 02:13:14.050 So thank you Robbie Owen for C L D N. Um, we had a number of points on this. Uh, 1930 02:13:14.130 --> 02:13:15.210 I I I think that, um, 1931 02:13:16.070 --> 02:13:20.770 the first is what happens if the residents don't accept insulation? Um, 1932 02:13:20.870 --> 02:13:23.770 how will the properties still be fit for habitation? 1933 02:13:23.770 --> 02:13:28.250 That's the question that needs answering. The other point we had is that we, 1934 02:13:29.110 --> 02:13:32.640 uh, would urge a v p to consider, uh, 1935 $02:13:33.000 \rightarrow 02:13:37.880$ accurately defining what it means by first operational use, uh, 1936 02:13:39.190 --> 02:13:42.600 Because the, um, you know, 1937 02:13:42.600 --> 02:13:45.560 the requirement uses the phrase prior to the commencement of first operational 1938 02:13:45.620 --> 02:13:47.800

use. This, this has to happen, 1939 02:13:48.420 --> 02:13:53.080 but the term commence is defined both in paragraph one of schedule two, 1940 02:13:53.180 --> 02:13:57.520 and also in article two, one of the D C O, uh, 1941 02:13:57.540 --> 02:14:01.680 as I said earlier, it need, need only appear once in any event. Um, but, 1942 02:14:01.680 --> 02:14:02.160 but it's, 1943 02:14:02.160 --> 02:14:05.720 it's defined in terms of the inconsistent with its use in the context of 1944 02:14:06.640 --> 02:14:11.160 defining an operational use trigger as opposed to commencement of 1945 02:14:11.480 --> 02:14:13.080 construction. So it, 1946 02:14:13.100 --> 02:14:17.800 it just lacks clarity in terms of when the obligations in article 1947 02:14:18.260 --> 02:14:20.320 in, in paragraph 10, in requirement 10, 1948 02:14:20.630 --> 02:14:25.240 what whatever they end up being when they're triggered. Thank you, 1949 02:14:33.460 --> 02:14:34.293 Mr. Greenman. I, 1950 02:14:34.400 --> 02:14:38.480 I presume you're gonna just take this one away and have a a, 1951

02:14:38.920 --> 02:14:43.200 a re-look at, uh, tightening up the whole of that requirement, 1952 02:14:48.160 --> 02:14:52.380 Sir. Brian Greenwood, A b P. Can I take you now to requirement 18? 1953 02:14:54.090 --> 02:14:55.500 Subject to Mr. Walker. 1954 02:14:58.520 --> 02:15:02.260 Mr. Walker has some earlier points before requirement 18, if that's all right. 1955 02:15:02.600 --> 02:15:05.020 An Angus Walker, D F D S, um, 1956 02:15:05.850 --> 02:15:09.660 requirement 11 on this Wildlife Enhancement Management 1957 02:15:11.290 --> 02:15:15.820 plan. It's, um, it sort of implies that it will be, um, 1958 02:15:16.890 --> 02:15:20.660 implemented, but it doesn't actually provide that in, in the requirement. 1959 02:15:21.440 --> 02:15:23.980 It just says it forms the environmental enhancement. 1960 02:15:23.980 --> 02:15:27.460 So I think that needs tightening up. Uh, uh, 1961 02:15:27.460 --> 02:15:31.860 requirement 12 talks about the East Gate, 1962 02:15:33.550 --> 02:15:35.450 um, improvements. 1963 02:15:36.350 --> 02:15:40.970 It isn't clear if that is what is described under work number 12 or not. Um,

1964 02:15:41.230 --> 02:15:44.050 we suspect it should be, but that if it used that term, 1965 02:15:44.050 --> 02:15:48.410 that would be more helpful. Um, again, there's a tail piece in there. 1966 02:15:49.950 --> 02:15:52.450 Um, greed works. 1967 02:15:53.950 --> 02:15:57.250 The works could apparently be varied, and 1968 02:15:59.190 --> 02:16:03.050 how far am I going up? Uh, requirement 15, 1969 02:16:07.590 --> 02:16:11.210 uh, conflicts with requirement eight allows the construction environmental 1970 02:16:11.210 --> 02:16:14.370 management plan to be varied, but this, uh, 1971 02:16:14.440 --> 02:16:17.170 this requirement doesn't look so it can be varied. 1972 02:16:17.270 --> 02:16:20.010 It just says they must be constructed in accordance with it. 1973 02:16:21.070 --> 02:16:22.850 So I think that that, um, 1974 02:16:25.640 --> 02:16:28.010 they're ought to be tightened up. And it refers to, 1975 02:16:28.010 --> 02:16:31.280 it must be construction operated in accordance with the general accordance with 1976 02:16:31.280 --> 02:16:32.840 the navigational risk assessment,

1977 02:16:34.260 --> 02:16:37.960 but it's not clear that that document actually, um, 1978 02:16:38.020 --> 02:16:42.680 has any mitigation in it. That is anything to construct in accordance with. 1979 02:16:46.420 --> 02:16:49.730 Those are our points leading up to paragraph 18. 1980 02:16:52.900 --> 02:16:55.890 Brian Greenwood for a b p. Uh, uh, I, 1981 02:16:56.050 --> 02:16:59.610 I should have intervened more quickly because all those are all good points made 1982 02:16:59.610 --> 02:17:02.010 by Mr. Walker, and we have amended the, uh, 1983 02:17:02.190 --> 02:17:04.850 the D C O to take on all of those points, so thank you. 1984 02:17:06.830 --> 02:17:09.570 So sir, if I move to requirement 18, 1985 02:17:11.900 --> 02:17:15.330 sorry, sorry, I apologize. Mr. 1986 02:17:15.440 --> 02:17:18.090 Owen indicated that he had some points. Uh, 1987 02:17:18.090 --> 02:17:21.930 Thank you Robbie Owen for C L D N. Um, I, I, I do, sir, uh, just a few 1988 02:17:24.640 --> 02:17:29.090 Requirement. 1111, this may be a point for a later hearing, but requirement 11,

1989

02:17:29.670 --> 02:17:30.530 um, uh, 1990 02:17:31.050 --> 02:17:34.010 representing a commitment to Woodlands enhancement appears to be the only 1991 02:17:34.420 --> 02:17:38.170 commitment in the D C O to environmental enhancement. Uh, uh, 1992 02:17:38.170 --> 02:17:41.970 there appears to be no reference at all to, um, uh, 1993 02:17:41.970 --> 02:17:46.450 either in the D C O or in the Woodland Enhancement Plan to biodiversity net 1994 02:17:46.480 --> 02:17:51.090 gain. And whilst it's not a legal requirement at this stage for, 1995 02:17:51.150 --> 02:17:55.130 for nips, uh, there appears to be no evidence at all in the, 1996 02:17:55.130 --> 02:17:59.970 in the requirements or underlying application documents as to how the proposal 1997 02:18:00.600 --> 02:18:04.250 does intend to achieve the emerging nsip 1998 02:18:05.190 --> 02:18:10.090 target of at least 10% measurable net gain on all terrestrial and 1999 02:18:10.260 --> 02:18:13.250 intertidal development over a 30 year period. Um, 2000 02:18:14.020 --> 02:18:15.730 which of course would also address the duties, 2001 02:18:16.100 --> 02:18:18.810 which do apply at the moment within the natural environment and Rural

2002 02:18:18.810 --> 02:18:21.770 Communities Act. So, um, it, 2003 02:18:21.800 --> 02:18:26.290 it's a point that doesn't per se go to the drafting requirement 11, but, uh, 2004 02:18:26.510 --> 02:18:29.450 arises in our heads and therefore I, I raise it, um, 2005 02:18:30.070 --> 02:18:31.610 for the applicant to consider. 2006 02:18:32.670 --> 02:18:37.090 We also had some points on requirement 12 relating to Eastgate improvements. 2007 02:18:37.910 --> 02:18:39.650 Uh, the point there is, 2008 02:18:39.670 --> 02:18:43.770 is the current drafting provides no certainty as to the nature of the 2009 02:18:43.770 --> 02:18:46.930 improvement works required to the eGate, for example, 2010 02:18:47.110 --> 02:18:51.850 by citing works identified in the traffic assessment. Um, uh, 2011 02:18:52.150 --> 02:18:52.750 uh, 2012 02:18:52.750 --> 02:18:55.890 and it makes no attempt to sort of clarify what arrangements must actually be 2013 02:18:55.890 --> 02:19:00.810 entered into with the planning or any other authority to facilitate

2014

02:19:00.810 --> 02:19:02.770 those works. And, 2015 02:19:02.870 --> 02:19:06.090 and in the absence of clear and prescriptive drafting, 2016 02:19:06.870 --> 02:19:11.570 we can't have any confidence as to the adequacy of the potential improvement 2017 02:19:11.570 --> 02:19:13.730 works even within the assessed levels. 2018 02:19:13.870 --> 02:19:18.450 And this is important to C L D N because inadequate improvement to Eastgate 2019 02:19:18.980 --> 02:19:22.250 could result in the diversion of traffic to the Westgate, 2020 02:19:22.780 --> 02:19:27.480 which would then or could then have serious implications for CDNs 2021 02:19:27.480 --> 02:19:32.440 operations. So it is of legitimate interest to us. Uh, 2022 02:19:32.440 --> 02:19:36.680 requirement 15, dealing with construction and operational plans and documents, 2023 02:19:37.630 --> 02:19:41.120 there's no reference at all to an operational traffic management plan. 2024 02:19:41.980 --> 02:19:43.000 And in the absence of a, 2025 02:19:43.020 --> 02:19:47.560 of a requirement to operate the facility authorized by the D C O in accordance 2026 02:19:47.560 --> 02:19:50.640

with a a Traffic Management Plan certified, 2027 02:19:50.750 --> 02:19:55.680 it's not clear how any traffic mitigation measures referenced in 2028 02:19:55.680 --> 02:19:59.080 chapter 17 of the environmental statement. Um, uh, 2029 02:19:59.100 --> 02:20:01.240 or indeed the transfer of assessment are to be secured. 2030 02:20:08.560 --> 02:20:11.180 R requirement 15 also, uh, 2031 02:20:11.580 --> 02:20:14.340 purports to secure compliance with the navigational risk assessment. 2032 02:20:14.360 --> 02:20:18.060 As we just heard, uh, submitted the, with the application. Uh, 2033 02:20:18.060 --> 02:20:21.820 you'll be aware of the serious deficiencies that various interested parties 2034 02:20:22.880 --> 02:20:27.020 appear to consider, uh, exist with that, um, uh, uh, 2035 02:20:27.020 --> 02:20:30.660 navigational risk assessment, which clearly need to be addressed, uh, 2036 02:20:30.960 --> 02:20:34.380 by the submission we would say of further environmental and other information 2037 02:20:34.840 --> 02:20:38.140 and an updated assessment, um, which in, in, 2038 02:20:38.140 --> 02:20:43.020 in turn would then be secured by requirement 15. And finally, 2039

02:20:43.120 --> 02:20:47.740 before we come to requirement 18, uh, on requirement 17, uh, 2040 02:20:48.060 --> 02:20:51.580 materials management plan, uh, 2041 02:20:51.580 --> 02:20:56.500 it would've been helpful for a plan to have been produced as part of the D 2042 02:20:56.500 --> 02:21:00.660 C O application documents to inform arrangements for sourcing and 2043 02:21:01.090 --> 02:21:04.540 deposit of materials. Uh, it, it's, 2044 02:21:04.540 --> 02:21:07.940 it's hard without such a plan to consider 2045 02:21:09.290 --> 02:21:13.140 this requirement in any meaningful way and make any submissions, uh, on it. 2046 02:21:13.140 --> 02:21:17.580 And therefore we would invite a b P to consider producing 2047 02:21:18.340 --> 02:21:23.260 a materials management plan and, and submit submitted as a, as a commitment, 2048 02:21:23.400 --> 02:21:26.660 um, into the examination so that, uh, 2049 02:21:26.660 --> 02:21:30.580 interested parties can then consider it in the ongoing examination process. 2050 02:21:31.710 --> 02:21:32.543 Thank you, 2051 02:21:36.320 --> 02:21:37.153 Mr. Greenman.

2052 02:21:37.750 --> 02:21:40.100 Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood for a v p. 2053 02:21:40.320 --> 02:21:44.300 So we've noted those comments from Mr. Owen, and, uh, 2054 $02:21:44.680 \rightarrow 02:21:48.140$ we will deal with them as appropriate. Uh, going forward, sir. 2055 02:21:49.480 --> 02:21:50.000 So sir, 2056 02:21:50.000 --> 02:21:54.860 Can we move now to, uh, requirement 18? Not, not quite, not quite. 2057 02:21:55.180 --> 02:21:57.140 'cause I think I missed a step in my list, 2058 02:21:57.760 --> 02:22:02.100 and actually it touches on requirement eight and requirement 2059 02:22:02.650 --> 02:22:03.620 15 A, 2060 02:22:03.750 --> 02:22:08.300 which both have references to the construction environment management plan. 2061 02:22:09.400 --> 02:22:14.380 Um, it would seem that the reference in 15 A is superfluous. 2062 02:22:14.880 --> 02:22:18.060 Really there need needs to be a check, um, 2063 02:22:18.520 --> 02:22:23.380 as to whether they both need be there or one or other. Um, 2064 02:22:26.580 --> 02:22:28.960 but it, it, it seems that in 15,

2065 02:22:29.100 --> 02:22:33.480 the con construction Environmental Man Construction Environmental Management 2066 02:22:33.480 --> 02:22:38.000 plan doesn't really fit with operational plans, which appear to be, 2067 02:22:38.500 - > 02:22:41.560for the most part, what the rest of that requirement covers. 2068 02:22:49.360 --> 02:22:50.310 Sorry, sir. Could you, 2069 02:22:50.310 --> 02:22:53.550 could I ask you just to expand on that last point that you made? Yeah. 2070 02:22:53.570 --> 02:22:57.190 If, if we look at requirement 15, it, 2071 02:22:57.190 --> 02:23:00.710 it's titled both Construction and Operational Plans and Documents. 2072 02:23:02.010 --> 02:23:06.430 Um, if you then look at the construction and environment management plan, 2073 02:23:07.260 --> 02:23:11.670 well, that's definitely for construction, but things like the drainage strategy, 2074 02:23:11.890 --> 02:23:14.230 the wemp, the navigational risk assessment, 2075 02:23:14.330 --> 02:23:18.470 and the flood risk assessment really are operational type matters. 2076 02:23:19.410 --> 02:23:23.470 Um, so what I'm really putting to you is should the Construction Environment 2077

02:23:23.470 --> 02:23:27.070 Management plan be referred to at all in 15, 2078 02:23:27.170 --> 02:23:30.710 or should it just remain in eight, and then the, 2079 02:23:30.770 --> 02:23:33.790 the subheading for that requirement be amended accordingly? 2080 02:23:35.130 --> 02:23:39.750 It just seems that 15 A is not a natural, natural fit for that requirement. 2081 02:23:41.120 --> 02:23:44.910 Brian Greenwood for a b p. Thank you, sir. That's extremely logical and, uh, 2082 02:23:44.910 --> 02:23:46.510 we will adopt that going forward. 2083 02:23:51.340 --> 02:23:52.173 Mr. 2084 02:23:52.420 --> 02:23:56.960 Uh, Robbie Owen, c r d n. Sir, can I also make a general point about, 2085 02:23:57.340 --> 02:24:02.320 um, requirements eight 13 and 15 in that, in that we, we, 2086 02:24:02.320 --> 02:24:05.440 we, we think they are all infected with the same, 2087 02:24:05.790 --> 02:24:10.320 what we would see as a fundamental flaw, uh, and I'll explain why. Um, they, 2088 02:24:10.320 --> 02:24:15.000 they each require only some standard of compliance with an application 2089 02:24:15.280 --> 02:24:17.080

document, and that would, in principle, 2090 02:24:17.080 --> 02:24:21.920 be appropriate were those application documents worked up to a 2091 02:24:22.120 --> 02:24:26.120 detailed standard such that yourselves and in due course, 2092 02:24:26.180 --> 02:24:28.760 the Secretary of State could be confident when, uh, 2093 02:24:28.760 --> 02:24:32.120 making a determination of the applicant of the application that that adequate 2094 02:24:32.120 --> 02:24:35.480 mitigation is secured. However, in this case, 2095 02:24:36.060 --> 02:24:39.160 the corresponding control documents, in particular the, 2096 02:24:39.460 --> 02:24:41.920 the Kemp Construction Environment Management Plan, 2097 02:24:42.630 --> 02:24:47.320 they are at best outline documents giving a loose indication of the aims to be 2098 02:24:47.560 --> 02:24:51.400 achieved, but no detail as to how they are to be achieved. 2099 02:24:52.380 --> 02:24:53.720 Uh, as such, there's, 2100 02:24:53.720 --> 02:24:58.120 there's little confidence that appropriate mitigation will be delivered. 2101 02:24:58.910 --> 02:25:01.200 Typically where there is an outline approach,

2102

02:25:01.230 --> 02:25:05.440 this is coupled with a pre-commencement or pre-operation, 2103 02:25:05.440 --> 02:25:10.120 depending on the measure, uh, requirement to develop the outline document, uh, 2104 02:25:10.150 --> 02:25:11.920 into a detailed version of it, 2105 02:25:11.940 --> 02:25:16.640 and then submit that for approval by the relevant planning authority or other 2106 02:25:17.200 --> 02:25:18.920 relevant approving body, um, 2107 02:25:19.300 --> 02:25:24.280 before construction or operation can begin in. Instead, in this case, 2108 02:25:24.330 --> 02:25:27.080 we're presented with a proposal by a b p for, 2109 02:25:27.080 --> 02:25:31.480 for them to be in effect at liberty to develop their project in general, 2110 02:25:31.490 --> 02:25:36.360 accordance with an outline document with no, with no further supervision, 2111 02:25:37.420 --> 02:25:39.600 uh, and, and where problems to arise. 2112 02:25:39.600 --> 02:25:43.520 It is not clear how a planning authority could take enforcement action given 2113 02:25:43.620 --> 02:25:44.140 the, 2114

02:25:44.140 --> 02:25:47.360 the vague nature of these commitments and the corresponding control documents. 2115 02:25:47.380 --> 02:25:51.760 So we, we do feel this is a, a, a pretty unsatisfactory situation, 2116 02:25:51.820 --> 02:25:53.080 and a, again, 2117 02:25:53.080 --> 02:25:58.080 would invite a b P to consider this and consider whether there should 2118 02:25:58.080 --> 02:26:01.160 be some additional requirements, uh, 2119 02:26:01.310 --> 02:26:05.440 requiring these outline control documents to be worked up into detailed 2120 02:26:05.440 --> 02:26:06.800 versions. Uh, 2121 02:26:06.800 --> 02:26:10.720 if and when the D C O is made before works can start or as appropriate, 2122 02:26:11.220 --> 02:26:15.440 the project can be operated. Thank you. 2123 $02:26:16.790 \rightarrow 02:26:19.520$ Certainly, Mr. Greenwood, in my experience, 2124 02:26:19.550 --> 02:26:24.200 that tends to be the approach that's taken with say the Kemp, 2125 02:26:24.460 --> 02:26:28.800 um, which often is something that yes, you'll see a draft of, 2126 02:26:28.860 --> 02:26:33.720 but by the time a contractor gets on the ground and actually really

starts to 2127 02:26:33.720 --> 02:26:37.480 deal with the detail of how they're going to build the project, um, 2128 02:26:37.990 --> 02:26:41.480 that they've been contracted, uh, to build, then uh, 2129 02:26:41.670 --> 02:26:45.800 it's at that point you really start to appreciate what's gonna be required. 2130 02:26:46.660 --> 02:26:51.560 Um, and potentially then, yeah, the detail does need to be worked up. Um, 2131 02:26:51.580 --> 02:26:55.600 so any observations generally on the point that Mr. Owen has made? 2132 02:26:56.890 --> 02:27:00.640 Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood. A b p, sir, that, it's a good point. 2133 02:27:00.640 --> 02:27:04.600 We are in fact wrestling with this very issue. Uh, you are quite correct. Um, 2134 02:27:04.660 --> 02:27:06.320 if you could leave it with us and we, 2135 02:27:06.420 --> 02:27:10.360 we will look at the points as to how we can clarify and also, uh, 2136 02:27:10.700 --> 02:27:12.440 how we can deal with the going forward. 2137 02:27:13.790 --> 02:27:14.180 Yeah, 2138 02:27:14.180 --> 02:27:18.600 But of course, it's not necessarily just the Kemp, but it also then begs the,

2139 02:27:19.220 --> 02:27:22.200 the, the point that if, um, 2140 02:27:23.020 --> 02:27:26.680 the requirements were to rest as drafted, um, 2141 $02:27:26.750 \rightarrow 02:27:31.440$ some of the discharge requirements that occur in the later paragraphs in this 2142 02:27:31.680 --> 02:27:35.800 schedule would in effect become unnecessary because, um, 2143 02:27:35.890 --> 02:27:40.520 there would be no discharging going on. Um, so, um, 2144 02:27:42.020 --> 02:27:46.680 it looks like in authoring, um, the draft development order, 2145 02:27:46.680 --> 02:27:51.360 there was an intention that there would be a discharge process given, 2146 02:27:51.700 --> 02:27:54.720 um, those later paragraphs. Um, so the, 2147 02:27:54.740 --> 02:27:57.240 the two bits don't quite fit. Yeah. 2148 02:28:04.380 --> 02:28:09.210 Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood, A v P. So moving on, if I may, 2149 02:28:09.510 --> 02:28:11.770 um, get to requirement 18. 2150 02:28:12.230 --> 02:28:15.770 So this has changed considerably. Um, 2151 02:28:16.630 --> 02:28:21.480 and obviously you'll see, see the drafting in due course, but very

simply, sir, 2152 02:28:21.620 --> 02:28:24.560 the original, as you see on screen, 2153 02:28:24.860 --> 02:28:29.360 it did say that in the event that the Harbor Master determines at its 2154 02:28:29.360 --> 02:28:33.680 discretion that impact protection measures are required in the interest and 2155 02:28:33.680 --> 02:28:37.760 navigational safety in the river, uh, the company must construct work. 2156 02:28:37.760 --> 02:28:40.280 Number three, that is how it stands at the moment. 2157 02:28:40.860 --> 02:28:45.280 We have been in discussion with the Harbor master and his legal team, 2158 02:28:45.780 --> 02:28:50.640 and we have accepted an amendment that now reads as follows, 2159 02:28:51.460 --> 02:28:55.720 the company I A B P must have due regard to any 2160 02:28:55.980 --> 02:29:00.920 recommendation received from the Harbor Master that 2161 02:29:00.920 --> 02:29:05.520 work number three is required in the interest of navigational safety in the 2162 02:29:05.520 --> 02:29:10.360 river Humber. So that switches the onus and the responsibility, um, 2163 02:29:10.660 --> 02:29:13.040 it will become clearer when in fact, uh,

2164 02:29:13.220 --> 02:29:17.480 you see my brief note on the separation of powers, 2165 02:29:17.940 --> 02:29:22.680 but that amendment has been, uh, approved. Uh, I, 2166 $02:29:22.800 \rightarrow 02:29:26.600$ I I've looked to, uh, counsel for the Harbor Master in due course, 2167 02:29:27.060 --> 02:29:31.320 and there are one or two other amendments that follow, um, uh, 2168 02:29:31.590 --> 02:29:36.520 essentially to ensure that, um, when a B P, 2169 02:29:36.820 --> 02:29:41.160 if a b P receives a recommendation from the Harbor master, it must inform, uh, 2170 02:29:41.360 --> 02:29:46.000 H O T T. Uh, but you'll, you'll see that when in fact we circulate the next, 2171 02:29:46.220 --> 02:29:48.680 uh, draft of the D C O 2172 02:29:58.630 --> 02:30:02.770 Ms. Hut Hutton. Have you got anything to elaborate on on that point in terms of, 2173 02:30:02.770 --> 02:30:05.610 in effect, the switching round of, um, 2174 02:30:06.750 --> 02:30:11.530 the Harbor Authority becoming in effect the determiner of, 2175 02:30:11.870 --> 02:30:12.090 um, 2176 02:30:12.090 --> 02:30:16.250

whether or not those measures would be implemented and the applicant in effect 2177 02:30:16.250 --> 02:30:20.810 having to abide by whatever the view, um, of the Harbor master was. 2178 02:30:22.430 --> 02:30:26.890 So thank you, Victoria Hutton, uh, for the Harbor Master. Um, so yes, 2179 02:30:27.150 --> 02:30:31.810 it switched away from that position to essentially make the Harbor master a, uh, 2180 02:30:32.480 --> 02:30:36.450 consultee effectively on the decision. And we wait to see the, 2181 02:30:36.510 --> 02:30:40.610 the Redrafted version, but that sounds like it's in line with our position. 2182 02:30:41.470 --> 02:30:45.450 Um, so you'll, you'll see, I think when you get the note, uh, 2183 02:30:45.560 --> 02:30:47.570 from the applicant, um, 2184 02:30:48.400 --> 02:30:53.130 that will explain the different roles and responsibilities between the company 2185 02:30:53.270 --> 02:30:56.770 and the statutory Harbor Authority. But in short, 2186 02:30:56.770 --> 02:31:00.810 the statutory Harbor authorities is concerned with the navigational safety of 2187 02:31:00.810 --> 02:31:05.330 the Humber. Uh, that includes, um, for example, 2188 02:31:05.480 --> 02:31:10.130

administering, administering piloting under the Pilotage Act, 2189 02:31:10.710 --> 02:31:15.330 uh, includes making, uh, issuing directions. Um, 2190 02:31:15.790 --> 02:31:19.840 it also includes a powered license works that Sir, uh, 2191 02:31:20.130 --> 02:31:23.520 opposition is, that is rather different from, uh, 2192 02:31:23.520 --> 02:31:27.000 making decisions as to what works occur, uh, 2193 02:31:27.000 --> 02:31:28.960 within the port in within a port. 2194 02:31:29.980 --> 02:31:34.840 And so we consider that the protective provisions under part one 2195 02:31:34.840 --> 02:31:39.000 of Schedule four are appropriate and, uh, 2196 02:31:40.020 --> 02:31:44.320 mirror that those powers, which, uh, the Harbor Authority currently has, 2197 02:31:45.060 --> 02:31:49.360 uh, but it's not appropriate for the Harbor Authority to making decision to make 2198 02:31:49.520 --> 02:31:53.200 decisions over, uh, infrastructure within the port. 2199 02:31:58.320 --> 02:31:59.070 Thank you, Ms. Hu, 2200 02:31:59.070 --> 02:32:03.630 and I think that's been helpful clarification from the standpoint of 2201 02:32:03.930 --> 02:32:07.350

the Humber Harbor Master. Um, 2202 02:32:08.410 --> 02:32:09.710 how does this then 2203 02:32:11.500 --> 02:32:16.390 take us forward in terms of how the decision is taken as to whether that 2204 02:32:16.450 --> 02:32:18.670 impact protection is implemented? 2205 02:32:21.520 --> 02:32:24.950 Brian Greenwood, a b p? So I've, I've been a little unfair, 2206 02:32:25.010 --> 02:32:29.150 but I haven't pointed you to the other amendments be we've been made, 2207 02:32:29.170 --> 02:32:31.110 but the way the process, um, 2208 02:32:31.790 --> 02:32:36.230 subjects to final drafting would work is if the Harbor Master 2209 02:32:36.430 --> 02:32:39.070 believes in the interest of safety of navigation, 2210 02:32:39.380 --> 02:32:41.790 that he should make such a recommendation. 2211 02:32:42.090 --> 02:32:46.950 It is then for a b p as the operator of the port and 2212 02:32:46.950 --> 02:32:51.590 indeed the responsible body to decide whether or not it agrees with that 2213 02:32:51.650 --> 02:32:52.550 recommendation. 2214

02:32:52.680 --> 02:32:57.150 There will be no obligation on a V P to accept that recommendation. 2215 02:32:57.650 --> 02:33:01.790 It will then consider the implications what has to be done. And in doing so, 2216 02:33:01.840 --> 02:33:04.190 we'll consult with, uh, H O T T, 2217 02:33:08.540 --> 02:33:11.270 Obviously, uh, this is subject, 2218 02:33:11.420 --> 02:33:16.110 this comment is subject to the explanatory note that you're providing, 2219 02:33:16.810 --> 02:33:19.230 but, um, my sense is that, 2220 02:33:19.810 --> 02:33:24.790 or my understanding so far is that the Port of Ingham as a statuary 2221 02:33:24.790 --> 02:33:28.070 Hall al authority has a certain set of responsibilities. 2222 02:33:29.860 --> 02:33:34.190 There's an interface o obviously with the 2223 02:33:35.020 --> 02:33:39.990 statutory Harbor authority for the Humber, uh, 2224 02:33:40.160 --> 02:33:44.990 which I rather sense is at some point, which you're going to help define for us, 2225 02:33:45.330 --> 02:33:50.270 um, uh, seawood of the I O T 2226 02:33:53.130 --> 02:33:57.550 now within the Port of Ingham's

2227 02:33:57.930 --> 02:33:58.950 own geography, 2228 02:34:00.250 --> 02:34:03.150 the s h a then is the Port of Ingham Ingham, 2229 02:34:03.810 --> 02:34:08.470 and therefore is the, uh, 2230 02:34:09.090 --> 02:34:12.510 uh, the, the body responsible for safety. 2231 02:34:13.450 --> 02:34:17.880 Is that a reasonable summary? Yes. So the advisory, um, 2232 02:34:18.060 --> 02:34:22.600 is basically, I think Sutton, um, made the book the, the, um, 2233 02:34:22.750 --> 02:34:26.280 clarification that you're effectively acting as a consultee. 2234 02:34:27.980 --> 02:34:31.640 That's fine. There's several consultees that may have a position on this. 2235 02:34:32.000 --> 02:34:34.600 M C A may of course also have a position on this. 2236 02:34:36.020 --> 02:34:40.800 How does the decision get made and how do we get security that 2237 02:34:40.940 --> 02:34:45.160 the, um, in the, in, in the, uh, draft E C O, 2238 02:34:45.590 --> 02:34:50.200 that the mitigation that is embodied in the N R A 2239 02:34:53.650 --> 02:34:56.750 Can be provided or will be provided. Um, 2240

02:34:57.130 --> 02:35:01.470 and what is the mechanism that the D C O can secure for 2241 02:35:01.900 --> 02:35:06.430 that, um, consideration to be taken? Uh, 2242 02:35:06.940 --> 02:35:08.830 with regard to stakeholder input? 2243 02:35:09.740 --> 02:35:14.030 Just, sorry, just before you respond, I do you wanna turn the, 2244 02:35:15.910 --> 02:35:19.350 I think what we need in terms of, for want of a better way, 2245 02:35:19.630 --> 02:35:24.270 discharging this particular requirement is something from, um, 2246 02:35:24.410 --> 02:35:28.950 the Harbor Authority that explains how it considers, um, 2247 02:35:29.170 --> 02:35:32.470 it would make a decision. Um, 2248 02:35:33.210 --> 02:35:36.990 and then potentially we want to see something from the applicant in terms of 2249 02:35:37.140 --> 02:35:41.750 what its decision making would be further to either a 2250 02:35:42.050 --> 02:35:44.110 recommendation from the Harbor Authority, 2251 02:35:44.290 --> 02:35:49.150 or I think possibly we might need to see the word direction from 2252 02:35:49.290 --> 02:35:52.110 the Harbor Authority because, um, 2253 02:35:52.510 --> 02:35:57.430

a recommendation is a bit loose if the Harbor Authority determines 2254 02:35:57.500 --> 02:36:01.670 that the protection is required and given its statutory duties, 2255 02:36:02.570 --> 02:36:07.550 if it directs, then it has to happen. Um, 2256 02:36:07.740 --> 02:36:11.350 just before I ask Mr. Greenwood to respond, uh, Mr. Owen, 2257 02:36:12.660 --> 02:36:15.190 then Mr. Walker, but Mr. Owen first, 2258 02:36:15.850 --> 02:36:19.510 Uh, thank you, sir. Robbie Owen for C L D N. Uh, so, 2259 02:36:19.530 --> 02:36:22.990 so it does seem to us that this requirement 18 is, 2260 02:36:23.090 --> 02:36:27.910 is fundamentally unsatisfactory and flawed and certainly doesn't meet the 2261 02:36:28.720 --> 02:36:33.390 tests for requirements, uh, at the moment of being, uh, 2262 02:36:33.390 --> 02:36:36.190 particularly in terms of being enforceable, precise, and reasonable. 2263 $02:36:36.490 \rightarrow 02:36:39.470$ And going back to first principles, it, it, it, 2264 02:36:40.210 --> 02:36:44.630 it appears to us that we're being told that impact protection measures may or 2265 02:36:44.650 --> 02:36:48.990 may or may or may or may not be necessary. Uh, they, 2266 02:36:48.990 --> 02:36:52.590

they are included as work number three on the basis that they might be 2267 02:36:52.590 --> 02:36:53.510 necessary, and therefore, 2268 02:36:53.630 --> 02:36:58.350 a B p understandably would like the power if they are 'cause it are necessary to 2269 02:36:59.110 --> 02:37:01.390 construct them as, as, as mitigation measures. In effect, 2270 02:37:01.930 --> 02:37:06.510 the normal way of doing this would be for the requirement 18 2271 02:37:07.250 --> 02:37:11.590 to start off by obliging A B P to, 2272 02:37:12.290 --> 02:37:12.850 in effect, 2273 02:37:12.850 --> 02:37:17.260 put a scheme of mitigation involving impact protection measures to the Harbor 2274 02:37:17.260 --> 02:37:21.860 Master for the Harbor Master to consider if they are necessary and, 2275 02:37:22.080 --> 02:37:25.980 and, and correct in every respect, uh, the Harbor Master would then, 2276 02:37:26.760 --> 02:37:29.820 before coming to a decision on that would, should be, we would say, 2277 02:37:30.010 --> 02:37:34.500 obliged to consult relevant users of the, of the navigation. 2278 02:37:35.360 --> 02:37:39.500 Um, and then the Harbor Master would, uh, make a decision, 2279

02:37:40.320 --> 02:37:43.620 uh, uh, or it might need to be the, 2280 02:37:43.760 --> 02:37:45.900 the actual Conservancy authority opposed to the individual. 2281 02:37:45.920 --> 02:37:49.140 That's a another matter to be, to be concluded. And then if, 2282 02:37:49.280 --> 02:37:53.780 if the decision is the impact protection measures are required, then they, 2283 02:37:53.780 --> 02:37:54.300 there should be, 2284 02:37:54.300 --> 02:37:57.860 there should be a clear obligation to carry them out in accordance with all the 2285 02:37:57.860 --> 02:37:58.940 other provisions of the D C O, 2286 02:37:58.940 --> 02:38:03.740 but leaving it in a very loose way as it currently is to the discretion of 2287 02:38:04.440 --> 02:38:08.060 the Harbor Master to initiate the discussion, seems to us to be, uh, 2288 02:38:08.160 --> 02:38:10.220 unsatisfactory. And therefore, the amendment that Mr. 2289 02:38:10.220 --> 02:38:12.420 Greenman would refer to earlier, if I understood it correctly, 2290 02:38:12.530 --> 02:38:16.460 doesn't really help. Um, as I say, the normal approach here is, is, 2291 02:38:16.460 --> 02:38:20.380 and there's tried and tested drafting for this in DCOS and other, uh,

2292 02:38:20.560 --> 02:38:21.300 STA instruments, 2293 02:38:21.300 --> 02:38:25.260 and that you have a schema mitigation obligation that the applicant is required 2294 02:38:25.330 --> 02:38:29.620 once a DCO is in place to, to, to put together and submit for approval. 2295 02:38:30.200 --> 02:38:34.940 Uh, and yeah, if the proposal is the approval body should be the Harbor Master, 2296 02:38:34.970 --> 02:38:39.100 then that can be considered then, then the Harbor Master, um, or, 2297 02:38:39.120 --> 02:38:43.700 or the Conservancy Authority perhaps has a public function then to consider 2298 02:38:44.400 --> 02:38:47.340 the submission of the details, consult river users, 2299 02:38:47.760 --> 02:38:51.220 and then make a decision that would be the perfectly normal way of doing this, 2300 02:38:51.320 --> 02:38:55.380 and you could achieve that in a, in an enforceable, precise and reasonable way, 2301 02:38:55.380 --> 02:38:59.690 which is none of which is currently achieved by Article 18. Thank you. 2302 02:39:00.950 --> 02:39:04.170 Uh, thank you Mr. Rowan. Uh, before turning to Mr. Greenwood, 2303 02:39:04.470 --> 02:39:08.410

I'd like to ask Ms. Hudson, does, uh, the Hub, 2304 02:39:08.410 --> 02:39:11.930 master Humber think that it is the approval body? 2305 02:39:13.190 --> 02:39:17.810 No. That, that's precisely, sir, what this amendment is meant to achieve, um, 2306 02:39:17.920 --> 02:39:20.210 that the hub master wouldn't be the approval body. 2307 02:39:25.910 --> 02:39:27.930 Mr. Greenwood, uh, in answering, 2308 02:39:27.930 --> 02:39:30.210 could you clarify who would be the approval body? 2309 02:39:32.720 --> 02:39:36.470 Brian Greenwood for a b p? Certainly, sir. Uh, and thank you. 2310 02:39:36.710 --> 02:39:40.710 I I think there, uh, Mr. Owen's comments, uh, are, 2311 02:39:40.770 --> 02:39:43.510 are driven by, if I may say very politely, 2312 02:39:43.590 --> 02:39:46.390 a lack of understanding of how the process actually works. 2313 $02:39:47.110 \longrightarrow 02:39:50.950$ I have no wish to preempt what you are going to hear on Thursday in the 2314 02:39:50.950 --> 02:39:55.470 navigation hearing. There is a process in place. All I would say, sir, 2315 02:39:55.570 --> 02:40:00.230 is that process has already taken place through the N R A through the Hazard

2316 02:40:00.630 --> 02:40:05.310 workshops and, and, and through the, uh, consideration of, 2317 02:40:05.490 --> 02:40:06.590 uh, the, 2318 02:40:06.650 --> 02:40:11.030 the tolerability risks by the A B P, uh, 2319 02:40:11.250 --> 02:40:14.280 has board, which you will hear about on Thursday. 2320 02:40:14.900 --> 02:40:19.520 The starting point for this particular provision is, as Mr. 2321 02:40:19.550 --> 02:40:21.640 Owen has quite correctly said, uh, 2322 02:40:22.440 --> 02:40:27.160 a V p through its navigation risk assessment, which has involved all users, 2323 02:40:27.270 --> 02:40:32.120 does not consider that impact protection measures are required. Uh, Mr. 2324 02:40:32.150 --> 02:40:36.840 Owen is quite correct that it has been included should for any 2325 02:40:36.840 --> 02:40:39.240 reason it decided at a later date. Uh, 2326 02:40:39.310 --> 02:40:44.170 they are required then if all goes well, that they are consented. 2327 02:40:44.550 --> 02:40:47.930 But a B P's starting point at the moment is that they are not required, 2328 02:40:48.150 --> 02:40:53.050 and that is as a result of a very thorough simulation exercise,

2329 02:40:53.050 --> 02:40:57.930 hazard workshop exercise, and the, a comprehensive navigation risk assessment. 2330 02:40:58.430 --> 02:41:03.250 But, uh, uh, this touches on the points you specifically identified for, uh, 2331 02:41:03.500 --> 02:41:07.130 issue specific hearing too. So if I could, if I could leave it at that point, 2332 02:41:08.270 --> 02:41:12.970 We appreciate that. Um, uh, we look forward to Thursday, um, 2333 02:41:13.110 --> 02:41:16.130 for clarification on that. Um, thank you, Ms. Huts. Uh, 2334 02:41:17.040 --> 02:41:21.210 what I would suggest then is in preparation for Thursday, 2335 02:41:21.800 --> 02:41:26.730 that whilst it will to a certain extent be then confirmed in the note that 2336 02:41:26.730 --> 02:41:28.770 you'll be producing for D one, 2337 02:41:29.450 --> 02:41:34.410 I think that we will be asking for you to give a, an oral, uh, 2338 02:41:35.590 --> 02:41:36.423 uh, 2339 02:41:36.440 --> 02:41:41.050 explanation of the process for in particular, 2340 02:41:41.710 --> 02:41:45.170 the, uh, uh, assessment of, um, the, the, 2341

02:41:45.190 --> 02:41:46.810 the rating of risk is all up 2342 02:41:48.180 --> 02:41:49.890 Brian Greenwood for a b p. 2343 02:41:50.110 --> 02:41:53.170 We did anticipate that that is what you would require, sir, 2344 02:41:53.200 --> 02:41:54.090 that will be prepared. 2345 02:41:54.280 --> 02:41:55.113 Very good, 2346 02:41:55.950 --> 02:41:56.783 Mr. Walker? 2347 02:41:57.500 --> 02:42:01.770 Thank you, sir. Angus Walker for D F D Ss, I have to, uh, add, um, uh, 2348 02:42:02.270 --> 02:42:04.450 my client's concern to this provision. 2349 02:42:04.450 --> 02:42:08.050 It's probably one of the ones they're most concerned about. Um, 2350 02:42:09.030 --> 02:42:13.890 in a way, it's neither here nor there as to who's deciding that this, um, 2351 02:42:15.160 --> 02:42:20.130 work is, is going to be built or not. It's what is the trigger for that, 2352 02:42:20.340 --> 02:42:24.090 given that we're currently in position of thinking it's not needed, 2353 02:42:24.750 --> 02:42:27.850 and we're worried that the trigger will be an accident,

2354 02:42:28.150 --> 02:42:32.530 not perhaps you are hoping that there'll be a near miss before there's an 2355 02:42:32.690 --> 02:42:33.850 accident, and then you'll be able to, 2356 $02:42:34.910 \rightarrow 02:42:39.770$ you'll be able to build this thing without any actual, um, damage to anything. 2357 02:42:40.270 --> 02:42:43.410 But that would appear to be the only thing that would change anyone's mind, 2358 02:42:43.470 --> 02:42:47.970 and that seems, um, a far too risky approach. 2359 02:42:49.190 --> 02:42:52.970 So I think Mr. Bradley was getting at this as well. What are, 2360 02:42:53.000 --> 02:42:55.090 what is going to be the trigger? 2361 02:42:55.090 --> 02:42:59.450 What conditions will make the risk change from the current one that you consider 2362 02:42:59.920 --> 02:43:02.930 that this is not necessary to one, the way you consider it is necessary. 2363 02:43:07.600 --> 02:43:09.660 Mr. Green, would you want to respond or again, 2364 02:43:09.720 --> 02:43:11.720 say that this is something you'll cover on on 2365 02:43:11.960 --> 02:43:13.800 Thursday? Yes, sir. Yeah, we will. 2366

02:43:15.670 --> 02:43:17.220 Thank you, Mr. Walker. That's helpful. 2367 02:43:30.900 --> 02:43:31.120 Ms. 2368 02:43:31.120 --> 02:43:32.160 Green, anything further on 2369 02:43:35.330 --> 02:43:37.480 Brian Greenwood for a b p, sir? Uh, 2370 02:43:38.040 --> 02:43:42.160 I hope we only have two further questions for yourself. 2371 02:43:42.300 --> 02:43:45.000 And then I propose just to give you a, uh, 2372 02:43:45.200 --> 02:43:48.800 a summary of where we stand with protective provisions. But, um, 2373 02:43:49.560 --> 02:43:54.320 I think your first point, uh, that you raised, still, still outstanding is, um, 2374 02:43:54.630 --> 02:43:57.680 requirement 21 applications made under requirements. 2375 02:44:01.440 --> 02:44:04.500 Yes. And this, this specifically, um, 2376 02:44:05.040 --> 02:44:09.460 is in relation to any works, um, affecting, 2377 02:44:10.240 --> 02:44:12.940 um, the special area of conservation, 2378 02:44:13.450 --> 02:44:16.020 special protection area and Ramsar site, 2379 02:44:17.150 --> 02:44:19.900

where in effect, um, 2380 02:44:20.280 --> 02:44:25.140 as drafted requirement 21 has a deemed approval written into 2381 02:44:25.140 --> 02:44:29.340 it. Um, now given the nature of, uh, 2382 02:44:29.680 --> 02:44:33.580 the protected habitat, that's problematic, uh, 2383 02:44:33.600 --> 02:44:35.620 and I've been there previously with another case. 2384 02:44:36.720 --> 02:44:41.580 Uh, thank you, sir. Um, uh, I should probably have, uh, added that to sav, 2385 02:44:41.680 --> 02:44:45.580 to save you, uh, explaining your concern. That is going to be deleted, sir. 2386 02:45:06.650 --> 02:45:11.190 And Sir Brian Greenwood, a v p, your next point that you raised is, uh, 2387 02:45:11.780 --> 02:45:13.390 appeals 23, 2388 02:45:17.920 --> 02:45:21.910 Which is kind of back to the point we made earlier. Uh, when we were to, 2389 02:45:21.970 --> 02:45:25.910 we touched on control of Pollution Act, um, 2390 02:45:26.610 --> 02:45:29.510 and the appeal route using the Secretary of State. 2391 02:45:29.510 --> 02:45:33.230 And the difficulty that that then causes in terms of if an appeal is dismissed

2392 02:45:33.650 --> 02:45:37.110 and a prosecution, uh, required, um, 2393 02:45:37.220 --> 02:45:40.350 back to the magistrates because the Secretary of State can't, uh, 2394 $02:45:40.460 \rightarrow 02:45:43.110$ deal with ineffective fine. 2395 02:45:53.280 --> 02:45:56.820 And that's particularly pertinent to Section 60, where of course, 2396 02:45:56.910 --> 02:46:01.700 there potentially, uh, as far as the local authority is concerned, has been, um, 2397 02:46:02.020 --> 02:46:06.820 a level noise, uh, that, uh, generated, which it considers it needs to take, uh, 2398 02:46:07.160 --> 02:46:12.000 in effect remedial action by issuing the notice, trying to bring things, um, 2399 02:46:12.070 --> 02:46:16.320 back under control if a section 61, uh, consent had not been sought 2400 02:46:17.970 --> 02:46:20.440 Brian Greenwood for a v p. So I understand the point, 2401 02:46:20.440 --> 02:46:23.520 and it does link back to the point you made earlier, and we will, uh, 2402 02:46:23.630 --> 02:46:26.600 have a look at it and make amendments as appropriate. 2403 02:46:27.330 --> 02:46:32.240 There is, there is a further problem that if you look at paragraph 23 B,

2404 02:46:32.780 --> 02:46:36.800 um, which again relates to, um, 2405 02:46:39.040 --> 02:46:42.960 a notice issued under Section 60, 'cause that is not for discharge, 2406 02:46:43.830 --> 02:46:48.280 that is an enforcement notice. So, um, were, um, 2407 02:46:49.750 --> 02:46:51.520 this, this provision to remain, 2408 02:46:52.200 --> 02:46:55.880 I would suggest it probably has to sit somewhere by itself 2409 02:46:56.830 --> 02:46:58.920 outside anything that actually, uh, 2410 02:46:58.920 --> 02:47:03.000 would be in an appeal against a discharge 'cause it's quite different, um, 2411 02:47:03.180 --> 02:47:07.960 to say details for the design of something having been submitted and refused. 2412 02:47:13.650 --> 02:47:16.360 Brian Green for a v p. Thank you, sir. That is noted as well. 2413 02:47:32.540 --> 02:47:33.373 Mr. Walker, 2414 02:47:34.370 --> 02:47:39.280 Angus Walker for D F D S before we leave Schedule two. Um, just, uh, paragraph, 2415 02:47:39.300 --> 02:47:43.800 uh, requirement 19 is one of those tailpiece ones, um, 2416 02:47:44.890 --> 02:47:49.760 where the dredge arisings could be transported to road by road if

the

2417 02:47:49.760 --> 02:47:52.640 impact is assessed and approved by the relevant planning authority, 2418 02:47:52.640 --> 02:47:56.720 which we think is not an acceptable draft. 2419 02:48:06.950 --> 02:48:07.450 Mr. 2420 02:48:07.450 --> 02:48:08.190 Williams 2421 02:48:08.190 --> 02:48:10.850 For a b p. Thank you, sir. That that is noted, 2422 02:48:15.390 --> 02:48:20.130 sir. Moving on. 2423 02:48:20.390 --> 02:48:24.010 Um, schedule three concerns a de Marine license. 2424 02:48:24.290 --> 02:48:27.690 I was proposing not to take you through this in detail because the drafting is 2425 02:48:27.690 --> 02:48:29.970 with the M M O at the moment, and, uh, 2426 02:48:31.360 --> 02:48:32.730 they are very prompt coming back, 2427 02:48:32.730 --> 02:48:36.170 so hopefully we'll certainly have something by deadline one. Uh, 2428 02:48:36.170 --> 02:48:37.250 and I can tell you where we 2429 02:48:37.250 --> 02:48:37.810 Are on that. I mean,

2430 02:48:37.810 --> 02:48:41.530 the real point for having this item on the agenda was for us to, in effect. 2431 02:48:41.600 --> 02:48:42.930 have an update from you. 2432 02:48:43.270 --> 02:48:48.250 You previously mentioned that the dialogue has commenced, um, 2433 02:48:48.910 --> 02:48:53.730 and really our concern was to make sure that all of the drafting points that the 2434 02:48:53.850 --> 02:48:56.930 M M O had raised are being looked at. 2435 02:48:57.430 --> 02:49:02.290 You may not agree with everything, but at least, um, that that dialogue is, 2436 02:49:02.310 --> 02:49:05.610 is in hand and it's sounding like it very much is, and that it, 2437 02:49:05.610 --> 02:49:10.440 this should not be something that is left ultimately to the 11th hour of the 2438 02:49:10.600 --> 02:49:14.480 examination. It looks like there, there might be earlier resolution than that. 2439 02:49:15.670 --> 02:49:19.320 Yeah, so Brian Greenwood for a B P I, I like to think, in fact, 2440 02:49:19.320 --> 02:49:20.560 these are quite positive, uh, 2441 02:49:20.560 --> 02:49:24.040 negotiations discussions we're having with Marine Management organization.

2442 02:49:24.530 --> 02:49:28.480 Again, this is a body that a B P deal with regularly anyway, with, with, 2443 02:49:28.550 --> 02:49:33.320 with their works now, sir, subject to, uh, 2444 02:49:33.410 --> 02:49:37.160 hands being raised across the floor, um, 2445 02:49:37.640 --> 02:49:41.560 I was just going to give you a summary of where we are on the protective 2446 02:49:41.560 --> 02:49:45.320 provisions. I can do that very briefly, just so you see what, what is 2447 02:49:45.910 --> 02:49:46.743 Happening. 2448 02:49:50.700 --> 02:49:51.600 So sir, um, 2449 02:49:53.140 --> 02:49:57.040 the first protective provision is for the protection of the Humber Conservancy 2450 02:49:57.040 --> 02:50:01.400 Commissioners, uh, putting aside their name, sir. Um, 2451 02:50:01.870 --> 02:50:05.080 that is, that is almost agreed. I understand, uh, 2452 02:50:05.390 --> 02:50:08.000 from hover Master's lawyer that there one or two, uh, 2453 02:50:08.310 --> 02:50:12.080 adjustments they may wish us to make and we look forward to receiving,

2454 02:50:12.270 --> 02:50:16.240 receiving those from them. Um, 2455 02:50:18.110 --> 02:50:21.840 Next one is protection of the Environment Agency. And, uh, Ms. 2456 02:50:21.900 --> 02:50:24.960 Hutson may wish to comment. Uh, we have been in, 2457 02:50:25.440 --> 02:50:28.520 I like to think positive negotiations with the Environment Agency. 2458 02:50:28.740 --> 02:50:31.320 We are almost there, I hope. Uh, 2459 02:50:31.420 --> 02:50:36.400 we did write back to the Environment Agency earlier this week or the end of last 2460 02:50:36.400 --> 02:50:40.800 week, uh, in that there was a request, um, uh, 2461 02:50:41.250 --> 02:50:44.280 concerning the fact that we wanted a, 2462 02:50:44.380 --> 02:50:48.760 or suggested that we should have a deemed refusal, uh, a deemed approval rather, 2463 02:50:48.760 --> 02:50:52.080 whereas the Environment Agency, uh, said on an application, 2464 02:50:52.180 --> 02:50:54.040 if they haven't come back within the time period, 2465 02:50:54.260 --> 02:50:58.720 it should be a refusal that actually is the standard precedent and we've 2466 02:50:58.880 --> 02:51:03.040 accepted what the Environment Agency have to say. I don't know if,

uh, uh, Ms. 2467 02:51:03.220 --> 02:51:06.200 Hutson, uh, wants to comment any further on that. 2468 02:51:10.450 --> 02:51:11.630 Ms. Hutson, uh, 2469 02:51:14.140 --> 02:51:18.630 Annette Hutson for the Environment Agency, um, no, 2470 02:51:18.710 --> 02:51:21.590 I don't wish make any further comments. Uh, thank you. Um, 2471 02:51:21.890 --> 02:51:25.550 the applicant is going to, uh, amend that provision for us, 2472 02:51:25.550 --> 02:51:27.430 so we're very satisfied with that. Thank you. 2473 02:51:31.080 --> 02:51:35.830 Thank you for that. Uh, Ms. Huon. So, uh, carrying on, 2474 02:51:36.050 --> 02:51:38.310 sir. Um, the, uh, 2475 02:51:38.800 --> 02:51:43.070 protective provision for Exum is under discussion with Exum, 2476 02:51:43.610 --> 02:51:48.470 um, the protective provision for the Humber Oil terminal or trustees. 2477 02:51:48.790 --> 02:51:50.310 I did touch on this morning, 2478 02:51:50.480 --> 02:51:55.270 we've had a draft back from Humber Oil Trustee trustees. 2479 02:51:55.810 --> 02:51:58.030 Um, we are some way apart,

2480 02:51:58.690 --> 02:52:02.830 and I think the simple thing is we are going to have to sit down with them and, 2481 02:52:03.090 --> 02:52:07.680 uh, see where we can get to, and you will have a full review of, 2482 02:52:07.820 --> 02:52:12.800 of where we have got to for deadline one. But, uh, that protect, uh, that, 2483 02:52:12.800 --> 02:52:16.800 that protective provision is one of the key issues in dispute at the moment. 2484 02:52:17.300 --> 02:52:20.160 And, uh, you will not be surprised that it goes to, uh, 2485 02:52:20.580 --> 02:52:23.440 the provision of the impact protection measures. 2486 02:52:26.340 --> 02:52:30.200 If I may, uh, just ask for a little clarification here. Um, 2487 02:52:31.420 --> 02:52:36.360 the representation, uh, for, from the I O T operators essentially is a, 2488 02:52:36.440 --> 02:52:40.280 a joint one between a P T and H O T T. Um, 2489 02:52:41.450 --> 02:52:46.320 would you elucidate whether there's any need for protective provision to a p t? 2490 02:52:48.900 --> 02:52:51.040 Uh, Brian Greenman for a b P, and sir, 2491 02:52:51.180 --> 02:52:55.280 I'm going to look two or three down, I think. Uh,

2492 02:52:56.620 --> 02:53:00.520 is a p t the agent who operates I o T, 2493 02:53:01.140 --> 02:53:05.800 so they, they they are, they're almost one and the same, 2494 02:53:05.910 --> 02:53:10.640 obviously not legally such. Yes. Uh, A P T are the operator, I am told, 2495 02:53:10.740 --> 02:53:12.160 but H O T T 2496 02:53:13.350 --> 02:53:13.720 Less 2497 02:53:13.720 --> 02:53:14.680 E are less E 2498 02:53:15.860 --> 02:53:17.120 That's very clear. Guess. 2499 02:53:19.220 --> 02:53:23.400 And, and, and as I say, sir, uh, we are some way apart and clearly, uh, 2500 02:53:23.400 --> 02:53:25.840 particularly in light of your comments earlier on, 2501 02:53:25.860 --> 02:53:29.560 we need to sit down with them and we need to sit down with them quickly. Uh, 2502 02:53:30.710 --> 02:53:35.400 they, uh, I I should explain sir, that they are looking for the, uh, 2503 02:53:37.140 --> 02:53:40.560 the provision of the impact protection measures and the provision of the impact 2504 02:53:40.560 --> 02:53:44.600

protection measures before we commence any works. So, uh, 2505 02:53:44.740 --> 02:53:47.920 as I say somewhere apart, um, 2506 02:53:48.140 --> 02:53:52.480 Um, I would just encourage you to, um, 2507 02:53:56.310 --> 02:53:58.400 welcome to the Thursday hearing. 2508 02:54:00.220 --> 02:54:01.840 We did think that they would be here today, 2509 02:54:04.250 --> 02:54:08.800 Brian Greenfield, A B P. Yes sir. We will make contact, uh, with, uh, 2510 02:54:08.800 --> 02:54:13.680 with them and invite them. I should also say, sir, that when we release the, 2511 02:54:13.860 --> 02:54:16.480 uh, track change version of the T C O, 2512 02:54:16.600 --> 02:54:20.200 I will specifically make sure that their lawyers are aware of the changes to 2513 02:54:20.200 --> 02:54:24.840 requirement 1918. Thank you, sir. So, 2514 02:54:25.480 --> 02:54:28.960 carrying on, uh, Northern Power Grid, um, 2515 02:54:29.260 --> 02:54:33.920 we are nearing agreement with Northern Power Grid Ang Water. 2516 02:54:34.780 --> 02:54:38.680 The draft is substantially settled DB Cargo, 2517

02:54:38.900 --> 02:54:40.160 as we have now, uh, 2518 02:54:41.580 --> 02:54:46.280 sorted out the amendment in rights of way, um, that is now settled. 2519 02:54:46.540 --> 02:54:48.520 Agreed. And they will fall away in fact, 2520 02:54:48.520 --> 02:54:52.480 and not participate Network rail. Um, 2521 02:54:53.250 --> 02:54:58.080 there is a draft, uh, protective provision in existence. Uh, 2522 02:54:58.300 --> 02:55:03.000 the protective provision has not moved on as fast as either of us would've liked 2523 02:55:03.180 --> 02:55:07.720 because network rail engineers want to be certain as to the lighting 2524 02:55:07.820 --> 02:55:11.400 issues that I mentioned earlier, as far as their, their, uh, 2525 02:55:11.620 --> 02:55:13.800 trains going around the outside of the port, 2526 02:55:14.340 --> 02:55:16.320 we are in positive negotiations with them. 2527 02:55:16.320 --> 02:55:18.920 They're going to come and visit the site and, uh, 2528 02:55:20.630 --> 02:55:23.440 hopefully that is something that will be resolved. It, uh, 2529 02:55:23.620 --> 02:55:27.880 may well be one of the later ones to resolve, but we'll see how that proceeds,

2530

02:55:28.820 --> 02:55:33.200 uh, north Northeast Lincolnshire Council as lead local flood authority. 2531 02:55:33.390 --> 02:55:38.320 That is a substantially agreed Cadent, uh, gas. 2532 02:55:38.780 --> 02:55:41.240 Um, we are negotiating, uh, 2533 02:55:41.350 --> 02:55:45.480 they have provided us with a draft protective provision, uh, 2534 02:55:45.480 --> 02:55:50.160 which we've amended sent back to 'em. It's toing and froing. I think, uh, 2535 02:55:50.500 --> 02:55:52.520 the ball is in their court at the moment, 2536 02:55:52.700 --> 02:55:54.640 so we are waiting in response from them. 2537 02:55:56.320 --> 02:56:00.800 Operators of electric communications that is, uh, BT and Virgin, 2538 02:56:01.400 --> 02:56:05.240 I think BT have approved Virgin, um, uh, 2539 02:56:05.980 --> 02:56:09.800 are in negotiation, but it is just protecting their rights to, 2540 02:56:09.860 --> 02:56:11.080 to access the site. 2541 02:56:11.940 --> 02:56:16.240 And then that just leaves C L D N and D F D Ss. And, uh, 2542 02:56:16.400 --> 02:56:17.880 I foresee, um, 2543

02:56:18.680 --> 02:56:22.480 positive meetings with both parties over the next week or so, sir, 2544 02:56:27.060 --> 02:56:31.760 and that sir is my less than quick run through the, uh, draft D C o. 2545 02:56:34.880 --> 02:56:39.590 Thank you Mr. Greenwood. Before I, um, um, hand back to you, Mr. 2546 02:56:39.680 --> 02:56:40.270 Gould, uh, 2547 02:56:40.270 --> 02:56:44.150 there's just something we skipped over very quickly on schedule three. Um, 2548 02:56:44.490 --> 02:56:47.110 and I just wanted to pick up to your point, 2549 02:56:47.750 --> 02:56:52.590 I haven't seen in MMOs representation anything regarding the, um, 2550 02:56:52.850 --> 02:56:57.310 marine written scheme of archeological investigation. Um, 2551 02:56:57.690 --> 02:57:01.110 nor have I seen anything specifically from, uh, 2552 02:57:01.550 --> 02:57:05.750 historic England in regard to securing the, uh, 2553 02:57:05.910 --> 02:57:10.150 W S I, uh, as a certified document. Um, would, 2554 02:57:10.280 --> 02:57:14.630 could I ask you to take that away and consider whether it should be a certified 2555 02:57:14.830 --> 02:57:15.663 document? 2556

02:57:15.730 --> 02:57:18.430 Yes. Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood from a b p, uh, 2557 02:57:18.480 --> 02:57:20.190 there have coincidentally been, 2558 02:57:20.190 --> 02:57:23.550 there has been correspondence on that very matter over the last week or so. 2559 02:57:24.450 --> 02:57:28.350 It is in place we need to clarify and give you an update. So thank you, sir, 2560 02:57:28.350 --> 02:57:29.183 for the reminder. 2561 02:57:34.020 --> 02:57:38.930 Thank you, Mr. Greenwood. Um, hearing what you've said in terms of, 2562 02:57:40.270 --> 02:57:44.690 um, having metaphorically an open door to at least 2563 02:57:45.640 --> 02:57:50.130 talk things over with C L D N and for that matter, D F D ss, 2564 02:57:50.430 --> 02:57:55.290 we would certainly, as Mr. Bradley indicated, implore you to do that, 2565 02:57:55.590 --> 02:57:58.690 and hopefully the other side of the room will be 2566 02:58:00.600 --> 02:58:02.640 willing at least to, uh, 2567 02:58:02.750 --> 02:58:07.480 participate in discussion as to where that might take the parties, um, 2568 02:58:07.610 --> 02:58:11.800 while that will resolve itself in the fullness of time. Um,

2569 02:58:11.900 --> 02:58:13.800 but turning, um, 2570 02:58:14.340 --> 02:58:17.880 to C L D N in terms of protective provisions, Mr. Owen, 2571 $02:58:17.980 \rightarrow 02:58:20.000$ do you wish to say anything at this point? 2572 02:58:22.700 --> 02:58:26.920 Uh, robo in for, uh, C L D N? Uh, uh, I mean, we, 2573 02:58:26.920 --> 02:58:31.280 we've got a listen our ahead of the kind of issues that we would think 2574 02:58:31.860 --> 02:58:36.600 are reasonable to deal with the protection of CDNs, 2575 02:58:36.700 --> 02:58:38.200 uh, undertaking. And it, it, 2576 02:58:38.200 --> 02:58:42.400 it is important to recognize that it is a statutory undertaking, 2577 02:58:42.420 --> 02:58:46.120 and that is what protective provisions are primarily for. Um, uh, 2578 02:58:46.200 --> 02:58:49.520 I mentioned earlier we, uh, uh, submitted with our relevant representation, 2579 02:58:50.180 --> 02:58:53.360 the basis of C L D N'S statutory operation, uh, 2580 02:58:53.540 --> 02:58:57.880 as a Harbor Authority in the Humber, namely the, uh, 2581 02:58:57.880 --> 02:59:02.520 north killing Home Haven Harbor Empowerment Order of 1994 and the Humber Sea 2582 02:59:02.800 --> 02:59:07.480 Terminal Phase three Harbor Vision order of 2006. So that's the basis for, 2583 02:59:07.980 --> 02:59:10.240 uh, seeking the protection. And, uh, I, 2584 02:59:10.320 --> 02:59:12.960 I won't take up time now unless you'd like me to, 2585 02:59:13.260 --> 02:59:17.120 in terms of setting out our emerging thinking of the kind of issues we would 2586 02:59:17.350 --> 02:59:20.880 wish to have protection in, in relation to, and they, they, they, 2587 02:59:20.880 --> 02:59:24.760 they will include some consideration of rail access because, um, uh, 2588 02:59:24.760 --> 02:59:29.680 that is also an important consideration for C L D N. Uh, and, um, uh, 2589 02:59:29.780 --> 02:59:33.320 the network in the area is not wholly within the control of, uh, 2590 02:59:34.400 --> 02:59:35.180 A B P. 2591 02:59:35.180 --> 02:59:38.800 It does revert to network rail control to the west for the section that runs 2592 02:59:38.800 --> 02:59:41.240 through the, uh, able Marine Energy Park, 2593 02:59:41.240 --> 02:59:45.280 and then the C L D N site and C L D N holds the benefit of agreements with

2594 02:59:45.280 --> 02:59:48.880 network rail, uh, uh, that connect the sidings to the national network, 2595 02:59:48.940 --> 02:59:49.773 and therefore, 2596 02:59:50.060 --> 02:59:54.120 the impact of the development on the rail network is therefore a matter of 2597 02:59:54.120 --> 02:59:58.680 concern for us. So, um, uh, I would make those points and, 2598 02:59:58.700 --> 03:00:03.360 and also the, the, the, um, we, we, 2599 03:00:03.380 --> 03:00:07.040 we are currently considering and we'll need to see 2600 03:00:08.220 --> 03:00:11.520 how things appear with the revised schedule. Two, 2601 03:00:11.710 --> 03:00:16.680 whether there's justification for C L D N to be a statutory 2602 03:00:16.680 --> 03:00:19.200 consultee in relation to some of the discharge of the requirements, 2603 $03:00:19.200 \rightarrow 03:00:22.960$ because of course, the protective provisions aren't the answer to everything. 2604 03:00:23.470 --> 03:00:27.000 They will assist, but there'll be other MA matters that concern us, 2605 03:00:27.000 --> 03:00:31.440 which will get determined schemes of mitigation of this, that, and the other.

2606

03:00:31.490 --> 03:00:36.400 Under, uh, gets determined through submissions made, uh, 2607 03:00:36.540 --> 03:00:39.320 in accordance with the various requirements and Schedule two. 2608 03:00:39.420 --> 03:00:43.160 And as would be normal for certain, uh, interests, 2609 03:00:43.530 --> 03:00:46.480 there may be a requirement for us to be noted there as, uh, 2610 03:00:46.480 --> 03:00:50.520 requiring to be consulted before any decision is taken by the local planning 2611 03:00:50.520 --> 03:00:55.280 authority, for example, um, on, on, on a discharge of a requirement. So I, 2612 03:00:55.440 --> 03:00:59.880 I think that's why we wish to say at this moment in time, in terms terms of, uh, 2613 03:00:59.880 --> 03:01:03.480 where we are with, um, uh, our thinking on the protective provisions. 2614 03:01:03.480 --> 03:01:08.120 And we will, um, uh, of course take part, um, 2615 03:01:08.600 --> 03:01:12.560 positively in discussions with, uh, A B P, uh, as, as we would wish to, 2616 03:01:12.560 --> 03:01:15.160 and you would wish us to as well. And, uh, 2617 03:01:16.030 --> 03:01:20.720 hopefully by the time we come to, uh, deadline two, uh, 2618 03:01:20.900 --> 03:01:23.880

in our written representation, we would, we would've made some progress, but if, 2619 03:01:24.020 --> 03:01:28.360 if, well, whichever, whichever way, whichever where it got to by then, 2620 03:01:28.360 --> 03:01:31.880 we will set out in our written representation where we've got to on protective 2621 03:01:31.880 --> 03:01:36.480 provisions and set out what we think is required, um, uh, in that respect. 2622 03:01:36.890 --> 03:01:37.723 Thank you. 2623 03:01:37.930 --> 03:01:41.320 Thank you, Mr. Owen. Yeah. Um, yeah, if, 2624 03:01:41.700 --> 03:01:45.120 if little progress has been made by deadline to, to, 2625 03:01:45.180 --> 03:01:49.200 it is gonna be important for you to set out what it is you have been seeking, 2626 03:01:50.100 --> 03:01:54.320 um, what the applicant may or may not have agreed with you at that point. 2627 03:01:54.990 --> 03:01:55.780 Also, yes, 2628 03:01:55.780 --> 03:02:00.400 if you can identify which requirements you think you might need to be consulted 2629 03:02:00.400 --> 03:02:04.240 on, again, that would assist our understanding of, um, 2630

03:02:04.750 --> 03:02:08.920 that side of, uh, of your interest in that regard. 2631 03:02:09.660 --> 03:02:12.560 Yes. And, and, and so just in that respect me, it, 2632 03:02:12.800 --> 03:02:17.800 this is slightly going over some of the ground with this morning's preliminary 2633 03:02:17.800 --> 03:02:20.040 meeting, but, uh, obviously we, 2634 03:02:20.060 --> 03:02:24.120 we are keen for there not to be a moving target in terms of the wording of the D 2635 03:02:24.160 --> 03:02:28.800 C O, and at the moment, the Rule six timetable has, uh, 2636 03:02:29.160 --> 03:02:34.080 revised D C O both at deadline one and a deadline two. Um, and as, 2637 03:02:34.140 --> 03:02:38.560 as Mr. Walker said earlier, I would've thought that, um, if, if, 2638 03:02:38.620 --> 03:02:42.120 if the moving target of of a further D C O deadline two could be removed, 2639 03:02:42.350 --> 03:02:43.560 then at least, uh, 2640 03:02:43.840 --> 03:02:48.360 C L D N and other interested parties will know that the version of the, uh, 2641 03:02:48.680 --> 03:02:50.640 revised draft D C O submitted a deadline. 2642 03:02:50.660 --> 03:02:54.440 One is the target on which they then comment at deadline two in

their written 2643 03:02:54.440 --> 03:02:57.480 representation. Uh, otherwise we could all be going around in circles. 2644 03:03:01.700 --> 03:03:06.520 Mr. Greenwood, in terms of that draft D C O, um, 2645 03:03:08.780 --> 03:03:11.680 are, do, do you consider that at Deadline one, 2646 03:03:11.900 --> 03:03:16.840 you will have been able to make sufficient progress in the redraft for, 2647 03:03:16.840 --> 03:03:21.840 there's something to be, um, if you like, with meet on, 2648 03:03:22.660 --> 03:03:27.320 um, that the, you know, everybody can look at and comment on, um, 2649 03:03:27.780 --> 03:03:32.760 and that in effect vacate any sort of submission of a draft at Deadline 2650 03:03:32.860 --> 03:03:33.693 two. 2651 03:03:36.090 --> 03:03:40.320 Brian Greenwood for a b p. So sitting here today, 2652 03:03:40.700 --> 03:03:45.040 the intention is that we would be circulating something with sufficient meat. 2653 03:03:45.180 --> 03:03:49.600 It would not be the finished product, but, uh, taking all of the points, 2654 03:03:50.180 --> 03:03:54.600 uh, and wishing to move this on, which is what a p p want to do,

2655 03:03:55.300 --> 03:03:57.920 we will give you something that is substantive. Uh, 2656 03:03:58.020 --> 03:04:00.640 as far as getting comments for the next round, 2657 $03:04:03.920 \longrightarrow 03:04:08.600$ I think that would address certainly Mr. Owen's point, and it might address, um, 2658 03:04:08.600 --> 03:04:09.640 something that Mr. Walker, 2659 03:04:09.760 --> 03:04:14.360 I think raised also on behalf of his client in terms of not too many 2660 03:04:14.790 --> 03:04:19.160 dcos floating around. Um, and, um, 2661 03:04:20.270 --> 03:04:23.600 yeah, potentially deadline, deadline one, deadline two, deadline three. 2662 03:04:23.600 --> 03:04:28.520 They're all quite close together, so I think it's gonna assist everybody. 2663 03:04:29.100 --> 03:04:33.200 If there wasn't a draft D C O that arrived at Deadline Two, 2664 03:04:34.340 --> 03:04:38.040 um, people will have the opportunity if, if one comes at deadline, one, 2665 03:04:38.040 --> 03:04:41.840 they'll have the opportunity to have a good look at whatever the redraft is, 2666 03:04:42.700 --> 03:04:44.440 and then the scope, uh,

2667 03:04:44.460 --> 03:04:48.600 to take on board any comment that comes in and look at maybe deadline three or 2668 03:04:48.920 --> 03:04:52.480 deadline for another iteration. I, 2669 03:04:52.500 --> 03:04:56.400 if that proves necessary. Just before I ask, uh, Mr. 2670 03:04:56.400 --> 03:05:01.240 Walker for any observations he's got on protective provisions, um, Mr. 2671 03:05:01.310 --> 03:05:05.800 Owen, in his oral submission a minute ago, mentioned train, 2672 03:05:06.260 --> 03:05:10.040 uh, the effect on the, um, train network. One thing that, 2673 03:05:10.140 --> 03:05:11.600 and I I pre-warn you now, 2674 03:05:11.600 --> 03:05:16.360 because it may be that both C L D N and the applicant need to go away and, 2675 03:05:16.380 --> 03:05:19.080 and do a little bit of research, um, 2676 03:05:19.370 - > 03:05:23.960train pathways for both C L D N, um, and, 2677 03:05:24.500 --> 03:05:27.840 um, At Ingham, 2678 03:05:28.630 --> 03:05:33.560 will it be possible for Thursday to have got a handle on who's got what 2679 03:05:33.880 --> 03:05:36.200

pathways? Um, so that we've, 2680 03:05:36.200 --> 03:05:38.920 we've got an understanding of how many pathways there are, 2681 03:05:38.920 --> 03:05:43.720 what the utilization of those pathways are. Um, so we, again, 2682 03:05:43.720 --> 03:05:45.400 we've got a, um, 2683 03:05:46.430 --> 03:05:51.280 shouldn't have to spend time thinking about just what the implications 2684 03:05:51.460 --> 03:05:56.360 for, uh, the use of the local rail work system is. Is, 2685 03:05:56.420 --> 03:05:59.600 is that something that from your perspective, um, 2686 03:05:59.870 --> 03:06:03.520 your team think you can go away and establish, 2687 03:06:04.580 --> 03:06:08.760 Uh, Robbie, Erin, c r d n? Um, I, I, I can certainly, uh, 2688 03:06:08.830 --> 03:06:10.920 take instructions on that, and we have the details, 2689 03:06:11.060 - > 03:06:15.800so we will make sure that we come to the hearing on 2690 03:06:16.040 --> 03:06:18.200 Thursday with those details so that we can provide them 2691 03:06:20.220 --> 03:06:21.720 And Mr. Greenwood, Brian 2692 03:06:21.720 --> 03:06:26.200 Greenwood, uh, a b p. So if I can assist, um, the, the,

2693 03:06:26.660 --> 03:06:30.960 our project, as you realize, sir does not involve, uh, any train movements. Mr. 2694 03:06:31.240 --> 03:06:36.040 Ern is quite right, and indeed, uh, Mr. Walker is also well aware that, uh, 2695 03:06:36.380 --> 03:06:40.600 the railway track that runs through the Port of state is under the control owned 2696 03:06:40.620 --> 03:06:41.480 by a V P, 2697 03:06:41.780 --> 03:06:46.400 but is also quite right that the line then feeds cross country, uh, 2698 03:06:46.780 --> 03:06:48.720 and others feed onto it. Uh, 2699 03:06:48.830 --> 03:06:53.240 what we are doing on the project will not affect any routes that those, 2700 03:06:53.470 --> 03:06:57.320 that those train movements want. Uh, we are, there's a level crossing and, uh, 2701 03:06:57.410 --> 03:06:59.600 train movements will be unaffected by the project. 2702 03:07:00.360 --> 03:07:04.360 I will speak with the engineers to make sure that is actually the case for 2703 03:07:04.800 --> 03:07:05.180 Thursday, 2704 03:07:05.180 --> 03:07:08.480 but that is certainly the understanding and the way we have drafted

the 2705 03:07:08.480 --> 03:07:09.313 assessment. 2706 03:07:10.450 --> 03:07:14.080 Thank you, Mr. Walker. 2707 03:07:15.470 --> 03:07:19.040 Angu Angus Walker for D F T S, I don't really have much to add it, 2708 03:07:19.040 --> 03:07:21.640 but on protected provisions, I'm given that Mr. 2709 03:07:21.710 --> 03:07:25.480 Greenwood does not think we need any, I don't think he's going to draft any, 2710 03:07:25.500 --> 03:07:28.200 so the onus is on us to produce those. Um, 2711 03:07:28.540 --> 03:07:32.520 we are obviously focusing this week on the hearings and, 2712 03:07:32.700 --> 03:07:37.280 but we will come up with something as soon as we can after Thursday's hearing. 2713 03:07:41.030 --> 03:07:41.863 Thank you, Ms. Walker. 2714 03:07:51.780 --> 03:07:55.750 Does any other party wish to raise anything about protective provisions? 2715 03:07:55.890 --> 03:07:57.750 I'm looking at the Harbor Authority 2716 03:08:00.510 --> 03:08:02.390 Victoria Hutton for the Harbor Authority. No, sir. 2717

03:08:19.510 --> 03:08:22.850 Um, we are almost at the end of the hearing. 2718 03:08:22.950 --> 03:08:24.530 If everybody is content, 2719 03:08:24.700 --> 03:08:28.290 we'll hopefully go on for about another 15 minutes. 2720 03:08:28.490 --> 03:08:32.490 I think that should bring everything to a conclusion in terms of what we can 2721 03:08:32.490 --> 03:08:36.850 usefully do today. It may even be a little bit quicker than that. 2722 03:08:37.310 --> 03:08:40.330 Um, agenda item four is other, 2723 03:08:40.460 --> 03:08:44.650 other consent licenses and agreements, Mr. Greenwood, 2724 03:08:45.060 --> 03:08:48.530 other than the highway agreement, which you mentioned earlier, 2725 03:08:48.750 --> 03:08:49.770 is there anything else? 2726 03:08:55.580 --> 03:08:58.090 Brian Greenwood for, uh, a V p? Yes. 2727 03:08:58.090 --> 03:09:02.250 So the section 1 3 5 consent we will require from the Crown Estate, but, uh, 2728 03:09:02.760 --> 03:09:06.690 that yes, yes, that, just those two, 2729 03:09:10.410 --> 03:09:12.910 And we touched on that one earlier. Um, 2730

03:09:13.490 --> 03:09:17.790 the sooner that can be resolved the better because, um, it, 2731 03:09:18.090 --> 03:09:21.350 that's an area that can linger if the crown, um, 2732 03:09:23.520 --> 03:09:27.030 isn't encouraged to come to the table early enough. 2733 03:09:27.490 --> 03:09:32.270 And it can cause difficulties, as I said earlier, in reporting, uh, Mr. Vin, 2734 03:09:32.810 --> 03:09:36.070 Uh, Robbie, o c r d n, uh, can, can I just raise an a, a a, 2735 03:09:36.670 --> 03:09:41.470 a question about the licensing of works by the Conservancy authority? Ms. 2736 03:09:41.470 --> 03:09:46.230 Hutton mentioned that earlier in that the Conservancy Authority has the power to 2737 03:09:46.230 --> 03:09:46.930 license works, 2738 03:09:46.930 --> 03:09:51.870 and that is normally coupled with a prohibition on the construction of works 2739 $03:09:52.180 \longrightarrow 03:09:55.920$ without a license or indeed dredging. Um, I'm wondering, 2740 03:09:55.940 --> 03:09:58.280 and it may be I've missed it, and in which case I'm sure Mr. 2741 03:09:58.320 --> 03:09:59.480 A Greenman will point me out to it, 2742 03:09:59.480 --> 03:10:03.880 but article three of the D C O does not justly, uh,

2743 03:10:03.900 --> 03:10:08.800 so far as I can see, um, the, um, Humber Conservancy licensing regime. 2744 03:10:09.340 --> 03:10:14.320 Um, and if I'm right, that without a license works are prohibited, 2745 03:10:15.220 --> 03:10:15.890 um, 2746 03:10:15.890 --> 03:10:20.320 would that not need to be dealt with by a dis application in Article three? 2747 03:10:20.700 --> 03:10:24.600 Um, or, uh, alternatively, um, are, 2748 03:10:24.620 --> 03:10:28.920 are we to understand that a a works license will be obtained by 2749 03:10:29.760 --> 03:10:34.240 a B P from the Conservancy authority in addition to getting the D C 0? 2750 03:10:35.650 --> 03:10:36.483 Thank you. 2751 03:10:38.450 --> 03:10:40.520 Thank you, Mr. In fact, that's, uh, 2752 03:10:40.520 --> 03:10:44.560 anticipated the question we wanted to raise on Thursday. So I think, um, 2753 03:10:50.410 --> 03:10:52.250 I, sorry, are you, uh, 2754 03:10:52.320 --> 03:10:55.170 able to respond today or would you like to take that away? 2755

03:10:56.100 --> 03:11:00.370 Thank you, sir. Brian Greenwood for the A B P I think I have an answer, sir, 2756 03:11:00.370 --> 03:11:02.490 but I'd rather take it away just in case. 2757 03:11:05.140 --> 03:11:05.973 Thank you, 2758 03:11:06.630 --> 03:11:08.330 Ms. Hutton. Anything to comment? 2759 03:11:08.870 --> 03:11:11.010 Uh, uh, Victoria Haston for the Harbor Master, 2760 03:11:11.230 --> 03:11:15.610 so I may be able to assist certainly with our understanding is that Article 2761 03:11:15.610 --> 03:11:20.410 34 of the draft order dis applies the relevant legislation, uh, 2762 03:11:20.550 --> 03:11:24.650 and then is effectively replaced with the protective provisions, 2763 03:11:25.350 --> 03:11:29.170 uh, in part one of Schedule four, particularly paragraph three. 2764 03:11:34.570 --> 03:11:37.910 So sir, it's, it's, um, section nine. 2765 03:11:38.100 --> 03:11:42.910 It's particularly Section nine of the Humber Conservancy Act 1899 and 2766 03:11:42.910 --> 03:11:46.790 Section six two, uh, of the Humber Conservancy Act 1905. 2767 03:11:47.240 --> 03:11:48.073 We're going to check,

2768 03:11:48.210 --> 03:11:53.030 but we think actually sections 25 and 26 of the 1852 Act 2769 03:11:54.040 --> 03:11:57.430 don't exist any longer, but I'm aware No, 2770 03:11:57.820 --> 03:11:58.310 They're 2771 03:11:58.310 --> 03:11:59.830 Not appeal, sorry? They're not 2772 03:11:59.830 --> 03:12:00.750 Applied to the, 2773 03:12:01.240 --> 03:12:03.230 Sorry. Yes, we, we'll, we'll check that point. 2774 03:12:03.290 --> 03:12:06.830 I'm afraid I get a slightly lost in the historic legislation, but we, 2775 03:12:07.250 --> 03:12:10.830 we will double check that, but that's how we understand the order to work. 2776 03:12:13.480 --> 03:12:17.630 Could we put that down as a double action, if you like, between, uh, 2777 03:12:17.630 --> 03:12:20.910 applicant and, uh, the Harper Authority? Thank you. Uh, 2778 03:12:23.290 --> 03:12:24.123 Mr. 2779 03:12:24.210 --> 03:12:28.910 Uh, robo and C R D N I, I think that's a classic illustration of how, um, 2780 03:12:30.010 --> 03:12:33.350 the, the arrangement of the D C O needs to be, uh,

2781 03:12:33.350 --> 03:12:37.190 further considered because you would normally expect to see, uh, 2782 03:12:37.380 --> 03:12:41.670 what is Article 34 in Article three? 'cause it's, it's a dis application. Uh, 2783 03:12:41.670 --> 03:12:45.590 and I would invite Mr. Greenwood to, um, consider, uh, 2784 03:12:45.590 --> 03:12:49.310 whether those two articles might be merged to provide clarity to, 2785 03:12:49.730 --> 03:12:54.240 to readers so that it's very clear and the expansion memorandum can, uh, 2786 03:12:54.350 --> 03:12:57.840 make further comment on that, of course, as to what the regime's gonna be, 2787 03:12:57.840 --> 03:13:00.960 because you've got similar dis applications in Article three, 2788 03:13:01.540 --> 03:13:04.600 and then you jump to 34, as we've just been told. 2789 03:13:05.940 --> 03:13:06.773 But you, 2790 03:13:51.290 --> 03:13:55.850 But as far as, um, those other consents that you've, 2791 03:13:56.020 --> 03:13:58.290 there are no others that you're aware of, thank you. 2792 03:14:05.540 --> 03:14:08.890 Right. Turning to any other business and, um, 2793 03:14:10.090 --> 03:14:11.090

anything from the applicant, 2794 03:14:12.220 --> 03:14:15.130 Brian Greenwood for a b P? No, sir. Thank you, 2795 03:14:16.990 --> 03:14:19.330 Mr. Owen. Anything from C L D N? Uh, 2796 03:14:19.430 --> 03:14:22.890 Robbie Owen. C l d n. Uh, sir, just, uh, uh, uh, 2797 03:14:22.920 --> 03:14:26.090 item six referred to a written action list will be published. 2798 03:14:26.340 --> 03:14:27.850 We're gonna come to that. I beg pardon? Okay. 2799 03:14:27.850 --> 03:14:28.683 Sorry, 2800 03:14:31.440 --> 03:14:36.100 Mr. Walker, anything for D F D S? No, sir. And Ms. Hutton, anything? 2801 03:14:36.880 --> 03:14:37.940 No, thank you, sir. Okay. 2802 03:14:38.930 --> 03:14:42.460 That then brings us to agenda item six, which are, 2803 03:14:42.960 --> 03:14:46.340 or is the review of actions arising from what we've discussed this afternoon, 2804 03:14:46.760 --> 03:14:47.593 Mr. Bradley? 2805 03:14:51.080 --> 03:14:55.020 Um, I've just got observation that there's, um, 2806 03:14:55.320 --> 03:14:57.860 27 action points that I've noted,

2807 03:14:58.920 --> 03:15:02.660 and at the moment they're all down for Deadline one. Um, 2808 03:15:02.890 --> 03:15:04.700 it's just an opportunity to say, 2809 03:15:05.520 --> 03:15:09.540 are there any of those that you've been keeping a note of that you feel are 2810 03:15:09.980 --> 03:15:12.140 unreasonable for Deadline one? Um, 2811 03:15:12.360 --> 03:15:17.260 and could without Jeopardy to the smooth progress, um, 2812 03:15:17.880 --> 03:15:19.660 be deferred to Deadline two, 2813 03:15:19.840 --> 03:15:23.980 or should be in order to give you time to do, 2814 03:15:23.980 --> 03:15:25.500 Do you want to read your list and 2815 03:15:25.500 --> 03:15:26.333 Then, 2816 03:15:32.720 --> 03:15:36.660 Um, Brian Greenwood. A B P? Yes, sir. I've just had a quick chat, uh, 2817 03:15:36.660 --> 03:15:38.820 discussion with my colleagues. Um, 2818 03:15:41.920 --> 03:15:46.660 the, obviously the, uh, draft of the, 2819 03:15:46.880 --> 03:15:49.920 uh, D C O, the track change d c o is,

2820 03:15:50.020 --> 03:15:52.640 is critical for deadline one. Um, 2821 03:15:53.580 --> 03:15:58.160 is a comprehensive draft of the explanatory memorandum equally 2822 $03:15:58.640 \rightarrow 03:16:02.200$ critical, and I think you'll probably turn around and say yes, but, uh, 2823 03:16:03.330 --> 03:16:07.080 could I raise that question with you, sir? 2824 03:16:08.400 --> 03:16:11.640 I, I obviously, uh, 2825 03:16:11.740 --> 03:16:15.560 the applicant's team is going to be under some pressure. Um, 2826 03:16:17.780 --> 03:16:19.240 it would be a nice to have, 2827 03:16:20.060 --> 03:16:25.040 but I think if what you could at least do is flag up the areas 2828 03:16:25.210 --> 03:16:28.080 where there's likely to be significant rewriting, 2829 03:16:28.600 --> 03:16:30.440 touching on what we've discussed this afternoon, 2830 03:16:31.020 --> 03:16:35.160 so at least everybody is clear, um, 2831 03:16:35.470 --> 03:16:39.000 that there is work to be done and that, and that we know, um, 2832 03:16:39.000 --> 03:16:42.160 that something is coming down the pipeline. Um,

2833 03:16:43.420 --> 03:16:47.840 you will probably pick up on some of the points anyway in whatever 2834 03:16:47.840 --> 03:16:52.440 post-hearing note you are going to produce following on from today. Again, 2835 $03:16:52.440 \longrightarrow 03:16:54.360$ that will be some sort of flag, um, 2836 03:16:54.740 --> 03:16:58.460 as to section whatever of the em, um, 2837 03:16:58.610 --> 03:17:03.540 explanatory memorandum is going to have radical rewrite or there'll be a 2838 03:17:03.620 --> 03:17:07.020 little bit of a whatever. Um, does, does that assist? 2839 03:17:07.600 --> 03:17:10.980 Yes, Brian, Brian Greenwood for a b p. That's actually very helpful, sir. 2840 03:17:10.980 --> 03:17:15.580 Obviously we'll be amending the explanatory memorandum as we go along with the 2841 03:17:15.580 --> 03:17:16.400 draft E C O, 2842 03:17:16.400 --> 03:17:20.940 but if I can excuse the precise drafting in best English 2843 03:17:21.200 --> 03:17:24.340 and, uh, highlight, uh, points that we're going to amend, 2844 03:17:24.490 --> 03:17:26.460 then that would ease the load. 2845 03:17:27.160 --> 03:17:31.620

The a a bullet point approach might. Yes, my desist and Mr. Owen, 2846 03:17:32.720 --> 03:17:35.620 Uh, sir, thank you Robbie Owen for C R D N. Um, I, 2847 03:17:35.700 --> 03:17:40.620 I apologize for introducing a contentious tone at this late 2848 03:17:40.620 --> 03:17:43.860 hour, but I, I have to say, I don't think that that is a tool satisfactory. 2849 03:17:43.980 --> 03:17:47.540 I think, uh, given that what we are to receive a deadline, 2850 03:17:47.640 --> 03:17:51.060 one is going to be crucial for, uh, 2851 03:17:51.160 --> 03:17:53.620 all interested parties in framing their written representations. 2852 03:17:53.860 --> 03:17:58.740 I do think it is important to receive not just a properly marked 2853 03:17:58.840 --> 03:18:00.020 up draft D C O, 2854 03:18:00.520 --> 03:18:04.220 but a properly written revised draft expansion memorandum. 2855 $03:18:04.420 \rightarrow 03:18:07.820$ 'cause you have to consider the two together to understand what the applicant is 2856 03:18:07.820 --> 03:18:11.300 proposing and, uh, react accordingly. 2857 03:18:11.440 --> 03:18:16.180 And surely the applicant will be, uh, as Mr. Green was of effectively said, 2858

03:18:16.250 --> 03:18:21.060 writing the revised draft em at the same time as drafting the 2859 03:18:21.140 --> 03:18:24.420 revised draft D C O. And therefore, I do think we need them together. It, 2860 03:18:24.490 --> 03:18:28.740 it's a nonsense to split them, uh, frankly, and, uh, 2861 03:18:28.860 --> 03:18:33.660 I would invite you to reflect on what I've said and come to a decision on that 2862 03:18:34.160 --> 03:18:35.780 in due course, uh, uh, 2863 03:18:35.960 --> 03:18:39.740 and obviously soon so that the applicant can know what is expected of, 2864 03:18:39.760 --> 03:18:44.660 of them because, uh, this is fundamentally, the, the em, as you've identified, 2865 03:18:44.660 --> 03:18:47.440 is not adequate at the moment in quite a few respects, 2866 03:18:47.500 --> 03:18:50.600 and it's gonna be changed anyway because of drafting that, uh, Mr. 2867 03:18:50.600 - > 03:18:55.160Greenman has said he's, uh, undertaking in terms of revising proposals. And we, 2868 03:18:55.300 --> 03:18:59.800 we do need to see it. And I do do not think that a bullet list, uh, 2869 03:18:59.800 --> 03:19:01.240 bullet point list approach is, 2870 03:19:01.260 --> 03:19:04.800

is a tool satisfactory in the interest of the examination and the position of 2871 03:19:04.800 --> 03:19:07.440 the interested parties and indeed yourselves. Thank you. 2872 03:19:08.410 --> 03:19:12.840 Thank you, Mr. Rowan. Um, I, I think just an opportunity for clarification, uh, 2873 03:19:12.910 --> 03:19:15.800 from Mr. Greenwood here, because I thought that, 2874 03:19:15.840 --> 03:19:19.320 I heard that you were going to make best efforts with the explanatory me 2875 03:19:19.320 --> 03:19:23.560 memorandum, but asked to be forgiven for some raggedness. This 2876 03:19:24.650 --> 03:19:28.880 Brian Green for a b P. Yes, sir. That is precise as so I, 2877 03:19:29.080 --> 03:19:33.880 I will do a best effort. I'm, it might not be Shakespearean English, 2878 $03:19:34.140 \longrightarrow 03:19:36.720$ but it'll be as close as I can get, sir, 2879 03:19:36.720 --> 03:19:38.600 and it will be as comprehensive as I can get. 2880 03:19:42.150 --> 03:19:42.983 Mr. 2881 03:19:43.910 --> 03:19:46.810 Uh, Robio and C R D N. Um, I'm grateful to Mr. Greenwood. 2882 03:19:46.810 --> 03:19:47.930 Thank you for that clarification. 2883

03:19:54.650 --> 03:19:59.510 Um, Ms. Scold is suggesting reading through the, uh, action list. Um, 2884 03:20:00.310 --> 03:20:04.070 I have been on examinations, which have had longer lists, but, um, 2885 03:20:04.410 --> 03:20:09.190 I'm also aware that the content of each item is, is quite significant here. 2886 03:20:09.680 --> 03:20:12.230 Would you like me to read through the list that I have? 2887 03:20:13.790 --> 03:20:14.350 I, I think 2888 03:20:14.350 --> 03:20:18.670 It's important so that we shall, I, shall I try and speed through it as, 2889 03:20:18.730 --> 03:20:19.670 as quickly as possible? It 2890 03:20:19.670 --> 03:20:20.950 Would be very helpful, sir. Thank you. 2891 03:20:21.890 --> 03:20:23.270 Uh, item one, um, 2892 03:20:24.270 --> 03:20:28.190 a note explaining this is prefaced by provide, uh, 2893 03:20:28.260 --> 03:20:31.670 note explaining the roles and responsibilities of the two statutory harbor 2894 03:20:31.670 --> 03:20:36.350 authorities with particular reference to safety governance roles and interfaces. 2895 03:20:37.830 --> 03:20:41.910

A plan identifying the geographic limits of the Port of Ingham statutory harbor 2896 03:20:41.910 --> 03:20:43.390 authority responsibilities, 2897 03:20:44.860 --> 03:20:49.430 clarification on use of company rather than undertaker and 2898 03:20:49.560 --> 03:20:53.830 check consistency between D D C O and explanatory 2899 03:20:54.000 --> 03:20:54.833 memorandum 2900 03:20:57.330 --> 03:21:01.870 review definition and usage of local authority with regard to both 2901 03:21:01.870 --> 03:21:06.190 adjoining council's planning and highways branches. And, uh, 2902 03:21:06.430 --> 03:21:10.630 a plan identifying respective jurisdictions that's provide a plan, 2903 03:21:12.420 --> 03:21:14.430 provide expansion of the em, 2904 03:21:14.430 --> 03:21:19.310 explaining the rationale for the sections of the 1847 ACT clauses to be 2905 03:21:19.310 --> 03:21:22.510 incorporated in the D C O provided. 2906 03:21:22.510 --> 03:21:27.350 Clarifying note on order limits and limits of deviation for the Marine Works 2907 03:21:28.900 --> 03:21:33.590 article due to definition of vessel with regard to in 2908

03:21:33.840 --> 03:21:36.070 marks or placement in water, quote Marks 2909 03:21:37.710 --> 03:21:42.270 D F D S concerns regarding apparently over liberal definitions of 2910 03:21:42.790 --> 03:21:44.790 construct and maintain both in guote, 2911 03:21:47.490 --> 03:21:52.440 clarifying note on definition of row row unit numbers with regard to multiple 2912 03:21:52.440 --> 03:21:53.920 vehicle transporters. 2913 03:21:55.710 --> 03:21:58.720 Article 6, 7, 10, and 16. 2914 03:21:58.720 --> 03:22:02.160 Further to observations by D F D SS at the I S H 2915 03:22:04.240 --> 03:22:07.960 C L D N query on apparent conflict between section 2916 03:22:07.960 --> 03:22:12.720 33 18 47 act, open port duty, and D D C O. 2917 03:22:12.720 --> 03:22:15.040 Article 22, power to appropriate 2918 03:22:17.460 --> 03:22:20.920 expand E M two, clarify article 28, 2919 03:22:22.100 --> 03:22:26.560 review articles 29 and 37 and EM precedent cited in 2920 03:22:26.560 --> 03:22:27.393 justification. 2921 03:22:30.060 --> 03:22:33.880

Submit Ming Dock bylaws to the examination library. 2922 03:22:35.660 --> 03:22:37.160 Uh, I haven't put a date on that. 2923 03:22:37.260 --> 03:22:42.080 Is there any necess necessity 1927 or whatever it was, this, so we 2924 03:22:42.080 --> 03:22:43.520 Can, no, we can do that 2925 03:22:43.520 --> 03:22:46.120 Very quickly. Okay. Uh, next one. 2926 03:22:46.560 --> 03:22:51.320 Consider an extra requirement to maintain a publicly accessible record 2927 03:22:51.540 --> 03:22:56.000 of approval sought and gained with regard to R three and R seven, 2928 03:22:56.340 --> 03:22:59.880 et cetera. Uh, in other words, requirements three and seven, 2929 03:23:02.480 --> 03:23:06.160 schedule one extent of works areas for marine works to be clarified. 2930 03:23:07.800 --> 03:23:12.640 Schedule two restrictions on piling to be set out in one place only to 2931 03:23:12.640 --> 03:23:15.760 avoid potential for inconsistency and confusion. 2932 03:23:18.030 --> 03:23:21.360 Requirement 10 to be reviewed regarding noise, insulation, 2933 03:23:21.460 --> 03:23:25.160 and clarification of definition of commencement. 2934 03:23:27.640 --> 03:23:32.280

Consider how the D D C O secures biodiversity net gain 2935 03:23:35.080 --> 03:23:37.640 consider securing in the D D C O and operation, 2936 03:23:38.300 --> 03:23:43.000 or I should say operation now. Traffic management plan. 2937 03:23:46.040 --> 03:23:50.080 Consider submission of a materials management plan into the examination. 2938 03:23:51.600 --> 03:23:53.960 Consider if the C M P, uh, 2939 03:23:54.790 --> 03:23:59.280 Kemp being required in consider this, yeah, 2940 03:23:59.660 --> 03:24:00.493 uh, 2941 03:24:00.880 --> 03:24:02.440 Referred should be, 2942 03:24:04.980 --> 03:24:06.640 Uh, should be 2943 03:24:11.200 --> 03:24:15.640 required in only one of R eight or R 15. 2944 $03:24:17.240 \rightarrow 03:24:18.570$ Does that make sense? Yes, 2945 03:24:18.590 --> 03:24:19.423 Sir. 2946 03:24:22.210 --> 03:24:26.770 Consider a further requirement for the outline plans under R eight and R 15 to 2947 03:24:26.770 --> 03:24:30.330

be developed into final plans to be submitted for approval by the relevant 2948 03:24:30.330 --> 03:24:33.490 authorities authority. 2949 03:24:37.330 --> 03:24:42.130 Consider redrafting of R 18 to identify the mechanism for triggering 2950 03:24:42.310 --> 03:24:47.160 the implementation of impact protection and agreement to timing constraints 2951 03:24:47.180 --> 03:24:49.440 for implementation if deemed required, 2952 03:24:51.890 --> 03:24:55.750 and reconsider the drafting of requirement 19. 2953 03:24:57.570 --> 03:24:59.070 And lastly, 2954 03:24:59.290 --> 03:25:03.910 review the drafting and potential rationalization of Article 34 2955 03:25:04.530 --> 03:25:09.470 in relation to Article three regarding the Humber Conservancy consenting 2956 03:25:09.530 --> 03:25:11.870 regime. And that's, uh, 2957 03:25:11.870 --> 03:25:16.430 that last one is the one which we suggest is a joint, uh, 2958 03:25:16.810 --> 03:25:21.790 not necessarily joint, but is an action to both parties. Um, 2959 03:25:23.580 --> 03:25:25.360 you, you've perhaps had the op, 2960

03:25:25.360 --> 03:25:28.960 your colleague has perhaps had the opportunity to write better than I. 2961 03:25:29.220 --> 03:25:33.280 Is there anything that you feel that you have already taken away as an action, 2962 $03:25:33.730 \rightarrow 03:25:35.080$ which is not on that list? 2963 03:25:44.430 --> 03:25:46.250 Yes, sir. It's been pointed out to me that, uh, 2964 03:25:46.250 --> 03:25:49.210 you would also like some clarification, sorry, Brian Greenwood, 2965 03:25:49.370 --> 03:25:53.970 a b p clarification of railroad unit, uh, railroad vessel. And, uh, 2966 03:25:54.520 --> 03:25:57.730 that probably picks up with the transportation point you raised earlier though, 2967 03:25:57.730 --> 03:25:58.350 doesn't it? 2968 03:25:58.350 --> 03:26:01.450 Yes, we could perhaps I could pick that up and add it into the, uh, 2969 03:26:02.080 --> 03:26:04.730 that whole point about our railroad. Yes. 2970 03:26:04.800 --> 03:26:05.633 0kay. 2971 03:26:05.940 --> 03:26:06.773 Thank you 2972 03:26:10.760 --> 03:26:15.060 Mr. Owen, on a list that you might have that's not on that list. Yes,

2973 03:26:15.140 --> 03:26:19.900 I was gonna say Rob, Erin, um, c r d n. Um, just a few things. 2974 03:26:20.200 --> 03:26:23.420 Um, first there was of course a commitment to, 2975 $03:26:24.310 \rightarrow 03:26:26.980$ which is a mutual commitment to discuss protective provisions, 2976 03:26:26.980 --> 03:26:29.580 which I think ought to be recorded. Um, 2977 03:26:31.900 --> 03:26:32.733 secondly, 2978 03:26:32.920 --> 03:26:37.380 we did agree to provide information on train paths for Thursday 2979 03:26:38.680 --> 03:26:42.100 for I s H two. Um, 2980 03:26:43.650 --> 03:26:44.483 thirdly, 2981 03:26:47.960 --> 03:26:49.400 I believe Mr. 2982 03:26:49.400 --> 03:26:53.520 Greenwood said that he would consider with a b p with, 2983 03:26:53.540 --> 03:26:57.400 to do about the, uh, if I can put it this way, 2984 03:26:57.420 --> 03:27:01.600 the outline nature of some of the management plans, particularly the Kemp, 2985 03:27:01.940 --> 03:27:05.240 and whether in fact, uh, the applicant might be prepared to,

2986 03:27:06.820 --> 03:27:07.150 Uh, 2987 03:27:07.150 --> 03:27:12.000 Propose that before works start more detailed, plans are worked up for approval. 2988 03:27:14.380 --> 03:27:15.280 Uh, and fourthly, 2989 03:27:15.840 --> 03:27:20.520 I think in relation to those items early on in your list, uh, Mr. 2990 03:27:20.520 --> 03:27:24.560 Bradley about plans, um, Mr. Greenwood did a, did agree to, 2991 03:27:25.340 --> 03:27:27.800 uh, include the, um, 2992 03:27:29.420 --> 03:27:33.640 interrelationship between the boundaries of the local authorities and the crown. 2993 03:27:34.540 --> 03:27:37.440 Um, the, the, the, um, 2994 03:27:39.140 --> 03:27:41.080 the issue there being whether there is, 2995 03:27:41.990 --> 03:27:46.800 whether any part of the works are planned to be constructed in the river 2996 03:27:46.930 --> 03:27:47.763 where, 2997 03:27:47.850 --> 03:27:52.600 which is below the boundary of the local authorities' jurisdiction as local 2998 03:27:52.840 --> 03:27:53.540

planning authority, 2999 03:27:53.540 --> 03:27:57.920 and therefore would not be covered by a local planning authority. Um, 3000 03:27:58.380 --> 03:28:01.720 but would purely be subject to the consent of the crown of state commissioners. 3001 03:28:01.780 --> 03:28:06.560 I'm assuming that is the relevant crown entity here. Um, this is in, 3002 03:28:06.580 --> 03:28:07.640 in accordance with, you know, 3003 03:28:07.640 --> 03:28:10.720 the general rule that local authorities jurisdiction ends at low watermark 3004 03:28:10.740 --> 03:28:12.360 except, uh, 3005 03:28:13.940 --> 03:28:16.600 in certain cases to do with esra. Um, 3006 03:28:16.600 --> 03:28:20.880 so I think we would be grateful for the applicant's written confirmation of what 3007 03:28:20.880 --> 03:28:24.480 it considers to be the boundary of the local authorities, uh, 3008 03:28:24.500 --> 03:28:28.960 in relation to the work. So we can consider the extent to which there may be a, 3009 03:28:29.680 --> 03:28:33.560 a gap there in, in, in, in control measures, in terms of planning control. 3010 03:28:34.740 --> 03:28:39.680

Um, I believe, um, That is, 3011 03:28:39.780 --> 03:28:42.400 uh, probably the sum total 3012 03:28:45.070 --> 03:28:45.930 of the commitments, 3013 03:28:45.930 --> 03:28:48.730 except I'm just being told that there may may have been something else about, 3014 03:28:49.470 --> 03:28:50.570 uh, order limits in the river. 3015 03:28:50.590 --> 03:28:52.530 Was there an action in relation to order limits in the river? 3016 03:28:54.330 --> 03:28:55.090 I think we've picked 3017 03:28:55.090 --> 03:28:56.050 That up. Okay. Thank you. 3018 03:28:57.570 --> 03:29:02.370 I think we've picked that up, but perhaps it needs a, a clarifying word or two. 3019 03:29:02.900 --> 03:29:03.620 Thank you. 3020 03:29:03.620 --> 03:29:04.453 Thank you very much. 3021 03:29:08.030 --> 03:29:12.050 Mr. Walker, anything on the list that you may have been gathering? 3022 03:29:13.230 --> 03:29:16.090 Um, thank you sir. Angus Walker, D F T S, um, 3023 03:29:16.310 --> 03:29:20.530

merely that we have also undertaken to provide some protective provisions to the 3024 03:29:20.530 --> 03:29:25.130 applicant in due course. It'll be shortly after I s H two 3025 03:29:36.490 --> 03:29:39.390 And Ms. Hutton, anything that you might have been gathering on the list? 3026 03:29:41.080 --> 03:29:41.990 Thank you, sir. No. 3027 03:29:46.940 --> 03:29:51.710 Okay. Well, 3028 03:29:53.330 --> 03:29:56.830 Uh, Ms. Hewitson, is there anything from your angle that, uh, 3029 03:29:56.890 --> 03:29:59.070 you feel should be added to an action list? 3030 03:30:01.490 --> 03:30:02.323 No, thank you, sir. 3031 03:30:02.720 --> 03:30:03.553 Thank you. Then, 3032 03:30:07.860 --> 03:30:12.440 um, that then brings us to the close of this hearing, but I'm, 3033 03:30:12.540 --> 03:30:15.400 I'm gonna pick up on one point, um, 3034 03:30:15.890 --> 03:30:20.160 which goes back to the redaction issue that we were discussing earlier in the 3035 03:30:20.160 --> 03:30:23.400 day. Uh, at the preliminary meeting, uh, Mr. 3036

03:30:23.400 --> 03:30:27.840 Walker has written to a, as I understand it, a colleague, um, 3037 03:30:28.140 --> 03:30:28.973 in the inspector, 3038 03:30:29.060 --> 03:30:33.160 and we have got some clarification in respect of 3039 03:30:33.410 --> 03:30:37.280 hyperlinks and library documents. Uh, 3040 03:30:37.300 --> 03:30:41.200 and the clarification is yes, you can hyperlink, 3041 03:30:42.140 --> 03:30:46.760 uh, that seems to sit within the current instructions that colleagues that do 3042 03:30:46.760 --> 03:30:47.593 redaction. 3043 03:30:47.980 --> 03:30:52.520 All I would say is by all means include any hyperlinks to library 3044 03:30:52.960 --> 03:30:53.793 reference documents, 3045 03:30:53.980 --> 03:30:58.440 but do not be surprised if when they do go through redaction 3046 03:30:59.240 --> 03:31:03.320 somebody perhaps in error takes them out because, um, 3047 03:31:04.140 --> 03:31:08.440 it does seem to be an, an area where there's a lot of confusion at the moment, 3048 03:31:08.750 --> 03:31:10.760 just generally handing hyperlinks. 3049

03:31:10.980 --> 03:31:13.240 But as far as library documents are concerned, 3050 03:31:13.620 --> 03:31:17.160 if you feel you wish to put a blinking, put it in. 3051 03:31:17.340 --> 03:31:19.760 But I say I can't guarantee as I sit here today, 3052 03:31:20.100 --> 03:31:22.760 it will necessarily survive the redaction process. 3053 03:31:24.510 --> 03:31:25.720 Well, thank you very much. Sorry, 3054 03:31:25.780 --> 03:31:29.960 I'm amazed at the swift response for the planning inspector there. Well, 3055 03:31:29.960 --> 03:31:30.960 perhaps I shouldn't be amazed. 3056 03:31:33.870 --> 03:31:37.520 Robo and C L D N, sir, thank you very much for that clarification and indeed Mr. 3057 03:31:37.520 --> 03:31:40.600 Walker for procuring it. Um, that's very helpful. Uh, 3058 03:31:40.600 --> 03:31:44.120 there's one final quick matter, uh, uh, I'd like to raise, uh, 3059 03:31:44.120 --> 03:31:46.080 under a o b if I may Um, 3060 03:31:46.580 --> 03:31:51.480 and I think we'd just be grateful for the panel's guidance. Um, in terms of the, 3061 03:31:52.140 --> 03:31:55.560 um, post, uh, uh, I s h submissions,

3062 03:31:55.560 --> 03:31:59.720 written submissions of oral cases made during today's hearing, 3063 03:32:00.270 --> 03:32:04.480 they are required, as you know, at Deadline One. Um, I, 3064 03:32:04.560 --> 03:32:07.360 I think it would be of great assistance, certainly to C R D M, 3065 03:32:07.360 --> 03:32:10.880 but I imagine other interested parties if you would be able to give some 3066 03:32:11.040 --> 03:32:16.040 guidance as to quite how detailed you'd wish the written submissions 3067 03:32:16.040 --> 03:32:19.080 of what we've said already today, to be not least, 3068 03:32:19.080 --> 03:32:24.000 because of course a lot of the discussion we've had about the draft D C O, um, 3069 03:32:24.340 --> 03:32:27.360 Mr. Greenman has taken on board and we will wait to see what then happens. But, 3070 03:32:27.380 --> 03:32:27.920 you know, 3071 $03:32:27.920 \rightarrow 03:32:32.200$ I think the points have been made and understood by yourselves and by a b p and, 3072 03:32:32.420 --> 03:32:37.000 um, just the interest of minimizing, um, uh, uh, uh, 3073 03:32:37.300 --> 03:32:42.160 or rather focusing effort on where it's most productive. Um, if you, 3074 03:32:42.160 --> 03:32:45.200

if you would feel able to give some sort of guidance on how detailed you want 3075 03:32:45.500 --> 03:32:48.600 our submissions to be, that would be really helpful. Thank you. 3076 03:32:49.130 --> 03:32:50.800 Thank you, Mr. Owen. Yeah, there is, 3077 03:32:51.240 --> 03:32:56.200 I would suggest no real benefit in anybody, 3078 03:32:56.660 --> 03:32:57.493 um, 3079 03:32:59.760 --> 03:33:04.200 Regurgitating a lot of material that has already been made in relevant reps, 3080 03:33:04.940 --> 03:33:08.920 um, and or in, um, the procedural deadline, a submissions, 3081 03:33:08.920 --> 03:33:12.400 particularly those of you who have submitted pads. Uh, the, 3082 03:33:12.400 --> 03:33:15.920 the principle area of different summary statements, um, 3083 03:33:16.060 --> 03:33:18.400 really I think it's, it's, 3084 03:33:18.430 --> 03:33:22.400 it's key points that have arisen in discussion. Um, 3085 03:33:22.710 --> 03:33:27.320 perhaps some newer points and or more nuanced points that have 3086 03:33:27.320 --> 03:33:31.280 arisen during discussion today, um, where you need to, 3087 03:33:31.300 --> 03:33:34.440

to focus your efforts. Um, 3088 03:33:35.470 --> 03:33:37.680 because certainly from our perspective, it, 3089 03:33:37.740 --> 03:33:42.560 it doesn't help when we find that we start reading material and actually we've 3090 03:33:42.560 --> 03:33:46.240 seen it once, twice, three times previously, somewhere else. Um, 3091 03:33:46.540 --> 03:33:51.320 if there is a particular point that you feel you just want 3092 03:33:51.340 --> 03:33:54.240 to highlight and it already exists in another document, 3093 03:33:54.910 --> 03:33:59.480 then just flag it up in the note that you, you produced, um, posthearing. 3094 03:34:00.070 --> 03:34:04.920 Does that help Mr. Ho Robo for C L D N? 3095 03:34:05.260 --> 03:34:09.120 Yes, sir. That does help. I'm grateful. Mr. 3096 03:34:09.120 --> 03:34:12.200 Greenwood is, is that a, a reasonable approach that, uh, 3097 03:34:12.200 --> 03:34:16.200 you'll be able to take from your perspective as well and perhaps reduce some of 3098 03:34:16.200 --> 03:34:18.920 the burden, uh, on your side of the table? Uh, 3099 03:34:18.920 --> 03:34:22.080 Ryan Greenwell for a v p? That is exactly what I was thinking, sir. 3100

03:34:22.220 --> 03:34:23.400 I'm very grateful also, 3101 03:34:24.980 --> 03:34:29.360 Mr. Walker, I presume, uh, you're not going to, uh, take a country view? No, 3102 03:34:29.360 --> 03:34:30.193 Sir. 3103 03:34:30.260 --> 03:34:31.240 Uh, and Ms. Hutton? 3104 03:34:33.240 --> 03:34:34.580 Yes, that's fine with us. Thank you. 3105 03:34:41.210 --> 03:34:45.510 Are there any other housekeeping type matters before I formally close the 3106 03:34:45.510 --> 03:34:50.390 hearing from the applicant's side? No. No, sir. No. Mr. A? 3107 03:34:50.450 --> 03:34:51.830 No. Mr. Walker, anything? 3108 03:34:53.340 --> 03:34:55.350 Nothing further, sir. Thank you. Yes. 3109 03:34:55.860 --> 03:35:00.110 Okay. Well, thank you for your buoyance. We, 3110 03:35:00.170 --> 03:35:03.790 we have sat a little bit longer this afternoon, but I think it was useful, um, 3111 03:35:04.570 --> 03:35:08.870 to sit on a little bit longer. I would thank everybody for your contributions, 3112 03:35:10.090 --> 03:35:14.110 um, as is the normal recording and a written transcript, uh,

3113 03:35:14.210 --> 03:35:18.750 of the hearing will be made available as soon as possible, um, 3114 03:35:19.290 --> 03:35:21.790 via the, the website for this, this project. 3115 03:35:27.430 --> 03:35:28.870 I doubt. But I'll, I'll raise it. 3116 03:35:28.870 --> 03:35:33.270 If you've got any administrative type questions, uh, please raise them with Ms. 3117 03:35:33.340 --> 03:35:38.030 Robbins, um, rather than, um, either, 3118 03:35:38.330 --> 03:35:43.270 uh, Mr. Bradley, um, Mr. Harrison, or myself on the way out. 3119 03:35:44.250 --> 03:35:48.950 Um, thank you everybody for your attendance and this hearing is now closed. 3120 03:35:49.640 --> 03:35:50.230 Thank you.